Archaeological Strip, Map and Sample Excavation of Land at Rosewood Park, Bexhill, East Sussex

Post-Excavation Assessment Report and Updated Project Design

Site Code: BEX-EX-19 NGR Site Centre: 571097E 108055N Planning Application Number: RR/2012/1978/P RR/2019/2242/P (amended from RR/2015/3115/P)



Report for; BDW Kent Ltd 21st March 2022

SWAT ARCHAEOLOGY

Swale and Thames Archaeological Survey Company

The Office, School Farm Oast, Graveney Road

Faversham, Kent ME13 8UP

Tel; 01795 532548 or 07885 700 112

info@swatarchaeology.co.uk www.swatarchaeology.co.uk

© SWAT Archaeology 2022 all rights reserved

Conditions of Release

All rights including translation reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission from SWAT Archaeology

Archaeological Excavations at Land at Rosewood Park, Bexhill, East Sussex

Post-Excavation Assessment and Updated Project Design

Contents

1		6
1.1	Project Background	6
1.2	Planning Background	6
1.3	Scope of the Post Excavation Assessment Report	8
1.4	Site Description and Topography	8
2	ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND	8
2.1	Introduction	8
2.2	Previous Archaeological Works (Phase 1)	8
	Archaeological Evaluation and Desk- Based Research	8
2.3	Archaeological Potential	9
3	AIMS AND OBJECTIVES	10
3.1	General Aims	10
3.2	Project Objectives (SWAT 2017b)	10
4	METHODOLOGY	12
4.1	Introduction	12
4.2	Fieldwork	12
	Archaeological Strip, map and Sample Excavation	12
4.3	Monitoring	13
5	ARCHAEOLOGICAL STRATIGRAPHIC ASSESSMENT	14
5.1	Introduction	14
5.2	Phasing	14
5.3	Stratigraphic Sequence	14
5.4	Archaeological Features Area 1.1	15
	Linear Features	15
	Grouped Features	22
	Discrete Features	26

5.5	Archaeological Features Area 1.231	L
	Linear Features	2
	Discrete Features	5
5.6	Archaeological Features Area 1.3	7
	Linear Features	7
	Discrete Features41	1
5.7	Archaeological Features Area 1.445	5
5.8	Archaeological Features Area 2.145	5
	Linear Features	5
	Discrete Features	5
5.9	Archaeological Features Area 2.247	7
	Linear Features47	7
	Discrete Features	J
6	FINDS	2
6.1	Introduction	2
6.2	Ceramic Assemblage 1	2
6.3	Ceramic Assemblage 2	3
6.4	Ceramic Assemblage 357	7
7	ARCHAEOLOGICAL NARRATIVE	5
7.1	Period Specific Review	õ
7.2	Phase 1 Late Bronze Age (fig. 8)67	7
7.3	Phase 2 Early – Middle Iron Age (fig. 9)67	7
7.4	Phase 3 Middle- Late Iron Age (fig. 10)67	7
7.5	Phase 4a Late Iron Age/Early Romano- British c.50BC-AD80 (fig. 11)67	7
7.6	Phase 4b Late Iron Age/Early Romano- British c.50BC-AD80 (fig. 12)67	7
7.7	Phase 5a Late 1 st /2 nd century AD (fig. 13)68	3
7.8	Phase 5b Late 1 st /2 nd century AD (fig. 14)68	3
7.9	Phase 6 Later 2nd /3rd century AD (fig. 15)68	3
7.10	Phase 7a 13 th century (fig. 16)68	3
7.11	Phase 7b 13 th century (fig. 17)69)
7.12	Phase 7c 13 th century (fig. 18)69	Э

7.13	Phase 8 post-medieval (fig. 19)69		
7.14	Unphased Features (fig. 20)69		
8	STATEMENT OF POTENTIAL AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
8.1 9	Statement of Potential		
9.1	Introduction		
9.2	Updated Project Design73		
9.3	Proposed Publication74		
9.4	Timetable and Task List74		
10	ARCHIVE		
10.1	General77		
11	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS		
12	REFERENCES		
APPENDIX 1 – COMBINED POTTERY ASSESSMENT APPENDIX 2 – LITHICS ASSESSMENT APPENDIX 3 – ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT			

APPENDIX 4 – HER FORM PLATES FIGURES

Abstract

An archaeological excavation was undertaken by Swale & Thames Survey Company (SWAT) at Rosewood Park, Bexhill, East Sussex, during 2019, 2020 and 2021. The excavation was undertaken in response to recommendations from East Sussex County Council following archaeological evaluations undertaken in 2012, 2014 and 2017.

Archaeological excavations have confirmed the presence of sporadic activity on the site from the Late Bronze Age to the Mid to Late Iron Age. Probable agricultural and settlement activity comprising, field boundary ditches, pits, enclosures, structures, a droveway and possible cremation appeared to take place in the east of the site during the Late Iron Age/ Early Romano- British period, before dwindling in the late $1^{st}/2^{nd}$ centuries, the site being abandoned probably in the 3^{rd} century. Relatively short lived probable agricultural activity evidenced by field boundary ditches and pits took place west of the site during the 13^{th} century.

Archaeological Strip, Map and Sample Excavation of Land at Rosewood Park, Bexhill, East Sussex

Post Excavation Assessment and Updated Project Design

NGR Site Centre: E571097 N108055

Site Code: BEX-EX-19

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

- 1.1.1 Swale & Thames Survey Company (SWAT Archaeology) was commissioned by BDW Kent Ltd to carry out a programme of archaeological excavation on land at Rosewood Park, Bexhill, East Sussex, centred on National Grid reference (NGR) E571097 N108055 (Figure 1).
- 1.1.2 The archaeological excavation formed part of a staged programme of archaeological works associated with planning application RR/2012/1978/P, submitted to Rother District Council (RDC) for the redevelopment of the site (see Section 2.1 below).
- 1.1.3 Taking into consideration a phased approach of the development schedule, the archaeological works were carried out as a staged programme of works comprising an initial targeted trial trenching evaluation (Phase 1). In the event that significant archaeological remains were encountered during this phase, a strip, map and sample (SMS) excavation was required in order to investigate and record archaeological remains present. The archaeological programme is detailed further, below (Section 1.2.3). Four areas of the development site were identified as having archaeological potential during phase 1,
- 1.1.4 Six areas of the development site were identified as having archaeological potential during phase 1 (Areas 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 2.1 and 2.2). All Areas have been subjected to Phase 2 archaeological SMS investigation. This report details the results of the SMS excavation only (Phase 2), which was informed by the results of the earlier phase of archaeological evaluation (Phase 1: SWAT Archaeology 2017a, CgMs 2014, CgMs 2012).

1.2 Planning Background

1.2.1 A planning application (RR/2012/1978/P) was submitted to Rother District Council (RDC) for the construction of up to 275 houses, 3,500 sqm of employment floor space, doctors' surgery, nursing home, primary school, vehicular and pedestrian access, associated car parking, landscaping and open space on the site. On the advice of the County Archaeologist for East Sussex County Council, a programme of archaeological works was attached to the consent:

(Condition 15) No development shall take place on a phase until the developer has secured the implementation of a programme of archaeological work in relation to that phase, in accordance with a Written Scheme of Archaeological Investigation which has been submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

REASON: To ensure that the archaeological and historical interest of the site is safeguarded and recorded to comply with the Rother District Local Plan- Core Strategy Policy EN2 (vi) and Chapter 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

1.2.2 The programme of archaeological works consisted of a geophysical survey, a targeted trial trench evaluation, and, where appropriate, a strip, map and sample excavation carried out prior to, and during, initial stages of construction works. This was to be followed by a programme of post excavation works including assessment, analysis and reporting. Table 1, below, sets out the required programme of archaeological works, along with references to appropriate documentation.

Event	Date	Document Ref.
Archaeological Summary Report	2012	CgMs 2012
Archaeological Evaluation Report	2014	CgMs 2014
Archaeological Evaluation Report	2017	SWAT Archaeology 2017a
Specification: Archaeological Evaluation and Strip, Map and Sample Excavation	2017	SWAT Archaeology 2017b
Archaeological Post Excavation Assessment Report	2022	SWAT Archaeology (this document)

Table 1: Archaeological requirements, as required by East Sussex County Council

1.2.3 All archaeological works were undertaken in accordance with the approved specification and in liaison with ESCC and RDC.

1.3 Scope of the Post Excavation Assessment Report

1.3.1 In accordance with the Specification (SWAT Archaeology 2017b), this report comprises a summary of the project background (Section 1), the geological and archaeological background (Section 2) and the project aims (Section 3). Generic and specific methodologies are detailed in Section 4. Section 5 provides a Stratigraphic Assessment of archaeological features recorded within each area and is followed by an assessment of ceramic finds in Section 6. A period- specific Archaeological Narrative, Statement of Potential, and recommendations for further analysis, reporting, publication and archiving constitute Sections 7-10.

1.4 Site Description and Topography

- 1.4.1 The proposed development site is centred on National Grid Reference E571097 N108055 and is bounded to the east by properties bounding onto Willow Drive, to the south by properties fronting onto Barnhorn Road, The Broadwalk and Kites Nest Walk, and to the west and north largely by open fields.
- 1.4.2 The British Geological Survey identifies the underlying solid geology as the Tunbridge Wells Sand Formation overlain by alluvium around the Picknell Green Stream in the north of the site and soils of the Batcombe association
- 1.4.3 The site is set on relatively level ground at a height of between approximately 4 and 23m above Ordnance Datum (aOD).

2 ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 Prior to any work being carried out on the site, the potential of this area had been gauged in relation to the proximity of known archaeological remains and is defined in the Archaeological Summary Report (CgMs 2012) along with the results of the initial evaluation. Subsequently two further archaeological evaluations were carried out on the site (CgMs 2014 and SWAT Archaeology 2017a).

2.2 Previous Archaeological Works (Phase 1) Archaeological Evaluation and Desk- Based Research

2.2.1 The archaeological potential is highlighted in the July 2012 work by CgMs who commissioned Headland Archaeology to evaluate part of the site with 22 evaluation trenches. Following on from this work CgMs subsequently commissioned Archaeology

South East to complete the archaeological evaluation of the site with 126 evaluation trenches.

- 2.2.2 The Headland Archaeology 2012 investigation revealed a range of archaeological remains including a scatter of flints in the northern part of the site, Romano- British pottery, daub and iron working slag- possibly associated with a building in the southeast area of the site and medieval activity in the south-west area of the site, along with evidence of post-medieval field boundaries (CgMs 2012). The Archaeology South East work found that 77 of their trenches failed to reveal any archaeological features although a number produced finds ranging from lithics to prehistoric pottery. However, 49 trenches did reveal archaeological features with the vast majority interpreted as relict field boundaries plus several pits with evidence of burning. The evidence on the ground suggested that agricultural activity may have destroyed many archaeological features leaving only artefactual evidence behind. To the north-west of the site there is evidence of prehistoric and medieval activity and it seems on the evidence that from the medieval period onwards that the site was farmland (CgMs 2014).
- 2.2.3 SWAT Archaeology was commissioned in 2017 to undertake an evaluation of the northwest corner of the Proposed Development Area comprising a further ten trial trenches which revealed no archaeological features (SWAT 2017a).
- 2.2.4 The South East Research Framework (SERF) sets out a draft research agenda for improving the understanding of the Prehistoric period in the region (Booth 2013). Further details of previous discoveries and investigations within the immediate and wider area may be found in the various CgMs reports and the Historical Environment Record (HER) data maintained by ESCC has been summarised in the 2012 report by Headland Archaeology and the archaeological evaluation report commissioned by the client from Archaeology South East dated August 2014.
- 2.2.5 During the various evaluations the natural geology of Tunbridge Wells Sand Formation was reached at between approximately 0.45 and 1.00m below the modern ground surface with archaeological features cutting into the natural geology.

2.3 Archaeological Potential

2.3.1 The Phase 1 works illustrated that the potential for the presence for archaeological remains was relatively high and indicted the likely presence across the site of archaeological features dating to the prehistoric, Romano- British and Medieval periods.

3 AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

3.1 General Aims

- 3.1.1 The Strip, map and sample excavation aimed to ascertain the range of past activities, and specifically whether the evidence suggests transient human activity, domestic/settled occupation, burial, industry, agriculture and/or combinations of these. Linked to this, the excavations also sought to recover stratified assemblages of artefacts and ecofacts which are capable of analysis and research to assist in determining the date and function of the site during different periods.
- 3.1.2 In accordance with the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists' guidance (CIfA 2014a), the general aims of the programme of archaeological works were to:
 - to examine the archaeological resource within the site;
 - within a framework of defined research objectives, to seek a better understanding of and compile a lasting record of that resource;
 - to analyse and interpret the results; and disseminate them.
- 3.1.3 All excavation and post-excavation procedures were conducted in compliance with the standards outlined in the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists' *Standard and Guidance Archaeological Excavation* (2014a), and Historic England guidance and the Standard Conditions for Archaeological Fieldwork in East Sussex (ESCC 2015) were adhered to.

3.2 Project Objectives (SWAT 2017b)

- 3.2.1 The objective of the archaeological mitigation is to identify, excavate, record and analyse any significant archaeological remains that will be disturbed by the proposed development. The physical archaeological remains will be replaced by a detailed record and a better understanding of the past activities that have taken place on the site, thereby contributing to an increased knowledge of East Sussex's past and providing a resource for future research and education.
- 3.2.2 The objective of the Strip, Map and Sample is to understand the broad pattern of settlement dynamics and how key elements of the archaeological landscape (sites, activities, deposits and finds) relate to each other spatial, functionally and chronologically.

- 3.2.3 To determine the state of preservation and importance of the archaeological resource if present and to assess the past impacts on the site and pay particular attention to the character, height/depth below ground level, condition, date and significance of any archaeological deposits. And to 1). Establish a broad phased plan of the archaeology revealed following the stripping of the site; 2). Provide a refined chronology of the archaeological phasing; 3). Investigate the function of structural remains and activities taking place within and close to the site.
- 3.2.4 Site specific objects set out in The WSI (SWAT 2017b) were:
 - To investigate the point of origin of the prehistoric activity initially identified in the southern part of the site.
 - To clarify the date of any relict field boundaries revealed during the strip, map and sample.
 - To clarify the function and form of the possible Roman building identified in the south-east corner of the site.
- 3.2.5 The opportunity will also be taken during the course of the evaluation/SMS to place and assess any archaeology revealed within the context of other recent archaeological investigations in the immediate area and within the setting of the local landscape and topography. Specific research questions that may be answered are to include the origins of the adjacent medieval ditches and is there any evidence for pre-farmstead activity on the site? In general the work is to ensure compliance with the archaeological planning condition and to publish the results either on line, or through OASIS and/or in a local journal.

4 METHODOLOGY

4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 The archaeological excavation was undertaken in accordance with a Specification (SWAT Archaeology 2017b), and in accordance with the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (CIFA 2014a) *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Excavation*.

4.2 Fieldwork

Archaeological Strip, map and Sample Excavation

- 4.2.1 The site was divided into six areas; Areas 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 to the east and Areas 2.1 and 2.2 to the west. (Figure 3). The designation of each of the areas was maintained throughout the duration of the fieldwork and for the 'signing off' procedure.
- 4.2.2 A 21 ton 360° tracked mechanical excavator, fitted with a flat bladed ditching bucket was used to remove overlying topsoil and subsoil deposits to expose the underlying natural geology. Overlying deposits were removed in spits of *c*.100mm thickness under constant archaeological supervision. Machined deposits were examined, and any artefacts were bagged by context.
- 4.2.3 A site grid was established using an EDM and tied to the National Grid. On completion of hand-cleaning, a site plan was produced at a scale of 1:100. Spray paint line marker was used to mark the edges of unexcavated features prior to mapping. Levels were taken across the site prior to excavation of archaeological features and added to the site plan.
- 4.2.4 The broad sampling strategy implemented across the site, in agreement with ESCC Archaeological Officer can be summarised as follows:
 - All targeted archaeological features were hand-cleaned prior to excavation in order to more clearly define edges and relationships in plan.
 - Sections were excavated at all intersections between mapped archaeological features to clarify stratigraphic relationships and inform the overall phasing of the site.
 - Slots were excavated across linear ditch features at appropriate intervals measuring no less than 1m in length. All terminal ends of features were investigated through appropriate sized interventions.
 - All discrete features including pits and post-holes were half-sectioned at a minimum.
 Where necessary, features were fully excavated to facilitate retrieval of datable artefacts and/or environmental samples.

- Charred and cremated deposits or potential 'placed deposits' were 100% excavated.
- 4.2.5 All artefacts recovered during the excavations were bagged and marked by context. Bulk finds were bagged together by context and small-finds were individually bagged by context and their locations recorded in three-dimensions using an EDM.
- 4.2.6 All features, deposits and finds were recorded in accordance with accepted professional standards. The following broad recording strategy was followed:
 - All archaeological contexts were recorded individually on SWAT Archaeology context record sheets.
 - All excavated sections were drawn on polyester drawing film at a scale of 1:10 and fully labelled with context numbers and other appropriate recording numbers and levelled with respect to m. OD.
 - Features were planned at a scale of 1:20, labelled and levelled with respect to m. OD. All
 archaeological interventions including linear slots, intercutting relationship slots and halfsections were also marked on the overall site plan.
 - Registers of contexts, small finds, environmental samples, site drawings and photographs were maintained and monitored by the site supervisor.
 - A full photographic record including digital photographs was maintained; all excavated sections and features were photographed pre and post-excavation, and a selection of working and site photos were also taken.
 - In general, multi-context recording was adopted across the site, however single-context recording was completed for deposits/features considered to be possible placed deposits or cremations.

4.3 Monitoring

4.3.1 Curatorial monitoring was made available to Greg Chuter and Neil Griffin, Archaeological Officers, East Sussex Council throughout the archaeological investigation. Site visits were undertaken, and weekly updates reports were maintained. Any variations to the methodology set out in the Specifications were agreed between parties during monitoring meetings.

5 ARCHAEOLOGICAL STRATIGRAPHIC ASSESSMENT

5.1 Introduction

5.1.1 This section of the report will include a descriptive <u>stratigraphic assessment</u> of the archaeological records, detailing physical relationships between all contexts recorded during the excavation. For ease of reference the descriptive text has been divided into the site areas (see Section 4.2 above) as shown on Figure 3. All features with multiple interventions (excavated slots) have been grouped to form a single Group Number (i.e. G1101), as have groups of features with specific form, i.e. post holes representing a structure(s) etc. The descriptive text and plans are supplemented by selected photographs provided within the Appendices.

5.2 Phasing

5.2.1 The assessment of artefacts retrieved from archaeological features has enhanced the results by providing data so these features can be chronologically phased. Eleven phases (including sub- phases) of activity have been identified and are listed in Table 3 below:

Phase	Period	Dates
1	Late Bronze Age	c. 1200-800BC
2.	Early-to Middle Iron Age	c.800-400BC
3.	Middle to Late Iron Age	c.400-50BC
4a.	Late Iron Age/Early Romano- British	c.50BC-AD80
4b.	Late Iron Age/Early Romano- British	c.50BC-AD80
5a.	Early to Mid- Romano- British	c.AD80-150
5b.	Early to Mid- Romano- British	c.AD80-150
6	Mid- Romano- British	c.AD150-250
7a.	High Medieval	c.13 th century
7b.	High Medieval	c.13 th century
7c.	High Medieval	c.13 th century
8	Post Medieval	c.1540 +

Table 2: Phase of Archaeological Activity

5.3 Stratigraphic Sequence

5.3.1 A relatively consistent soil sequence was recorded across the Site. The underlying natural geology comprised mid yellowish brown to mid reddish-brown clay, the surface of which

generally formed the level of machining. The majority of archaeological features were cut into this natural and sealed by mid-greyish brown silty clay subsoil (where present) (0.2–0.25m deep). The overlying topsoil consisted of a dark greyish brown silty clay deposit (0.2–0.3 m deep).

5.4 Archaeological Features Area 1.1

5.4.1 Area 1.1 was located towards the east of the Site (Figure 3) and measured approximately
7,226 sq.m in area. It was stripped to a level of between 15.80m OD in the southwest and
10.20m OD in the north prior to the commencement of the archaeological investigation.

Linear Features

- 5.4.2 Interventions [122] A, B, C, D and E revealed a northwest- southeast running ditch which was 8.84m long, up to 1.05m wide and 0.42m deep, with concave to steeply sloping sides and a concave flattish base. Intervention A was filled by (121) a firm red mottled greyish brown silt CBM clay matrix with twelve sherds of pottery with a suggested deposition date of c.AD43-70, B by (127) a friable red and greyish brown silt daub clay matrix with moderate charcoal and ten sherds of pottery with a suggested deposition date of c.AD43-100, C by (159) a firm orange grey silt clay with charcoal, kiln fragments and 32 sherds of pottery with a suggested deposition date of c.AD43-100, c by (159) a firm orange grey silt clay with charcoal, kiln fragments and 32 sherds of pottery with a suggested deposition date of c.AD43-100, and E by (191) a friable brownish grey clay silt with infrequent charcoal and CBM. Intervention D was primarily filled by (166) a firm light grey silt clay with CBM, which was overlain by (165) a soft dark brown silty clay with CBM, itself overlain by (164) a firm light brownish grey silty clay with charcoal ironstone and CBM.
- 5.4.3 Interventions [125] A to O, revealed a ring ditch partly elongated and open to the northeast with a smaller gap to the southeast. It had a maximum diameter of 17.32 and northeast-southwest dimension of 26.35m. The ditch had moderately to steeply sloping sides and a flattish slightly concave base which was up to 0.46m wide and 0.38m deep. Intervention A was primarily filled by (124) a firm light grey orange silt clay with charcoal, CBM and one sherd of Late Iron Age/Early Romano- British pottery, which was overlain by (123) a firm grey, white and orange clay with CBM, itself overlain by (126) a firm light and dark clay with small gravels and CBM. Intervention B was primarily filled by (134) a firm dark greyish brown clay with charcoal and daub, which was overlain by (135) a firm pale yellow clay, itself overlain by (136) a firm mid- greyish brown clay with charcoal and CBM, D by (128) a firm grey clay, and E by (131) a firm mid- greyish brown clay. Intervention F was primarily 15

filled by (133) a friable mid- greyish brown silt clay, which was overlain by (132) a friable light greyish brown clay silt with occasional ironstone and infrequent daub and charcoal, while G was primarily filled by (137) a friable light to mid- brown silt clay with sand and ironstone, which was overlain by (138) a friable brown mottled dark grey sandy clay with charcoal, daub and ironstone. Intervention H was filled by (142) a friable light greyish brown clay silt with infrequent daub, charcoal and ironstone, I was filled by (154) a firm mottled light brownish grey silt clay with iron stone, while J was primarily filled by (152) a friable light to mid- brown silt clay with ironstone. Intervention K was filled by (153) a friable brown mottled dark grey clay with ironstone. Intervention K was filled by (163) a firm mid-greyish brown silt clay, L by (236) a firm light greyish brown silt clay with ironstone, M by (354) a firm grey sandy clay, N by (455) a firm mid-greyish brown silt clay with ironstone, This feature was truncated by ditch [147] (see below 5.4.4).

5.4.4 Interventions [147] A to M revealed a northwest- southeast running ditch which may have been an extension of [122] (see above 5.5.2) and was more than 46.80m long being truncated by bioturbation/animal activity at the northwestern end, up to 1.10m wide and 0.50m deep, with moderately concave sides and base. Intervention A was primarily filled by (148) a friable light grey silt clay with charcoal, which was overlain by (149) friable midgreyish brown silt clay with daub, ironstone and charcoal, while B was primarily filled by (157) a light yellowish grey silt clay with ironstone, which was overlain by (158) a firm orange red silt clay. Intervention C was primarily filled by (161) a soft light yellowish grey clay, which was overlain by (162) a firm light greyish yellow silt clay with ironstone, in turn overlain by (241) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay with daub, charcoal and ironstone, while the highest fill was (242) a firm]18 mid- greyish brown silt clay with daub and ironstone. Intervention D was primarily filled by (187) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay with ironstone, which was overlain by (188) a firm reddish orange silt clay with daub, charcoal, ironstone and seventeen sherds of pottery dating to c.50BC-AD100. Intervention E was primarily filled by (218) a plastic grey and orange clay with charcoal, which was overlain by (219) a friable dark grey sandy clay with charcoal, in turn overlain by (220) a firm brownish grey clay with charcoal and ironstone, itself overlain by (221) a firm brownish grey clay with charcoal and ironstone. Intervention F was primarily filled by (233) a friable light grey silt clay with infrequent charcoal, which was overlain by (234) a friable light brown silt clay with occasional ironstone. Intervention G was primarily filled by (248) a friable light greyish brown clay silt with infrequent charcoal, which was overlain by (249) a

friable light greyish brown clay silt, in turn overlain by (250) a friable light brown clay silt with occasional ironstone. Intervention H was primarily filled by (329) a soft white- mottled brownish grey silt clay, which was overlain by (328) a loose grey sandy clay with ironstone; I was primarily filled by (330) a firm mid- orange brown silt clay, which was overlain by (331) a firm grey silt clay; J was primarily filled by (345) a firm light greyish brown silty clay, which was overlain by (344) a stiff grey silt clay; while K was primarily filled by (355) a friable light greyish brown silt clay with infrequent charcoal, which was overlain by (356) a friable light brown silt clay. Intervention L was primarily filled by (443) a soft orange mottled light grey clay sand which was overlain by (444) a soft mid- greyish brown clay sand with ironstone, while M was primarily filled by (466) a firm grey silt clay, which was overlain by (467) a friable greyish brown silt clay with ironstone, in turn overlain by (468) a friable grey silt clay with ironstone, itself overlain by (472) a friable brown silt clay with ironstone. This feature was truncated by ditch [216] and truncated ditch [336] and ring ditch [125] (see below 5.4.11 and above 5.4.3). This ditch may have demarcated one side of a droveway along with [189] (see below 5.4.7).

5.4.5 Interventions [168] A to D, F and K to Q revealed a broadly north- south running ditch with moderately sloping sides and a concave base which was more than 43.50m long (continuing into the southern limit of excavation), up to 1.52m wide and 0.60m deep. Intervention A was primarily filled by (184) a loose grey silt clay with charcoal and ironstone, which was overlain by (167) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay with charcoal, while B was primarily filled by (183) a loose brownish grey silt clay with charcoal, ironstone and seventeen sherds of pottery dating to c.50BC-AD100, which was overlain by (182) a firm greyish brown silt clay with ironstone. Intervention C was primarily filled by (185) a firm greyish brown clay with charcoal, which was overlain by (186) a firm brown silt clay with charcoal and a flint scraper, while D was primarily filled by (375) a firm brownish grey clay with moderate charcoal, which was overlain by (376) a firm brown sandy clay with charcoal, and F by (391) a firm light greyish brown silt clay with ironstone. Intervention K was primarily filled by (658) a friable brown silty sand with charcoal and ironstone, which was overlain by (657) a friable dark brown silty sand with charcoal, ironstone and CBM, itself overlain by (656) a friable brown silt sand with charcoal and ironstone, in turn overlain by (655) a friable brown silt with charcoal and ironstone, while the top fill (654) a friable orange brown silt with ironstone. Intervention L was primarily filled by (659) a plastic brown sandy clay with infrequent charcoal, which was overlain by (660) a friable greyish brown silt clay, itself overlain by (661) a friable light brown silt clay, in turn overlain by (662) a friable mid- brown

silt clay with burnt flint. Intervention M was primarily filled by (667) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay, which was overlain by (668) a firm light brownish grey silt clay, in turn overlain by (669) a firm mid- orange brown silt clay with ironstone, itself overlain by (670) a firm dark greyish brown silt clay with charcoal. Intervention N was primarily filled by (698) a firm mottled orange and grey silt clay which was overlain by (699) a firm light greyish brown silt clay, while intervention O was primarily filled by (700) a firm mottled orange and grey silt clay, which was overlain by (701) a firm light grey silt clay, itself overlain by (702) a firm light greyish brown silt clay. Intervention P was primarily filled by (721) a firm orange brown sand with ironstone, which was overlain by (722) a firm brownish orange sand with charcoal and ironstone, in turn overlain by (723) a firm brownish grey silt sand with charcoal and ironstone, while R was primarily filled by (735) a firm mottled orange silt clay with ironstone, which was overlain by (736) a firm mottled grey and orange silt clay, itself overlain by (737) a firm light brownish grey silt clay containing worked flint. Intervention Q at the intersection of ditches [168] and [189] (see below 5.4.7) established that they were contemporaneous being primarily filled by (733) a firm mottled grey and orange silty clay with ironstone which was overlain by (732) a stiff light orange- tinged grey silt clay with ironstone, itself overlain by (731) a stiff dark brown grey silt clay with ironstone. This feature was truncated by ditches [216] and [384] (see below 5.4.8 and 14).

- 5.4.6 Interventions [1080] A to E revealed a broadly north- south running ditch with moderately sloping sides and a concave base, which was 23.80m long, 0.71m wide and 0.27m deep. A was primarily filled by (378) a firm greyish orange clay with charcoal and CBM, which was overlain by (404) a firm brown sandy clay with moderate charcoal. Intervention B by (505) a firm grey mottled orange silt clay with ironstone, C by (479) a friable greyish brown silt clay with burnt and worked flint, D by (478) a firm mid- greyish brown sandy silt with ironstone, and E by (471) a friable brown silt clay with ironstone. This feature was a probable continuation of ditch [724], was a precursor to [168]/[458] and was truncated by posthole [503] which may have been contemporaneous (see above and below 5.4.5, 15, 16 and 24)
- 5.4.7 Interventions [189] A to Q revealed a ditch running parallel to [147] (see above 5.4.4) which was more than 65.54m long (continuing into both the northern and eastern limit of excavations), up to 1.45m wide, 1.26m deep, with moderately sloping sides and a concave base. Intervention A was primarily filled by (202) a firm light grey silt clay with daub, which was overlain by (203) a friable orange red mottled very dark brown daub charcoal silt clay matrix, itself overlain by (204) a friable brown silt clay with daub. Intervention B was primarily filled by (222) a friable mid- brown silt clay with daub, which was overlain by (222) a friable mid- brown silt clay with daub, which was overlain by (222) a friable mid- brown silt clay with daub.

18

a friable mid- grey silt clay with daub and ironstone, itself overlain by (223) a friable light grey silt clay, in turn overlain by (224) a friable light to mid- brown silt clay with daub and ironstone. Above fill (224) was silt clay daub matrix (226)/(227), which was overlain by (228) a friable very dark grey crushed ironstone with silty sand and infrequent charcoal, itself overlain by (229) a friable red ironstone and daub matrix with charcoal, in turn overlain by (230) a friable dark brown silt clay with daub and ironstone. Intervention C was primarily filled by (208) a plastic light grey clay, which was overlain by (209) a friable dark brown silt clay with daub and eight sherds of pottery dating to c.50BC-AD50, itself overlain by (210) a friable greyish brown silt clay with daub and worked flint, in turn overlain by (211) a friable light brown sand clay with ironstone. Intervention D was primarily filled by (254) a friable light grey silt clay, which was overlain by (253) a friable brown silt clay with daub and ironstone, E was primarily filled by (266) a friable light greyish brown silt clay, which was overlain by (267) a friable light greyish brown silt clay with ironstone, and F was primarily filled by (269) a firm greyish orange clay with occasional charcoal, which was overlain by (270) a firm dark brown silt clay with charcoal and ironstone. Intervention G was primarily filled by (280) a friable light grey mottled brown silt clay with ironstone, which was overlain by (281) a friable light grey silt clay with ironstone, itself overlain by (282) a friable light grey mottled brown silt clay with ironstone and burnt clay, while intervention H was primarily filled by (334) a soft greyish white sand clay with ironstone, which was overlain by (333) a soft grey sand clay with charcoal and ironstone, in turn overlain by (332) a stiff grey mottled white silt clay with ironstone flecks. Intervention I was primarily filled by (398) a firm orange mottled light grey silt clay, which was overlain by (397) a firm mottled grey and orange clay silt with charcoal, daub and three sherds of pottery dating from the Late Iron Age to AD100, while intervention J was primarily filled by (334) a soft greyish white sandy clay with ironstone, which was overlain by (333) a soft grey sandy clay with charcoal and ironstone, itself overlain by (332) a firm white- mottled grey silt clay with ironstone. Intervention K was primarily filled by (402) a plastic greyish brown clay silt with charcoal and daub, which was overlain by (403) a friable greyish brown silt clay with infrequent charcoal flecks and daub, L was primarily filled by (425) a loose grey- mottled white sandy clay with ironstone, which was overlain by (424) a friable mid- grey silt clay with charcoal, daub and ironstone, while M was primarily filled by (438) a firm light grey silt clay with charcoal, which was overlain by (460) a friable dark brown silt clay with daub and charcoal. Intervention N was primarily filled by (459) a friable light grey silt clay, which was overlain by (460) a friable dark brown silt clay with daub and charcoal, O was primarily filled by (475) a loose grey sandy clay with charcoal and ironstone, which was overlain by (474) a firm midgrey sandy clay with ironstone and charcoal, itself overlain by (473) a firm light grey sandy clay with ironstone, while P was primarily filled by (507) a firm brownish grey silt clay with ironstone, which was overlain by (508) a firm mottled grey silt clay with ironstone and charcoal. Intervention Q demonstrated that ditches [168] and [1879] were contemporaneous and is discussed in 5.4.5 above. This feature was truncated by ditch [244] and pits [509] and [401], and itself truncated ditch [336] (see below 5.4.9, 26, 27 and 11). This ditch may have demarcated one side of a droveway along with [147] (see above 5.4.4).

- 5.4.8 Interventions [216] A to F and H to K revealed a broadly west northwest- east southeast running ditch which was 40.30m long, up to 0.69m wide and 0.18m deep, with moderately concave sides and a concave to flat base. Intervention A was filled by (217) a friable light brown sandy silt with occasional ironstone flecks, B by (251 a friable light brown clay silt with infrequent ironstone, C, D and F by (255), (268) and (277) respectively friable brown silt clays with ironstone, E by (327) a firm dark grey sandy clay with ironstone flecks, H by (361) a friable light brown silt clay, I by (374) a stiff greyish brown sandy clay with charcoal and ironstone, J by (377) a firm greyish brown sandy clay with charcoal, and K by (395) a firm light greyish brown silt clay with ironstone. This feature truncated ditches [147], [168], and [362], along with pit [262] (See above and below 5.4.4, 5, 12 and 25).
- 5.4.9 To the east of ditch [216] (see above 5.4.8), and on a similar alignment, interventions [244] A,B, C and D revealed a further ditch which was probably a continuation. More than 10.70m long (continuing into the eastern limit of excavation), it was up to 0.64m wide and 0.20m deep with moderately concave sides and a concave base. Interventions A, B, C and D were respectively filled by (245), (246), (247) and (252) firm mid- greyish brown silt clays with ironstone. This feature truncated ditch [189] (see above (5.4.7).
- 5.4.10 Interventions [278] A, B, C and D revealed a slightly sinuous ditch on a broadly west northwest- east southeast alignment. 23.65m long, up to 0.83m wide and 0.32m deep, it had shallow to moderately concave sides and a concave base. Intervention A was filled by (279) a firm light greyish brown silt clay, while B was primarily filled by (324) a firm midorange brown silt clay, which was overlain by (325) a firm light whitish grey silt clay, itself overlain by (326) a firm mid-greyish brown silt clay, while D was filled by (518) a soft greyish orange clay, while D was filled by (519) a firm mid-greyish brown silt clay.

- 5.4.11 Interventions [336] A, B, C and D revealed a broadly east- west running ditch with shallow to moderately concave sides and a concave base, which was more than 17.50m long (truncated by ditch [189] to the east), up to 1.41m wide and 0.45m deep. Intervention A was filled by (343) a firm white- mottled grey silt clay with charcoal and ironstone, B by (346) a firm grey silt clay, C by (436) a soft mid- orange brown clay sand with ironstone, and D by (335) a firm grey silt clay. This feature was truncated by ditches [147] and [189] (see above 5.4.4 and 7).
- 5.4.12 Curvilinear ditch [362] formed a half circle some 2.15m in diameter, interventions A and B demonstrating that it had moderately sloping sides and an irregular base, with a maximum width of 0.70m and depth of 0.20m. Intervention a was filled by (363) a firm mid- brown silt clay with charcoal, burnt flint, daub and CBM, while B was filled by (396) a firm mid- orange brown silt clay with ironstone. This feature was truncated by ditch [216] (see above 5.4.8).
- 5.4.13 Interventions [382] A to F revealed a west northwest- east southeast running gulley which was probably a continuation of [216] and [244] (see above 5.4.8 and 9). More than 68m long continuing into the western limit of excavation, up to 0.65m wide and 0.18m deep, it had shallow to moderately sloping sides and a concave to flat base. Intervention A was filled by (383) a loose dark brown sand, B by (442) a firm light orange grey silt clay with ironstone, C by (447) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay with ironstone, D by (456) a firm brownish grey sandy clay with ironstone, E by (517) a friable brown silt clay with ironstone flecks.
- 5.4.14 Interventions [384] A and B revealed a west southwest- east northeast running ditch or pit which was 6.64m long, up to 2.00m wide and 0.10m deep with steeply to moderately sloping sides and a concave to flat base. Intervention A was filled by (385) a firm midgreyish brown silt clay with ironstone, while B was filled by (390) a firm light greyish yellow silt clay with ironstone flecks. This feature truncated ditch [168] (see above 5.4.5).
- 5.4.15 Interventions [458] A, B, C and D revealed a broadly north- south running ditch which was 23.70m long, up to 1.60m wide and 0.39m deep, with shallow to moderately sloping sides and a concave base. This feature was probably a continuation of ditch [168] (see above 5.4.5). Intervention A was primarily filled by (502) a firm grey- mottled orange silt clay with charcoal and ironstone, which was overlain by (501) a firm mottled grey and orange silt clay with ironstone, while B was primarily filled by (480) a friable greyish brown silt clay with infrequent charcoal and burnt flint, which was overlain by (481) a friable light brown silt

clay. Intervention C was primarily filled by (476) a soft orange- mottled light grey sandy silt with burnt flint, which was overlain by (477) a firm mid- greyish brown clay silt with ironstone and burnt and worked flint, while D was filled by (470) a friable brown- mottled grey silt clay with ironstone and burnt flint. This feature truncated posthole [503] (see below 5.4.24 below).

5.4.16 Interventions [724] A, B and C revealed a ditch running on a broadly north- south axis for 30.25m before swinging round to the west northwest for more than 7.00m and continuing into the western limit of excavation. With steeply to moderately sloping sides and a concave base it was up to 0.72m wide and 0.30m deep. Intervention A was primarily filled by (725) a firm greyish brown silt clay with ironstone, which was overlain by (726) a firm grey silt clay with ironstone, charcoal and CBM, itself overlain by (727) a firm grey sandy silt with charcoal and ironstone. Intervention B was primarily filled by (728) a firm mottled orange and grey silt clay with ironstone, which was overlain by (729) a firm light greyish brown silt clay, in turn overlain by (730) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay, while intervention C was filled by (734) a firm grey silt sand with charcoal and ironstone. This feature was truncated by ditch [168] and was a probable continuation of ditch [1080] (see above 5.4.6).

Grouped Features

5.4.17 Interventions [192], [194], [198], [205], [271], [273], [352], [379] and [370] formed the possible remnant of a sub-rectangular posthole enclosure G1 which ran for 10.66m northwest- southeast and 3.24m west southwest- east northeast and would appear to predate ditch [168] (see above 5.4.5). Intervention [192] comprised an oval posthole with gradually sloping sides and concave base, which was 0.26m long, 0.16m wide and 0.16m deep. It was filled by (193) a firm brownish grey clay. Intervention [194] revealed a suboval posthole with gradually sloping sides and concave base, which was 0.27m long, 0.16m wide and 0.16m deep. It was filled by (195) a soft brownish grey silt clay. Intervention [198] revealed a sub- circular posthole with gradually sloping sides and a concave base, which was 0.43m long, 0.38m wide and 0.14m deep. It was filled by (199) a soft brown clay. Intervention [205] was a sub- oval posthole with gradually sloping sides and a concave base, which was 0.29m long, 0.27m wide and 0.19m deep. It was filled by (206) a soft grey sandy clay. Intervention [271] revealed a circular posthole with gradually sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.37m and depth of 0.12m, which was filled by (272) a firm greyish brown sandy clay. Intervention [273] was a circular posthole with gradually sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter of 0.36m and depth of 0.12m, which was filled by (274) a firm greyish brown sandy clay. Intervention [352] revealed a circular posthole with shallow to steeply sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.10m and depth of 0.10m, which was filled by (348) a friable very dark grey charcoal silt clay matrix. Intervention [370] revealed a sub- oval posthole with steeply sloping sides, a 'v' shaped base, length of 0.40m, width of 0.20m and depth of 0.15m, which was filled by (371) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay with charcoal. Intervention [379] revealed a circular posthole with concave sides and base, a maximum diameter of 0.26m and depth of 0.12m. It was filled by (411) a loose brownish orange sand with charcoal and CBM.

- 5.4.18 Interventions [196], [200], [207], [366], [368], [372] and [364] may have formed part(s) of an ill- defined posthole structure or structures G2 within the angle of possible enclosure G1 (see above 5.4.17). Intervention [196] was a sub- ovel posthole with steeply sloping sides and concave base, which was 0.37m long, 0.27m wide and 0.24m deep. It was filled by (197) a soft brownish grey silt clay with occasional charcoal. Intervention [200] revealed a sub- oval posthole with gradually sloping sides and a concave base which was 0.35m long, 0.27m wide and 0.14m deep. It was filled by (201) a soft orange and grey clay silt. Intervention [207] was a sub- oval pit with steep sides, a concave base, length of 0.51m, width of 0.37m and depth of 0.27m. It was filled by (239) a soft grey sandy clay. Intervention [364] revealed an oval posthole with moderately sloping sides, concave base, length of 0.35m, width of 0.27m and depth of 0.10m, which was filled by (365) a firm midgreyish brown silt clay. Intervention [366] revealed an oval posthole with moderately sloping sides, concave base, length of 0.38m, width of 0.25m and depth of 0.07m, which was filled by (367) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay. Intervention [368] comprised a circular posthole with moderately sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter of 0.20m and depth of 0.18m, which was filled by (369) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay. Intervention [372] revealed a circular posthole with moderately sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter of 0.33m and depth of 0.09m, which was filled by (373) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay. This structure may have been associated with pit {349} (see below 5.4.24).
- 5.4.19 Feature group G3 suggested a sub-rectangular posthole and stakehole structure comprising postholes [306] and [308], along with stakeholes [310], [312], [314], [316], [318], [320] and [322], along with pit [406], which was some 1.45m long and 0.90m wide. This group may represent an associated working bench or platform and refuse pit, possibly for animal butchery/ skinning. Intervention [306] revealed a circular posthole with steeply sloping 23

sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.14m and depth of 0.06m, which was filled by (307) a firm orange sandy clay with charcoal. Intervention [308] revealed a circular posthole with steeply sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter of 0.10m and depth of 0.06m, which was filled by (309) a firm orange sandy clay with charcoal. Intervention [310] was a sub- circular posthole with steeply sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter of 0.17m and depth of 0.13m, which was filled by (311) a firm orange sandy clay with charcoal. Intervention [312] revealed a steep sided circular posthole with a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.20m and depth of 0.10m, which was filled by (313) a firm orange sandy clay with charcoal. Intervention [314] revealed a circular posthole with moderately sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter of 0.13m and depth of 0.10m, which was filled by (315) a firm orange brown sand with charcoal. Intervention [316] comprised a circular posthole with moderately to steeply sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.16m and depth of 0.07m, which was filled by (317) a firm orange brown sandy clay with charcoal. Intervention [318] revealed a circular posthole with gradually sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter of 0.27m and 0.11m, which was filled by (319) a firm orange brown sandy clay with charcoal. Intervention [320] was a steep- sided, circular stakehole with a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.15m and depth of 0.13m, which was filled by (321) a firm orange brown silty sand with charcoal inclusions. Intervention [322] revealed a further circular stakehole with gradually sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter of 0.12m and depth of 0.11m, which was filled by (323) a similar firm orange brown silty sand with charcoal inclusions. Intervention [406] comprised a sub- oval pit with gently sloping sides, a flattish concave base, length of 2.06m, width of 0.80m and depth of 0.17m, which was filled by (405) a loose mottled light greyish white sand with charcoal and ironstone.

5.4.20 Six postholes [412], [414], [416], [418], [420] and [422], have been associated as G4, an indeterminate structure which ran in a broadly southwest- northeast direction for some 1.50m. Its location in proximity to possible droveway [147]/[189] may suggest a similar function to G3, G5 and G21 (see above and below 5.4.19, 21 and 22). Intervention [412] revealed a circular posthole with moderately to almost vertical sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.21m and depth of 0.10m, which was filled by (413) a loose brownish orange sand with charcoal and CBM. Intervention [414] revealed a sub- circular posthole with moderately sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter of 0.18m and depth of 0.08m, which was filled by (415) a loose light brown sand. Intervention [416] was an oval posthole with gradually sloping sides, a concave base, length of 0.30m, width of

0.16m and depth of 0.06m, which was filled by (417) a loose light grey sand. Intervention [418] revealed an oval posthole with steeply sloping sides, a concave base, length of 0.29m, width of 0.19m and depth of 0.16m, which was filled by (419) a loose grey sand with charcoal. Intervention [420] comprised an oval posthole with moderately sloping sides, a concave base, length of 0.26m, width of 0.16m and depth of 0.09m, which was filled by (421) a loose mid- grey sand with charcoal. Intervention [422] revealed an irregularly shaped posthole with gradually concave sides and base, length of 0.50m, width of 0.15m and depth of 0.06m, which was filled by (423) a loose mid- grey sand with charcoal.

- 5.4.21 Interventions [706], [708]. [710], [712], [714] and [716] appeared to form a 'T' shaped posted structure G5 associated with pit [704] running some 0.90m northeast- southwest and 0.80m southeast- northwest and may be the remnant of some associated platform and pit similar to that suggested above in 5.4.19 with G3. Intervention [706] revealed an oval posthole with moderately sloping sides, concave base, length of 0.20m, width of 0.16m and depth of 0.11m, which was filled by (705) a loose grey sand with charcoal. Intervention [708] revealed a further oval posthole with moderately sloping sides, a concave base, length of 0.46m, width of 0.15m and depth of 0.08m, which was filled by (707) a loose white- mottled grey sand with ironstone. Intervention [710] revealed another oval posthole with steeply sloping sides, concave base, length of 0.30m, width of 0.20m and depth of 0.13m which was filled by (709) a loose grey- mottled white sand. Intervention [712] was a circular posthole with moderately sloping sides, concave base, diameter of 0.12m and depth of 0.10m, which was filled by (711) a friable grey- mottled white sand. Intervention [714] comprised a circular posthole with steeply sloping sides, concave base, diameter of 0.22m and depth of 0.14m, which was filled by (713) a friable grey- mottled white sand. Intervention [716] revealed a circular post or stakehole with steeply sloping sides, a 'v' shaped base, diameter of 0.10m and depth of 0.13m, which was filled by (715) a friable grey- mottled white sand. Intervention [704] revealed an irregular sub- oval pit with moderately to steeply sloping sides and concave base which was 1.94m long, 0.70m wide and 0.18m deep. It was filled by (703) a loose white- mottled grey sand with ironstone.
- 5.4.22 Three postholes and a pit, appearing to respect ringditch [125] were grouped together as G21, possibly performing a similar function to G3 and G5 (see above 5.4.19 and 21). Intervention [140] revealed a circular posthole with concave sides and base, maximum diameter of 0.36m and depth of 0.15m. It was filled by (139) a firm pale yellowish grey clay. Intervention [130] comprised a sub- circular posthole with gradually sloping sides and concave base which had a diameter varying between 0.20 and 0.26m and depth of 0.07m. It

was filled by (129) a soft greyish brown silt clay with charcoal. Intervention [144] was a subcircular posthole with steeply sloping sides, a concave base, diameter varying between 0.27 and 0.33m and depth of 0.30m. It was filled by (143) a firm mid- brown silt clay. Intervention [160] revealed a sub- rectangular pit with steeply sloping sides and a flat base which was 2.60m long, up to 1.42m wide and 0.83m deep. It was primarily filled by (215) a stiff dark grey silt clay with charcoal flecks, which was overlain by (214) a stiff red, grey and dark grey clay with daub, charcoal and three sherds of pottery dating to c.AD50-150-, itself overlain by (213) a firm very dark grey silt clay with charcoal, in turn overlain by (212) a firm light grey silt clay with charcoal, ironstone and two sherds of Late Iron Age/ Early Romano-British pottery.

Six postholes formed a possible 'L' shaped enclosure G22 on a similar alignment to 5.4.23 droveway [147]/ [189], which ran some 14.95m northeast- southwest and 9.20m southeast- northwest. Intervention [490] revealed a circular posthole with gradually sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.35m and depth of 0.08m, which was filled by (491) a loose dark brownish grey silty sand with charcoal. Intervention [496] comprised a circular posthole with moderately sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.19m and a depth of 0.10m, which was filled by (497) a loose brown sandy silt with charcoal and ironstone. Intervention [498] revealed a circular posthole with moderately sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter of 0.25m and depth of 0.13m, which was filled by (499) a loose brownish grey sandy silt with charcoal and ironstone. Intervention [484] was a circular posthole with steeply sloping to near vertical sides, concave base, a maximum diameter of 0.36m and depth of 0.21. It was filled by (485) a loose brownish grey sand with burnt clay, charcoal and CBM. Intervention [486] was a circular posthole with gradually sloping sides, a concave base, a maximum diameter of 0.25m and depth of 0.10m. It was filled by (487) a firm grey sandy clay with charcoal. Intervention [494] revealed a sub- circular posthole with steeply sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter of 0.36m and depth of 0.09m, which was filled by (495) a loose greyish brown sandy clay with charcoal.

Discrete Features

5.4.24 Intervention [503] revealed a posthole in section with vertical sides, concave base, width of
0.13m and depth of 0.30m, which was truncated by ditch [458] and truncated ditch [1080]
(see above 5.4.6). It was filled by (504) a firm mottled orange and grey silt clay.

- 5.4.25 Intervention [349] revealed an oval pit with gently sloping sides, a concave base, length of 1.40m, width of 0.35m and depth of 0.13m. It was filled by (342) a friable very dark grey charcoal deposit. This feature may have been associated with possible structures G1 and G2 (see above 5.4.17 and 18).
- 5.4.26 Intervention [262] revealed a possibly triangular pit with moderately sloping sides, a concave base, length of more than 1.10m being truncated by ditch [216] (see above 5.4.8), width of 0.60m and depth of 0.18m. It was filled by (263) a friable brown mottled light grey silt clay with ironstone.
- 5.4.27 Intervention [509] comprised a possibly sub- circular posthole with vertical sides, diameter varying between 0.15 and 0.12m and depth of 0.90m. It was filled by (510) a firm brownish grey clay silt with ironstone. This feature truncated ditch [189] (see above 5.4.7).
- 5.4.28 Intervention [401] revealed an oval pit with steep sides and a flat base which was 2.60m long, up to 2.14m wide and 1.15m deep. It was primarily filled by (434)/(435) a friable grey-mottled brown silt clay with charcoal containing CBM and five sherds of pottery dating from the Late Iron Age to AD100, which was overlain by (426) a friable mid- grey silt clay with charcoal, daub and ironstone, in turn overlain by (431)/(428)/(427) a friable brown mottled mid- grey silt clay with charcoal and daub, itself overlain by (432)/(429) a friable brown-mottled mid- grey silt clay with ironstone and charcoal, the top fill of this feature being (433)/(430) a firm mid- grey silt clay with charcoal and daub. This feature truncated ditch [189] and pit [290] (see above and below 5.4.7 and 29).
- 5.4.29 Intervention [290] revealed a possibly oval pit truncated by pit [401] (see above 5.4.27) with gradually sloped sides, concave base, length of more than 1.30m, width of up to 2.40m and depth of 0.76m. It was filled by (289) a firm light grey clay containing charcoal, CBM and two sherds of pottery dating to c.AD160-200.
- 5.4.30 Interventions [172] A and B revealed an oval pit with gradually to steeply sloping sides and an irregular flattish base which was up to 5.70m long, 1.54m wide and 0.79m deep. Intervention A was primarily filled by (173) a friable reddish orange charcoal and daub deposit, which was overlain by (174) a friable very dark brown clay silt. To the east this deposit was overlain by (180) a friable light to mid- brown silt clay with ironstone and daub, itself overlain by (181) a friable red, orange and very dark grey charcoal and daub deposit, while to the west it was overlain by (175) a friable red and orange daub deposit. The above deposits were overlain by (176) a friable dark brown silt clay with three sherds of pottery

dating to the Early to Middle Iron Age, itself overlain by (177) a friable reddish orange and dark brown daub and charcoal matrix containing 27 sherds of pottery dating to c.AD70-200, in turn overlain by (178) a friable light brown silt clay with daub and ironstone. Intervention B was primarily filled by (461) a friable dark brown silt clay with charcoal, which was overlain by (462) a friable light to mid- brown sandy clay. Both (462) in intervention B and (178) in intervention A were overlain by (179) a friable pinkish orange brown silt clay with daub and ironstone. The above feature truncated pit [169] (see below 5.4.31).

- 5.4.31 Intervention [169] revealed an oval pit with steeply sloping sides and a concave base which was more than 2.45m long being truncated by pit [172] (see above 5.4.30), 1.15m wide and 0.47m deep. It was primarily filled by (170) a friable pink- hued mid- brown silt clay containing ironstone and two sherds of pottery dating to the Early to Middle Iron Age, which was overlain by (171) a firm mid- brown clay with ironstone and daub.
- 5.4.32 Intervention [146] revealed a circular posthole with moderately to steeply sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.30m and depth of 0.11m. It was filled by (145) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay.
- 5.4.33 Intervention [150] revealed a further circular posthole with steeply sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.30m and depth of 0.16m, which was filled by (151) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay with ironstone.
- 5.4.34 Intervention [155] revealed another circular posthole with steeply sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter of 0.29m and depth of 0.13m, which was filled by (156) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay.
- 5.4.35 Intervention [232] revealed a sub- oval pit with moderately sloping sides, a concave base, maximum length of 1.74m, width of 0.95m and depth of 0.28m. It was filled by (231) a soft light grey silty clay with ironstone and charcoal.
- 5.4.36 Intervention [238] revealed a sub- oval posthole with gradually to steeply sloping sides, a concave base, length of 0.6m, width of 0.35m and depth of 0.17m. It was filled by (237) a loose grey sand with charcoal.
- 5.4.37 Intervention [240] revealed a sub- circular feature which may have been the cut for a cremation, with steeply concave sides and base, which had a diameter varying between 0.33 and 0.27m and depth of 0.12m. It was filled by (243) a friable very dark grey sand silt

with frequent charcoal and moderate ash and seven sherds of pottery (SF8) from an Early Romano- British necked jar. Sample <24>.was taken for further analysis.

- 5.4.38 Intervention [256] comprised an oval posthole with steeply sloping sides, a concave base, length of 0.27m, width of 0.21m and depth of 0.16m. It was filled by (257) a firm mottled dark greyish brown clay sand with ironstone.
- 5.4.39 Intervention [258] was a sub- circular posthole with steeply sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter varying between 0.28 and 0.37m and depth of 0.17m. It was filled by (259) a firm mottled dark greyish brown clay sand with ironstone.
- 5.4.40 Intervention [260] revealed an oval posthole with vertical sides, flat base, length of 0.66m, width of 0.34m and depth of 0.21m. It was filled by (261) a firm mid- greyish brown clay sand.
- 5.4.41 Intervention [264] revealed a sub- circular posthole with vertical sides, concave base, maximum diameter varying between 0.24 and 0.19m and depth of 0.12m. It was filled by (265) a firm mid- greyish brown clay sand.
- 5.4.42 Intervention [286] comprised a sub- rectangular pit with moderately sloping sides and concave base which was 1.92m long, 1.32m wide and 0.61m deep. It was primarily filled by (285) a firm white- mottled grey silt clay with charcoal, which was overlain by (284) a firm grey silt clay with charcoal and CBM, itself overlain by (284) a firm grey silt clay with charcoal and CBM, in turn overlain by (283) a firm white- mottled light grey sandy clay with charcoal.
- 5.4.43 Intervention [288] revealed sub- rectangular pit with gradually to steeply sloping sides and a concave base which was 1.08m long, 0.30m wide and 0.10m deep. It was filled by (287) a firm grey clay with charcoal and CBM.
- 5.4.44 Intervention [295] was a sub- circular pit with moderately sloping sides, a concave base, diameter varying between 1.72 and 1.52m, and a depth of 0.52m. It was primarily filled by (294) a firm brownish grey silt clay with ironstone, charcoal and two sherds of Early Romano- British pottery, which was overlain by (293) a friable very dark grey sandy charcoal with CBM, itself overlain by (292) a loose light grey sand clay matrix with charcoal, in turn overlain by (291) a firm mottled grey clay with ironstone and charcoal.

- 5.4.45 Intervention [296] revealed an irregular sub- rectangular pit with steeply sloping sides and an irregular base, which was 1.60m long, 1.15m wide and 0.43m deep. It was primarily filled by (297) a friable light grey silt clay with ironstone, which was overlain by (298) a friable light grey- mottled silt clay with ironstone, itself overlain by (299)/(300) a friable greymottled brown silt clay with ironstone and daub, in turn overlain by (301) a friable midbrown silt clay with ironstone and CBM.
- 5.4.46 Intervention [302] was a circular posthole with moderately sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter of 0.30m and depth of 0.16m, which was filled by (303) a firm dark grey sandy clay with charcoal and CBM.
- 5.4.47 Intervention [304] revealed a sub- oval posthole with steeply sloping sides, concave base length of 0.50m, width of 0.25m and depth of 0.18m, which was filled by (305) a firm mid-greyish brown silt clay with charcoal and daub.
- 5.4.48 Intervention [337] revealed an oval posthole with gradually sloping sides, a concave base, length of 0.31m, width of 0.17m and depth of 0.07m, which was filled by (338) a loose orange sand with CBM.
- 5.4.49 Intervention [358] comprised an oval pit with moderately to steeply sloping sides, a concave base, length of 1.17m, width of 0.54m and depth of 0.13m. It was filled by (357) a soft mottled grey and white sandy clay with charcoal.
- 5.4.50 Intervention [360] revealed a circular posthole with moderately sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.30m and depth of 0.10m, which was filled by (359) a soft mottled white and grey sandy clay.
- 5.4.51 Intervention [380] revealed an oval posthole with moderately sloping sides, a concave base, length of 0.38m, width of 0.27m and depth of 0.12m, which was filled by (381) a firm brown sand with charcoal.
- 5.4.52 Intervention [386] was a sub- oval pit with steeply sloping sides, a concave base, length of 1.42m, width of 0.98m and depth of 0.26m, which was filled by (387) a friable dark brown clay silt with daub and kiln waste.
- 5.4.53 Intervention [393] revealed a sub- oval pit with concave sides and base, length of 1.38m, width of 0.84m and depth of 0.26m, which was filled by (392) a loose dark brown clay sand with charcoal, kiln waste and three sherds of Early- Romano- British pottery.

- 5.4.54 Intervention [400] revealed a circular posthole with moderately sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.27m and depth of 0.11m, which was filled by (399) a firm mid- greyish brown silty clay with ironstone.
- 5.4.55 Intervention [407] revealed an oval posthole with steeply sloping sides, a concave base, length of 0.42m, width of 0.36m and depth of 0.20m, which was filled by (408) a firm midgreyish brown sandy clay with ironstone.
- 5.4.56 Intervention [409] was an oval pit with moderately sloping sides, and a concave base, which was 1.47m long, 0.69m wide and 0.16m deep. It was filled by (410) a firm mid- greyish brown sandy clay with ironstone.
- 5.4.57 Intervention [452] revealed a sub- oval pit with steeply sloping sides and irregularly concave base, which was 3.84m long, 1.71m wide and up to 0.73m deep. It was primarily filled by (451) a soft orange- mottled clay silt with ironstone, which was overlain by (450) a firm dark grey silt clay with charcoal, in turn overlain by (449) a firm mid- grey silt clay with ironstone, itself overlain by (448) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay with ironstone.
- 5.4.58 Intervention [482] revealed an oval pit with gradually sloping sides, a concave base, length of 0.55m, width of 0.45m and depth of 0.08m which was filled by (483) a loose brownish grey sand with occasional charcoal.
- 5.4.59 Intervention [488] revealed a circular posthole with gradually sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.20m and depth of 0.10m, which was filled by (489) a loose brown sand with charcoal and ironstone.
- 5.4.60 Intervention [718] revealed an oval pit with moderately to steeply sloping sides, a flattish concave base, length of 0.70m, width of 0.60m and depth of 0.14m, which was filled by (717) a firm grey- mottled white silt clay with ironstone.

5.5 Archaeological Features Area 1.2

5.5.1 Area 1.2 was located to the east of Area 1.1 (Figure 3) and measured 3,962 sq.m in area. It was stripped to a level of between 15.80m OD in the south and 12.82m OD in the north prior to the commencement of the archaeological investigation.

Linear Features

5.5.2 Interventions [513] A to W revealed the continuation of ditch [189] from Area 1.1 (see above (5.4.7), initially extending on the same southeasterly axis for some 35m before swing round towards the north northeast for more than 67.50m continuing into eastern limit of excavation. With a maximum width of 1.49m, and up to 0.65m deep, it had moderately to steeply sloping sides and a concave base. Intervention A was primarily filled by (514) a friable mid- grey silt clay with charcoal and ironstone, which was overlain by (515) a friable brown silt clay with charcoal and ironstone, itself overlain by (516) a friable dark to midbrown silt clay with ironstone and charcoal, in turn overlain by (521) a friable brown silt clay with ironstone and four sherds of Early Romano- British pottery. Intervention was primarily filled by (524) a firm orange- mottled grey silt clay with charcoal and ironstone, which was overlain by (523) a firm mottled orange and grey silt clay with charcoal, ironstone and eight sherds of pottery dating to c.50BC-AD70, in turn overlain by (522) a firm brownish grey silt clay with ironstone and metal. Intervention C was primarily filled by (527) a stiff light grey silt clay with charcoal flecks, which was overlain by (526) a stiff orange- tinged light grey silt clay with charcoal flecks and five sherds of pottery dating from the Late Iron Age to AD100, in turn overlain by (525) a silt sand clay matrix with charcoal, ironstone, CBM and eighteen sherds of pottery with a suggested deposition date of c.AD43-200. Intervention D was primarily filled by (535) a firm brown clay with ironstone and charcoal, which was overlain by (536) a firm brownish grey sand with occasional ironstone and CBM, itself overlain by (537) a loose dark brown sand with charcoal, ironstone, worked flint, CBM and two sherds of pottery dating to c.50BC-AD70. Intervention E was primarily filled by (538) a friable greyish brown silt clay with worked flint, which was overlain by (539) a friable light greyish brown silt clay, itself overlain by (540) a friable light brown silt clay. Intervention F was primarily filled by (551) a firm light grey silt clay with charcoal, which was overlain by (550) a loose orange- tinged light brown sandy clay with ironstone and charcoal, G was primarily filled by (552) a firm light brown silt clay, which was overlain by (553) a firm mid- greyish brown site clay with ironstone, H was primarily filled by (560) a friable light greyish brown silt clay with occasional charcoal and ironstone, which was overlain by (561) a friable light greyish brown silt clay, while intervention I was primarily filled by (611) a firm light grey silt clay with sandstone, which was overlain by (612) a firm orange- mottled light grey silt clay with charcoal and eighteen sherds of pottery dating from the Late Iron Age to AD100. Intervention J was primarily filled by (614) a firm blue grey- mottled orange silt clay, which was overlain by (615) a firm light orange grey silt clay, itself overlain by (635) a firm midgreyish brown silt clay, in turn overlain by (636) a firm light greyish brown silt clay.

Intervention K was excavated to investigate the relationship between ditches [513] and [562] (see below 5.5.4) and established that they were contemporaneous, being primarily filled by (617) a firm grey silt clay with charcoal, which was overlain by (618) a firm orange brown clay with charcoal, in turn overlain by (619) a firm brown sandy clay with charcoal. Intervention L was primarily filled by (624) a friable brown- mottled mid- grey silt clay, which was overlain by (625) a friable mid- brown silt clay, in turn overlain by (626) a friable brown- mottled light grey silt clay with charcoal and ironstone, itself overlain by (630) a friable brown silt clay with charcoal, the top fill of the intervention was (627) a friable greyish brown silt clay with ironstone. Intervention M was primarily filled by (637) a firm greyish orange clay, which was overlain by (638) a firm orange brown silt clay with ironstone, itself overlain by (639) a firm orange grey clay with ironstone, in turn overlain by (640) a firm orange brown clay sand with ironstone and charcoal. Intervention N was primarily filled by (644) a soft greyish brown silty clay with charcoal, which was overlain by (641)/(642)/(643) a soft orange- mottled greyish brown silt clay with ironstone and charcoal, while intervention O was primarily filled by (645) a friable dark grey brown silt clay, which was overlain by (646) a friable light brown silt clay, itself overlain by (647) a friable light to mid- brown silt clay. Intervention P was filled by (648) a firm mottled grey clay with ironstone, while intervention Q was primarily filled by (649) a friable greymottled brown silt clay with charcoal and daub, which was overlain by (650) a friable brown- mottled grey silt clay with ironstone and charcoal, in turn overlain by (651) a friable greyish brown silt clay with ironstone. Intervention R was primarily filled by (678) a firm orange- mottled grey silt clay with ironstone and worked flint, which was overlain by (677) a firm mottled orange and brown silt clay with ironstone, in turn overlain by (676) a firm mottled brown silt clay with ironstone and one sherd of pottery dating from the Late Iron Age to AD100., itself overlain by (675) a loose brown silt with ironstone, worked flint and one sherd of pottery dating from the Late Iron Age to AD100. Intervention S was primarily filled by (685) a soft brownish orange sandy clay with ironstone, which was overlain by (684) a soft orange grey sandy clay with ironstone, itself overlain by (686) a loose dark brown sand with charcoal and ironstone. Intervention T was primarily filled by (681) a soft orange grey sandy clay with ironstone, which was overlain by (682) a loose brown orange sand with ironstone, in turn overlain by (683) a loose dark brown sand with ironstone and 25 sherds of pottery with a suggested deposition date of c.50BC Intervention U was primarily filled by (692) a soft light grey silt clay with charcoal, which was overlain by (691) a soft orange- tinged light grey silt clay with ironstone, charcoal and worked flint, itself overlain by (690) a soft dark brown sandy clay with ironstone. Intervention V was primarily

filled by (738) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay, which was overlain by (739) a firm light grey silt clay, while intervention W was filled by (740) a firm mid- grey silt clay. This feature was truncated by ditch [741] (see below 5.5.9).

- 5.5.3 Interventions [547] A, B, C and D revealed a northwest- southeast running ditch which was 5.55m long, up to 1.10m wide and 0.19m deep. Intervention A was primarily filled by (548) a friable brown- mottled light grey silt clay, which was overlain by (549) a friable brown silt clay with ironstone and 22 sherds of pottery dating from Late Iron Age to AD100. Intervention B was filled by (589) a firm brown orange silt clay, C by (598) a firm mottled grey and orange silt clay with occasional charcoal and metal, while intervention D was filled by (623) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay containing metal and seven sherds of pottery dating to c.50BC-AD100. This feature was truncated by ditch [579] (see below 5.5.5).
- 5.5.4 Interventions [562] A to F revealed a slightly curvilinear extension of ditch [513] (see above 5.5.2) continuing to the southeast then east southeast into the limit of excavation for more than 22.30m. With moderately sloping sides and a concave base it was up to 1.24m wide and 0.59m deep. Intervention A was primarily filled by (569) a firm orange grey clay with charcoal, which was overlain by (570) a firm grey clay with charcoal, in turn overlain by (571) a firm orange grey clay sand with charcoal and CBM, itself overlain by (572) a firm orange brown sandy clay with ironstone, charcoal and CBM. Intervention B was primarily filled by (577) a firm grey- mottled orange silt clay, which was overlain by (576) a firm dark grey silt clay, with ironstone, itself overlain by (575) a firm orange- mottled dark grey silt clay, in turn overlain by (574) a firm light grey- mottled orange silt clay with occasional ironstone and CBM. Intervention C was primarily filled by (563) a firm mid- orange brown silt clay, which was overlain by (564) a firm mid- brownish grey silt clay, in turn overlain by (565) a firm light mottled grey silt clay, itself overlain by (566) a firm light orange- mottled grey silt clay. Intervention D was primarily filled by (590) a friable light greyish brown silt clay, which was overlain by (591) a friable light greyish brown silt clay, itself overlain by (592) a friable light brown silt clay. Intervention E was primarily filled by (599) a friable light greyish brown silt clay, which was overlain by (600) a friable grey brown silt clay with four sherds of pottery dating from the Late Iron Age to AD100, itself overlain by (601) a friable light brown silt clay, while intervention F was at the intersection of [562] and [513] and is discussed in 5.5.2 above.
- 5.5.5 Interventions [579] A, B, C and D revealed an angular ditch which ran northwest- southeast for 3.12m before running northeast then swinging east for more than 6.64m being

truncated by a modern land drain. With moderately to steeply sloping sides and a concave base it was up to 0.80m wide and 0.28m deep. Intervention A was filled by (580) a friable greyish brown silt clay, interventions B and C were respectively filled by (587) and (588) soft brown sandy clays, while intervention D was filled by (613) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay with eight sherds of pottery dating to c.50BC-AD103. This feature truncated ditch [547] (see above 5.5.3).

- 5.5.6 Interventions [602] A, B, C and D revealed a slightly curvilinear, broadly north north-east running ditch which was probably contemporaneous with ditch [513] (see above 5.5.2). More than 18.60m long (continuing into the southern limit of excavation), up to 1.23m wide and 0.27m deep, it had shallow to moderately sloping sides and a concave base. Intervention A was primarily filled by (603) a friable light greyish brown silt clay with charcoal flecks and two sherds of pottery dating to c.50BC-AD100, which was overlain by (604) a friable dark grey silt clay with frequent charcoal. Intervention B was filled by (629) a friable light grey silt clay with ironstone, while C and D were primarily filled by (632) a firm light grey silt clay with charcoal, which was overlain by (631) a soft light grey sandy clay with ironstone and charcoal. This feature was truncated by ditch [605] (see 5.5.7 below).
- 5.5.7 Interventions [605] A, B, C and D revealed a ditch on a similar alignment to [602] and appeared to truncate it. It had shallow to moderately sloping sides and a concave base and was up to 1.29m wide and 0.29m deep. Intervention A was primarily filled by (606) a friable light grey sandy clay with worked flint and one sherd of pottery dating to c.50Bc-AD100, which was overlain by (607) a friable dark greyish brown silt clay. Intervention B was filled by (616) a friable greyish brown silt clay, while C and D were filled by (628) a friable greyish brown silt clay with ironstone and two sherds of Late Iron Age pottery.
- 5.5.8 Interventions [673] A, B, C and D revealed an east-west running ditch in three slots machine- dug to trace it, which was more than 41.80m long (continuing into both western and eastern limits of excavation), up to 0.80m wide and 0.21m deep with gradually sloping sides and a concave base. Interventions A, B and C were filled by (674), (687) and (689) respectively, soft brown silty clays with charcoal and ironstone, while D was filled by (688) a firm brown sandy silt with charcoal. CBM was recovered from (689) and (674), while worked flint was found in (674) and one sherd of pottery dating to c400-50BC was recovered from (687), along with slag in (674).

5.5.9 Interventions [741] A and B revealed north- south running ditch fragment which was 4.22m long, up to 0.88m wide and 0.35m deep with moderately to steeply sloping sides and a concave base. Intervention A was filled by (742) a firm mottled grey and orange silt clay, while B was filled by (743) a friable light brown silt clay.

Discrete Features

- 5.5.10 Intervention [608] revealed a circular posthole with steeply sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.44m and depth of 0.21m, which was filled by (609)/(610) a firm dark greyish brown silt clay containing a fragment of clay pipe stem. This feature truncated ditch [605] (see above 5.5.7).
- 5.5.11 Intervention [511] revealed a sub- circular posthole with moderately sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter of 0.72m and depth of 0.17m, which was filled by (512) a firm mid- greyish brown silt clay with charcoal, daub and ironstone.
- 5.5.12 Intervention [529] revealed a further sub- circular posthole with steeply sloping sides, a concave base, diameter varying between 0.23 and 0.32m and a depth of 0.13m, which was filled by (528) a loose mid- grey sand with charcoal and CBM.
- 5.5.13 Intervention [531] was a sub- circular posthole with concave sides and base, diameter varying between 0.32 and 0.40m and depth of 0.05m, which was filled by (530) a firm greyish brown silt clay with worked flint and three sherds of pottery dating from the Late Iron Age to AD100.
- 5.5.14 Intervention [532] revealed a sub- oval pit with steeply sloping sides and a concave base which was 1.30m long, 0.56m wide and 0.36m deep. It was primarily filled by (533) a friable mottled light greyish brown silt clay, which was overlain by (534) a friable light brown silt clay with occasional charcoal and kiln waste.
- 5.5.15 Intervention [541] comprised a sub- circular posthole with moderately sloping sides, concave base, diameter varying between 0.30 and 0.40m and depth of 0.17m, which was filled by (542) a soft light brown silt clay with metal.
- 5.5.16 Intervention [543] revealed a sub- circular posthole with concave sides and base, maximum diameter of 0.40m and depth of 0.10m, which was filled by (544) a soft light brown silt clay with metal.
- 5.5.17 Intervention [545] revealed a sub- circular posthole with gradually sloping sides, a concave base, diameter varying between 0.38 and 0.34m and depth of 0.11m, which was filled by (546) a friable light brown silt clay.
- 5.5.18 Intervention [652] was an oval pit with shallow sides and concave base which was 3.78m long, more than 2.18m wide (continuing into the western limit of excavation) and 0.30m deep. It was filled by (653) a friable greyish brown silt clay with charcoal.
- 5.5.19 Interventions [556] A and B revealed a sub- oval pit with moderately to gradually sloping sides and an irregularly concave base, which was 4.32m long, 2.16m wide and 0.30m deep. Intervention A was primarily filled by (557) a friable brown- mottled light grey silt clay, which was overlain by (558) a friable mid- brown silt clay, in turn overlain by (559) a friable light grey- mottled mid- brown silt clay. Intervention B was primarily filled by (694) a firm grey silt clay with charcoal, CBM, worked flint and seven sherds of pottery dating to c.400-50BC.
- 5.5.20 Intervention [633] revealed a circular posthole angled into the ground at around 45 degrees, with a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.20m and depth of 0.16m. It was filled by (634) a firm light grey silt clay.
- 5.5.21 Intervention [671] revealed a sub- circular pit with shallow sides, a flat base, length of 1.43m, width of 0.63m and depth of 0.10m. It was filled by (672) a firm mid- greyish brown silty clay with four sherds of possibly residual Late Iron Age/ Early Romano- British pottery.
- 5.5.22 Intervention [679] was a circular posthole with vertical sides, a flat base, diameter of 0.36m and depth of 0.19m, which was filled by (680) a friable dark greyish brown silt clay with charcoal with CBM, worked flint and five sherds of pottery dating to c.400-50BC.

5.6 Archaeological Features Area 1.3

5.6.1 Area 1.3 was located to the south of Area 1.1 (Figure 3) and measured 3,642 sq.m in area. It was stripped to a level of between 15.95m OD in the southwest and 15.20m OD in the northeast prior to the commencement of the archaeological investigation.

Linear Features

5.6.2 Broadly north- south running ditch G18, comprising interventions [1155], [1128], [1122],
[1119], [1103] and [1166], was more than 51.00m long (continuing into both limits of excavation), up to 0.95m wide and 0.40m deep, with vertical to moderately sloping sides

and a concave to flat base. Intervention [1155] was primarily filled by (1156) a soft midgreyish brown clay sand silt with occasional charcoal, along with infrequent ironstone and sandstone, which was overlain by (1157) a firm mid- brown clay silt with frequent manganese, occasional charcoal and sandstone. Intervention [1128] was filled by (1129) a firm light grey sand silt with occasional charcoal and daub, along with one probably intrusive sherd of pottery dating to c. AD1150-1300, while [1122] was filled by (1123) a firm dark to light grey sandy silt with occasional charcoal flecks. Intervention [1119] was primarily filled by (1120) a grey sandy silt with occasional charcoal and infrequent burnt flint, which was overlain by (1121) a firm light brown sandy silt with occasional charcoal and manganese, while [1103] was filled by (1104) a firm light grey silt with occasional charcoal. Intervention [1166] was primarily filled by (1167) a firm pale grey sandy silt with occasional manganese, charcoal and infrequent burnt flint, which was overlain by (1168) a firm midbrown sand clay silt with moderate manganese and occasional charcoal. This feature was truncated by pit [1105] and ditch G16 (see below 5.6.7 and 3).

- 5.6.3 Ditch G16, comprising interventions [1169], [1101], [1109], [1111], [1117], [1126] and [1130] ran from the northern limit of excavation in a broadly east southeasterly direction for 32.60m before turning to run in a southerly direction for 2.40m. It had steeply sloping sides, a slightly concave base, and was up to 0.70m wide and 0.25m deep. Intervention [1169] was filled by (1170) a firm light greyish brown sand clay silt matrix with moderate manganese and occasional charcoal, [1101] by (1102) a firm mid- brown clay silt with infrequent daub, occasional charcoal and moderate manganese, and [1109], [1117] and [1111] by (1110), (1118) and (1112) respectively moderately compact light brown clay silts with occasional manganese and CBM. Intervention [1126] was filled by (1127) a moderately compact light grey clay silt with occasional manganese and one probably residual sherd of pottery dating to c.AD75-125/150, while [1130] was filled by (1131) a firm light grey with brown patches sandy silt with occasional charcoal flecks. This feature truncated ditch G18 and was truncated by pit [1113] and ditch G17 (see above 5.6.2 and below 5.6.8 and 4).
- 5.6.4 Sub-rectilinear enclosure/field boundary ditch G17, comprising interventions [1218], [1201], [1174], [1132], [1163], [1135], [1140], [1158], [1194], [1203], [1209] and [1216], measured 30.17m east- west, 35.76m north south, was up to 1.80m wide, 0.82m deep, and had gently to steeply sloping sides and a concave to flat base. Interventions [1218] and [1201] were filled by (1219) and (1202) respectively soft light grey sand clay silts with occasional charcoal flecks, while [1174] was primarily filled by (1175) a soft mid- grey with orange and brown patches sand clay silt with occasional charcoal, sandstone, worked flint 38

and fourteen sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c.AD75-150. Intervention [1132] was primarily filled by (1145) a moderately compact dark brownish grey clay sand silt with occasional charcoal, daub and four sherds of pottery dating to c.AD140-160/200, which was overlain by (1133) a firm light brownish grey with orange brown patches clay sand silt with occasional charcoal, itself overlain by (1134) a soft mid- grey with brown patches clay sand silt with occasional charcoal and daub. Intervention [1163] was primarily filled by (1164) a soft mid- brown sand silt with infrequent charcoal, which was overlain by (1165) a soft light grey with small orange patches sandy silt with occasional charcoal and five sherds of pottery with a suggested deposition date of c.AD 50-150. Intervention [1135] was primarily filled by (1136) a soft light grey silt sand with infrequent charcoal, which was overlain by (1137) a soft dark grey sand silt with frequent stones, pebbles, moderate charcoal, and nineteen sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c.AD75-140, itself overlain by (1138) a soft dark brownish grey sandy silt with frequent manganese, charcoal, occasional daub, stones, and sixteen sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c.AD50-100, in turn overlain by (1139) a soft mid- greyish brown clay sand silt matrix with moderate manganese, charcoal, daub, and eighteen sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c.AD50-150. Intervention [1140] was primarily filled by (1141) a soft light brownish grey sand silt with frequent charcoal, infrequent sandstone and eight sherds of pottery with a suggested deposition date of c.AD70/90-120/130, which was overlain by (1142) a soft light orange grey sand silt with infrequent charcoal and eight sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c.AD75-200, itself overlain by (1143) a soft dark grey sandy silt with frequent charcoal and twelve sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c.AD75-200, in turn overlain by (1144) a soft mid- greyish brown clay sand silt with infrequent charcoal and five sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c.AD75-150. Intervention [1158] was primarily filled by (1159) a firm pale grey sandy silt with moderate manganese, which was overlain by (1160) a moderately compact midgreyish brown sand clay silt with occasional charcoal, daub, and two sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c.AD120-150, itself overlain by (1161) a soft brown clay silt with frequent manganese and occasional charcoal and ironstone, in turn overlain by (1162) a soft brown clay silt with occasional manganese. Intervention [1194] was primarily filled by (1195) a soft light grey and brown sand clay silt with occasional charcoal, moderate manganese and one sherd of pottery dating to c.50BC-AD100/150, which was overlain by (1196) a firm red and very dark grey mottled dark greyish brown clay silt with frequent charcoal, pebbles, daub, one iron nail, worked flint and 43 sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c.AD120-150, itself overlain by (1197) a soft mid- grey with pale grey and oranges patches clay sand silt with moderate charcoal, infrequent sub- angular stones, and five sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c.AD70-150, in turn overlain by (1198) a soft pale grey sand clay silt with infrequent charcoal, the top fill of intervention [1194] being (1199) a soft dark brown clay silt with occasional charcoal, and two sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c.AD0-150. Intervention [1203] was primarily filled by (1204) a soft light grey and brown sand clay silt with occasional charcoal and moderate manganese, which was overlain by (1205) a soft dark greyish brown with very dark grey patches clay silt with frequent charcoal, occasional daub and eighteen sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c.AD75-150, itself overlain by (1206) a soft midgreyish brown with orange patches clay sand silt with occasional charcoal, in turn overlain by (1207) a soft light grey sand clay silt with infrequent charcoal, the latest fill of intervention [1203] being (1208) a soft mid- brown clay silt with occasional charcoal. Intervention [1209] was primarily filled by (1210) a soft light grey and brown sand clay silt with occasional charcoal, moderate manganese, and one sherd of pottery dating to c.AD70-125/150, which was overlain by (1211) a soft very dark grey mottled dark greyish brown clay silt with frequent charcoal and occasional daub, itself overlain by (1212) a moderately compact orange brown sand clay silt with frequent manganese and one sherd of pottery dating to c.50BC-AD150. Fill (1212) was overlain by (1213) a soft mid- greyish brown with orange patches clay sand silt with occasional charcoal, itself overlain by (1214) a soft light grey sand clay silt with infrequent charcoal, in turn overlain by (1215) a soft mid- brown clay silt with occasional charcoal. Intervention [1216] was filled by (1217) a soft midgreyish brown with orange patches clay sand silt with occasional charcoal and one sherd of pottery dating to c.AD0-150. This feature truncated ditch G16 (see above 5.6.3).

5.6.5 Sinuous broadly north-south running ditch G19, comprising interventions [1247], [1245] and [1242], was 4.50m long, up to 0.67m wide and 0.17m deep, with steeply to moderately sloping sides and a flattish base. Intervention [1247] was filled by (1248) a dark brownish grey clay sand silt with frequent manganese, charcoal and three sherds of pottery dating to c. AD0/50-125/150, [1245] by (1246) a dark brownish grey clay sand silt with frequent manganese, charcoal and one sherd of pottery dating to c. AD75-125/150, while [1242] was primarily filled by (1243) a soft light brownish grey sandy silt with frequent manganese, occasional charcoal and two sherds of pottery dating to c. 50BC-AD100/150, which was overlain by (1244) a dark brownish grey clay sand silt with frequent manganese, charcoal and two sherds of pottery dating to c. AD75-125/150, which was overlain by (1244) a dark brownish grey clay sand silt with frequent manganese, charcoal and two sherds of pottery dating to c. AD75-125/150. Probably contemporary sub- circular posthole [1249] with steeply sloping to vertical sides and a slightly concave base was

recorded in the base of intervention [1247]. With a maximum diameter of 0.38m and depth of 0.45m, post packing (1250) comprised a soft brownish grey sandy silt with occasional manganese, charcoal and one sherd of pottery dating to c. AD70-150, while post pipe fill (1251) comprised a dark brownish grey clay sand silt with frequent manganese and charcoal.

5.6.6 Northwest- southeast running ditch G20, comprising interventions [1230] and [1240], was more than 2.90m long, being truncated to the southeast by tree roots, up to 0.50m wide, 0.12m deep, and had moderately to gradually sloping sides and a slightly concave base. Intervention [1230] was filled by (1231) a soft dark brown sandy silt with occasional manganese, charcoal and one sherd of pottery dating to c. 50BC- AD125, while [1240] was filled by (1241) a soft dark brown sandy silt with occasional manganese and charcoal. This feature truncated pit [1228] (see below 5.6.25).

Discrete Features

- 5.6.7 Intervention [1105] revealed a circular pit with steeply sloping sides, a stepped base, a maximum diameter of 1.05m and depth of 0.42m. It was primarily filled by (1106) a firm orange- mottled light grey clay silt, which was overlain by (1107) a firm light grey and orange brown clay silt, with moderate manganese, itself overlain by (1108) a firm midbrown clay silt with moderate manganese. This feature truncated ditch G18 (see above 5.6.2).
- 5.6.8 Intervention [1113] revealed a circular pit with gently sloping sides, a flat base, maximum diameter of 1.40m and depth of 0.10m, which was filled by (1114) a moderately compact dark grey clay silt with moderate charcoal and CBM. This feature truncated ditch G16 (see above 5.6.3).
- 5.6.9 Intervention [1124] comprised a circular pit with steep sides, a pointed base, maximum diameter of 0.32m and depth of 0.14m, which was filled by (1125) a firm light grey silt clay with moderate charcoal.
- 5.6.10 Intervention [1115] revealed an oval pit with gently sloping sides, concave base, maximum length of 0.27m, width of 0.24m and depth of 0.09m, which was filled by (1116) a moderately compact light grey clay silt with infrequent manganese and CBM.
- 5.6.11 Intervention [1188] revealed an oval pit with moderately to steeply sloping sides, an uneven stepped base, maximum length of 2.10m, width of 1.16m and depth of 0.30m. It

was primarily filled by (1189) a firm orange mottled mid- grey sand clay silt, which was overlain by (1190) a soft very dark grey clay silt with occasional charcoal and daub, itself overlain by (1191) a firm dark brown mottled mid- brown sand clay silt with frequent manganese and one sherd of pottery dating to c. 50BC-AD100/125, in turn overlain by (1192) a soft mid- brown clay silt, with occasional charcoal, daub, moderate manganese and three sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c. AD75-150. This feature was probably contemporary with pit [1183] and truncated pit [1181] (see below 5.6.13 and 12).

- 5.6.12 Intervention [1181] was a circular pit with steep sides, a cut away base, maximum diameter of 0.35m and depth of 0.17m, which was filled by (1182) a firm light grey sandy silt with occasional charcoal. This feature was truncated by pit [1188] (see above 5.6.11).
- 5.6.13 Intervention [1183] revealed an oval pit with moderately to steeply sloping sides, an uneven base, maximum length of 2.10m, width of 1.34m and depth of 0.38m. It was primarily filled by (1184) a firm orange mottled mid- grey sand clay silt, which was overlain by (1185) a firm dark brown- mottled mid- brown clay silt with occasional charcoal and daub, itself overlain by (1186) a soft mid- brown clay silt with occasional charcoal, daub, moderate manganese and two sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c. AD75/100-150, and also overlain by (1187) a soft very dark grey clay silt, with occasional charcoal and charcoal and daub. This feature was probably contemporary with pit [1188] (see above 5.6.11).
- 5.6.14 Intervention [1146] revealed an elongated oval kiln with very steep to undercut sides and flattish base which was 1.04m long, 0.57m wide and up to 0.23m deep and had been truncated by root activity. It was primarily filled by (1148)/(1149} soft very dark grey charcoal sand silt matrices, which were overlain by (1050) a moderately compact light brown with orange and dark grey patches sandy silt with infrequent charcoal, itself overlain by (1151) a soft matrix of mid- grey, light brown and dark brown cand clay silt with occasional charcoal, infrequent very small angular stones and one sherd of pottery dating to c.50BC- AD75/100.
- 5.6.15 Intervention [1147] was a sub- oval kiln with steeply sloping to vertical sides with a mostly flat base except for a concave northern chamber, which was 1.50m long, 0.74m wide and 0.26m deep. It was primarily filled by (1171) a firm very dark grey clay silt charcoal matrix, which was overlain by (1172) a firm red, very dark grey and brown clay silt charcoal daub matrix with one sherd of pottery dating to c. AD75-125/150, itself overlain by (1173) a firm

dark greyish brown clay silt with frequent charcoal and daub, with four sherds of pottery dating to c.AD0-150.

- 5.6.16 Intervention [1177] revealed a sub- square pit with gently sloping to vertical sides, flat base, maximum length of 0.34m, width of 0.32m and depth of 0.22m, which was filled by (1178) a soft greyish mid- brown sand clay silt with occasional charcoal, infrequent daub and three sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c. AD75-125/150.
- 5.6.17 Intervention [1179] was a semi- circular pit with moderately sloping sides, a slightly concave base, maximum length of 0.29m, width of 0.23m and depth of 0.12m, which was filled by (1180) a soft dark brown sand clay silt and two sherds of pottery dating to c. 50BC- AD75.
- 5.6.18 Intervention [1152] revealed a sub- circular kiln with moderately sloping to vertical sides and a flat slightly sloping base, maximum length of 0.52m, width of 0.37m and depth of 0.12m. It was primarily filled by (1153) a firm very dark grey charcoal deposit with clay, sand, silt and daub, which was overlain by (1154) a dumped deposit of kiln waste.
- 5.6.19 Intervention [1222] revealed an irregular cruciform- shaped pit with gently sloping sides, concave base which measured 1.16m north- south, 1.00m east- west, and was 0.07m deep. It was primarily filled by (1223) a moderately compact very dark grey charcoal deposit, which was overlain by (1224)/(1225) a firm dark brown clay silt with occasional daub with frequent charcoal.
- 5.6.20 Intervention [1252] comprised an oval pit with steeply sloping sides, a flat base, a maximum length of 1.50m, width of 0.96m and depth of 0.30m. It was primarily filled by (1253) a dark grey sand clay silt with occasional manganese, charcoal and one sherd of pottery, which was overlain by (1254) a soft light brown sandy silt with moderate manganese, occasional charcoal and four possibly residual sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c.AD75-150 and one much later sherd dating to c. AD175/200-225, itself overlain by (1255) a soft mid- brownish grey clay sand silt with frequent manganese, moderate charcoal and twelve possibly residual sherds of pottery dating to c. AD50-150, along with three sherds dating to c.AD175/200-225.
- 5.6.21 Intervention [1232] revealed a circular pit with steeply sloping sides, flattish base, maximum diameter of 0.74m and depth of 0.34m. It was primarily filled by (1233) a firm light greyish brown and dark brown clay sand silt with frequent manganese and occasional charcoal, which was overlain by (1234) a firm dark brownish grey clay sand silt with

moderate manganese and occasional charcoal, itself overlain by (1235) a soft dark brown clay silt with moderate manganese, occasional charcoal and three sherds of pottery dating to c. 50BC- AD100. This feature was probably contemporary with pit [1236] (see below 5.6.22).

- 5.6.22 Intervention [1236] revealed a further circular pit with steeply sloping sides which were stepped to the north-east, a flat base, maximum diameter of 0.82m and depth of 0.35m. It was primarily filled by (1237) a firm dark greyish brown clay sand silt with frequent manganese, occasional charcoal and three sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c. AD117-250, which was overlain by (1238) a firm mid- brownish grey with patches of light yellowish grey sand clay silt with moderate manganese and occasional charcoal, itself overlain by (1239) a soft dark brown clay silt with moderate manganese, occasional charcoal and three sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c. 50/0BC- AD100-125. This feature was probably contemporary with pit [1232] (see above 5.6.21).
- 5.6.23 Intervention [1220] was a circular pit with gently sloping sides, a flat base, maximum diameter of 0.54m and depth of 0.06m, which was filled by (1221) a soft mid- greyish brown clay silt with infrequent charcoal and bone.
- 5.6.24 Intervention [1193] revealed a sub- circular pit with gently sloping sides, a flat base, maximum diameter of 0.81m and depth of 0.06m, which was filled by (1200) a firm brownmottled red clay silt with frequent manganese, moderate daub and eighteen sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c. AD75-125/150.
- 5.6.25 Intervention [1228] revealed a circular pit with very steep to near vertical sides, a flat base, maximum diameter of 0.40m and depth of 0.40m, which was filled by (1229) a firm light grey sand clay silt with frequent manganese, occasional charcoal and eleven sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c. 50/0BC-AD-100/125 and one possibly intrusive sherd dating to c. AD75-125/150. This feature was truncated by ditch G20 and truncated pit [1226] (see above 5.6.6 and below 5.6.26).
- 5.6.26 Intervention [1226] comprised a circular pit with very steep sides, a flat base, maximum diameter of 0.80m and depth of 0.25m which was filled by (1227) a soft brown clay silt with frequent charcoal, manganese, infrequent sub- angular stones and three sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c. AD75-125/150.

5.7 Archaeological Features Area 1.4

5.7.1 Area 1.4 was located to the west of Area 1.3 (Figure 3) and measured 593sq.m in area. It was stripped to a level of approximately 15.90m OD. No archaeologically significant features or deposits were recorded in this area.

5.8 Archaeological Features Area 2.1

5.8.1 Area 2.1 was located to the west of the Site (Figure 3) and measured 5,994sq.m in area. It was stripped to a level of between 15.30m OD in the south and 17.97m OD in the north prior to the commencement of the archaeological investigation.

Linear Features

- 5.8.2 Ditch G10 continued into Area 2.2 and is discussed below in 5.9.6.
- 5.8.3 Ditch G12 began in Area 2.2 and ran in a broadly south- north direction for 34.86m before turning towards the north northeast for a further 16.65m and re-entering Area 2.2. Revealed by interventions [6], [9] A, B, C and D, [50], [108], [116], [120] in Area 2.1 along with [1063], [1067] and [1069] in Area 2.2, it had moderately to steeply sloping sides, a concave base, maximum width of 1.73m and depth of up to 0.63m. Intervention [6] was primarily filled by (57) a friable light to mid- brown silt clay, which was overlain by (5) a friable, mid- brown silt clay with ironstone, burnt flint and twelve sherds of Middle/Late Bronze Age pottery. Interventions [9] A, B, C and D were filled by (8) a friable brown silt clay with ironstone, burnt flint and one probably intrusive sherd of post- medieval pottery, [50] by (51) a similar deposit to (8), while [108] was filled by (107) a firm brown clay with worked flint and one possibly intrusive sherd of post- medieval pottery. Intervention [116] was filled by (115) a loose mottled grey sand with worked flint, while [120] was filled by (119) a similar fill containing one sherd of pottery dating to c.AD1100-1250. Interventions [1063], [1067] and [1069] were respectively filled by (1064), (1068) and (1070) firm midbrownish grey clay silts with occasional to frequent manganese flecks. This feature was truncated by ditch G10 (see below 5.9.6).
- 5.8.4 Ditch G13 comprising interventions [101], [104], [106] and [114] had gradually sloping sides, a concave base, was up to 1.19m wide, 0.30m deep and more than 11.78m long continuing into the southern limit of excavation. Intervention [101] was filled by (102) a loose greyish brown sand, and [104] was filled by (103) a firm orange brown clay silt. Intervention [106] was filled by (105) a loose grey sandy silt, while [114] was filled by (113)

a soft mid- brown silt sand with two sherds of pottery with a possible deposition date of c.AD1250-1500.

5.8.5 Slightly curvilinear broadly north- south running ditch G15 comprised interventions [23] A, B and C, along with [74] A and B. It had moderately sloping sides, a concave base, was 15.4m long, up to 0.85m wide and 0.22m deep. Interventions [23] A B and C were filled by (22) a friable mid- grey silt clay containing metal, while [74] A and B were filled by (73) a loose light brownish grey sand. This feature was contemporary with ditch G10 (see below 5.9.6).

Discrete Features

- 5.8.6 Intervention [4] revealed an irregular sub- oval pit with steeply to moderately sloped sides and a flattish base which was 2.62m long, 1.40m wide and 0.23m deep. It was filled by (3) a firm greyish brown silt sand with worked flint and 61 sherds of Late Bronze Age pottery.
- 5.8.7 Intervention [21] revealed a sub- circular pit with steeply sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter of 1.25m and depth of 0.69m. It was primarily filled by (49) a firm greyish light brown sand clay with ironstone, charcoal with worked flint, which was overlain by (48) a firm light brown sand clay with charcoal and eighteen sherds of pottery with a suggested deposition date of the Late Bronze Age to Early Iron Age, in turn overlain by (20) a friable grey silt sand with charcoal, ironstone and two possibly intrusive sherds of Medieval pottery.
- 5.8.8 Intervention [25] revealed a sub- oval pit with gently sloping sides, length of 0.56m, width of 0.38m and depth of 0.09m, which was filled by (24) a friable mid grey slightly silty clay.
- 5.8.9 Intervention [27] comprised a sub- circular pit with vertical sides, a concave base, maximum depth of 0.72m and depth of 0.22m. It was filled by (26) a firm light orange brown clay silt with charcoal and daub.
- 5.8.10 Interventions [61], [63] and [67] revealed three circular postholes which were grouped together as G14. Intervention [61] had gently sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.38m and depth of 0.14m, [63] had steeply sloping sides, a flat base, maximum diameter of 0.37m and depth of 0.15m, while [67] had moderately sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.30m and depth of 0.14m. [61] and [63] were respectively filled by (60) and (62) firm mid- brown clays, while [67] was filled by a loose greyish brown sand. These features may represent part of a fenceline.

- 5.8.11 Intervention [65] revealed a circular posthole with moderately sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.25m, depth of 0.10m, and was filled by (64) a friable brown silt clay.
- 5.8.12 Intervention [59] revealed an oval pit with gently sloping sides, concave base, length of 0.72m, width of 0.68m and depth of 0.13m. It was filled by (58) a firm light brown silt clay. This feature was truncated by ditch G12 (see above 5.8.3).
- 5.8.13 Intervention [69] was an oval pit with gently sloping sides, a concave base, length of 1.24m, width of 0.58m and depth of 0.13m, which was filled by (68) a soft greyish light brown sand clay with ironstone and worked flint.
- 5.8.14 Intervention [47] revealed a circular posthole with moderately sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.23m and depth of 0.07m, which was filled by (46) a friable grey silt clay with charcoal.

5.9 Archaeological Features Area 2.2

5.9.1 Area 2.2, to the east of Area 2.1, occupied 2,429sq m. It was stripped to a level of between 15.52m OD in the south and 17.52m OD in the north prior to the commencement of the archaeological investigation.

Linear Features

5.9.2 Linear feature G6, comprising interventions [82], [84], [91], [1000], [1009], [1027], [1039], [1047] and [1059], ran in a broadly east-west direction from probably contemporaneous ditch G12 (see above 5.8.3) for 27m before swinging south south-east for 13m, with moderately to gradually sloping sides and a flattish concave base, and was up to 1.63m wide and 0.55m deep. Intervention [82] was filled by (81), a firm light grey silt clay with ironstone, while [91] was filled by (92) a firm mid-brown sand clay. Intervention [1000] was primarily filled by (1001) a loose white- mottled light grey sandy silt with pottery, which was overlain by (1002) a soft mid- grey silty clay. Intervention [1009] was filled by (1010) a soft light brown silt clay with occasional charcoal flecks, while intervention [1027] was filled by (1028) a loose mid- brown sandy silt with pottery, and [1039] was filled by (1040) a loose mid- brown silty sand with sandstone and pottery. Intervention [1047] was filled by (1048) a loose mid- brown sandy silt with pottery sherds, while [1059] was filled by (1048) a loose mid- brown sandy silt with pottery sherds, while [1059] was filled by similar deposit (1060) a This feature truncated ditches G7 and G8 (see below 5.9.3 and 5.9.4). Intervention

[84] was filled by (83) a hard grey clay with CBM and four sherds of pottery with a suggested deposition date of c.AD1200-1350.

- 5.9.3 North northeast- south southwest running ditch G7, comprising interventions [1029], [1023]A, [1023]B, [1025] and [1031] was 16.50m long, up to 0.52m wide and 0.17m deep with gradually sloping sides and a flattish concave base. Interventions [1023] A and B were filled by (1024) a loose mottled orange sand, and [1025] by (1026) a loose light brownish-grey silty sand. Intervention [1029] was filled by (1030) a loose mottled brownish orange silt sand with sandstone, and [1031] by (1032) a loose mid- brown sandy silt. This feature was truncated by ditch G6 (see above 5.9.2).
- Ditch G8, formed of interventions [94], [97], [110], [1033], [1035], [1037] and [1053], ran an 5.9.4 a broadly north- south axis for 32m, was up to 0.94m wide, 0.28m deep and had gradually sloping sides and a concave base. Intervention [1033] was filled by (1034) a soft mid- brown silt clay, while [1035] was filled by (1036) a loose orange- mottled brown silt sand with sandstone and infrequent charcoal. Intervention [1037] was filled by (1038) a loose mottled brown silt sand with sandstone, infrequent charcoal and pottery, and intervention [1053] was filled by (1054) a loose brown silt clay sand matrix with sandstone. Intervention [94] was primarily filled by (98) a firm light grey silty clay with burnt flint and CBM, which was overlain by (93) a firm light to dark grey silty clay with burnt flint, CBM and thirteen sherds of pottery with a suggested deposition date of c.AD1150-1250. Intervention [97] was primarily filled by (96) a hard dark brown clay with CBM and eight sherds of pottery with a suggested deposition date of c.AD1250-1350, which was overlain by (95) a hard dark grey gravelly clay with CBM and pottery. Intervention [110] was filled by (109) a firm midgreyish brown silt clay with burnt flint and one probably residual shed of pottery dating to c.BC50-AD250+.
- 5.9.5 Slightly curvilinear ditch G9, comprising interventions [1015], [1017], [1019] and [1021], was more than 17.65m long (continuing into the eastern limit of excavation), up to 0.60m wide and 0.13m deep, with gradually sloping sides and a concave base. Interventions [1015], [1017] and [1019] were respectively filled by (1016), (1018) and (1020) loose midbrown sandy silts with charcoal inclusions, while [1021] was filled by (1022) a loose midbrown sandy silt. Pottery was also recovered from (1020).
- 5.9.6 Ditch G10, comprising interventions [11] A and B, [72] A, B and C, in Area 2.1 and [1005], [1007], [1011], [1013] and [1061] in Area 2.2 ran in a broadly east northeast- west

southwest direction in Area 2.2 before swinging into a more west northwesterly direction as it ran into Area 2.1 and continuing into the western limit of excavation for a total length of more than 65.55m. With gradually to steeply sloping sides and a flattish concave base, it was up to 1.79m wide and 0.57m deep. Intervention [1005] was filled by (1006) a loose grey- mottled light brown silty sand with ironstone and pottery, intervention [1007] was filled by (1008) a loose light brown silty sand, while [1011] was filled by (1012) a loose midbrown silty sand. Intervention [1013] was filled by (1014) a loose mid- brown silty sand, while [1061] was filled by (1062) a firm mid- brown clay silt. Interventions [11] A and B were filled by (10) a loose light greyish brown silt sand with ironstone, burnt flint and one sherd of Medieval pottery, while [72] B and C were filled by (70) a loose grey sand, and [72] A was primarily filled by (71) a loose light brown sand, which was overlain by (70). This feature truncated ditch G12 and was contemporary with ditch G15 (see above 5.8.5).

- 5.9.7 Ditch G11 ran on a broadly east-west axis for some 32m, just extending into Area 2.1, and comprised interventions [1043], [1045], [1049], [1051], [1057] and [1065]. Up to 1.62m wide and 0.30m deep, it had gradually sloping sides and a concave base. Intervention [1043] was filled by (1044) a loose mid- brown silty sand with sandstone and pottery, [1045] was filled by (1046) a similar loose mid- brown silt sand with sandstone and pottery, while [1049] was filled by (1050) a loose light to mid- brown silty sand with sandstone. Intervention [1051] was filled by (1052) a soft mid- brown silt clay, while intervention [1057] was filled by (1058) a firm mid- brownish grey clay silt. Intervention [1065] was filled by (1066) a firm light brownish grey clay silt.
- 5.9.8 Ditch G12 was mostly in Area 2.1. and is discussed above in 5.8.3.

Discrete Features

- 5.9.9 Intervention [1003] revealed an oval posthole with steep sides, a sharply concave base, length of 0.22m, width of 0.19m and depth of 0.22m, which was filled by (1004) a soft midbrown silt clay with manganese and charcoal.
- 5.9.10 Intervention [1055] revealed a sub- circular posthole with moderately sloping sides, a concave base, diameter varying between 0.38 and 0.30m, and depth of 0.32m, which was filled by (1056) a soft dark brown silt clay with sandstone daub and charcoal.

- 5.9.11 Intervention [1071] revealed an oval posthole, with gently sloping sides, a concave base, length of 0.30m, width of 0.24m and depth of 0.06m, which was filled by (1072) a firm midbrownish grey clay silt with charcoal and manganese flecks.
- 5.9.12 Intervention [76] revealed a circular posthole with steeply sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.30m and depth of 0.24m. It was filled by (75) a loose light brownish grey sand with manganese and one sherd of pottery dating to c.AD1250-1500.
- 5.9.13 Intervention [78] revealed a sub- circular pit with moderately sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.67m and depth of 0.28m. It was filled by (77) a friable light brownish grey sand.
- 5.9.14 Intervention [80] comprised an irregular oval pit with steeply sloping sides, a flat base, length of 0.80m, width of 0.66m and depth of 0.22m. It was filled by (79) a loose light brownish grey sand with ironstone and manganese.
- 5.9.15 Intervention [85] revealed a sub-rectangular pit with steeply sloping sides, a flat base, maximum length of 0.71m, width of 0.33m and depth of 0.17m. It was filled by (86) a firm mid- greyish brown sand clay.
- 5.9.16 Intervention [87] revealed a sub- circular posthole with steeply sloping sides, a concave base, maximum diameter of 0.27m and depth of 0.15m, which was filled by (88) a firm mid-brown sand clay.
- 5.9.17 Intervention [89] was a sub- oval pit with gently sloping sides, a concave base, length of 0.82m, width of 0.68m and depth of 0.12m. It was filled by (90) a firm mid- greyish brown sand clay.
- 5.9.18 Intervention [100] revealed an irregular sub- oval pit with steeply sloping sides, concave base, length of 1.93m, width of more than 0.84m (continuing into the southern limit of excavation) and depth of 0.38m, which was filled by (99) a loose light greyish brownish orange sand with charcoal.
- 5.9.19 Intervention [112] revealed a circular posthole with moderately sloping sides, concave base, maximum diameter of 0.60m and depth of 0.20m, which was filled by (111) a friable mid- grey sand silt with charcoal.

5.9.20 Intervention [118] was a sub-circular pit with steeply sloping sides, a flat base, maximum diameter of 1.60m and depth of 0.14m, which was filled by (117) a firm orange brown clay silt. This feature truncated G8.

6 FINDS

6.1 Introduction

- 6.1.1 A relatively large ceramic assemblage was recovered from the site. A large number of other finds and environmental samples were also recovered which will be processed and evaluated as part of the next phase of work.
- 6.1.2 Initial assessment and dating of the ceramics is provided below in three separate reports.

6.2 Ceramic Assemblage 1

By Mike Seager Thomas

- 6.2.1 A pottery assemblage consisting of 77 sherds with a total weight of 880 grams was submitted for analysis. The sherds came from five different contexts. Three period groups are represented, later Bronze Age from contexts (3)[4] and (5)[6], high medieval from contexts (10)[11] and (20)[21] and post medieval from contexts (8)[[9] (Table 1).
- 6.2.2 The later Bronze Age group consists of coarse flint-tempered wares characteristic of both of Sussex and south Kent Middle Bronze Age Deverel-Rimbury and Sussex and south Kent Late Bronze Age post Deverel-Rimbury pottery traditions. Owing to a lack of feature sherds, it is impossible to divide the assemblage between, or place it within one or other of these traditions with certainty. The small range, and overall coarseness of the fabrics, however, would suggest an earlier rather than later attribution for it; while the thickness of the sherds, would suggest a later one. Perhaps therefore it falls somewhere between the two—maybe the very beginning of the Late Bronze Age (*c*. 1100 BC).
- 6.2.3 Later Bronze Age pottery is not well represented in the far east of Sussex, and this assemblage is of note for this reason alone. Also of note is its close similarity to contemporary material from elsewhere in Sussex and Kent.
- 6.2.4 The medieval group is distinguishable as such because of its unambiguous medieval rim forms and (relatively) hard sandy fabrics, which are similar to other Sussex medieval fabrics. Ironstone of is occasionally present locally in pottery of this date.

	Table 1: pottery from BEX EX19					
Context(s)	Fabric(s)	No of sherds	Weight	Diagnostics	Spot date	
(3)[4]	CF, MCF, SMCF	61	655	thick and thin walls; fingered finishes	LBA	
(5)[6]	CF	12	180	thick walls	MBA/LBA	
(8)[[9]	fine Q	1	5	glazed (?) moulded ware; very hard	post MED	
(10)[11]	Q	1	15	squared, notched rim	MED	
(20)[21]	QFe	2	25	round/ round shouldered jar with squared rim	MED	

CF=coarse flint tempered; MCF=medium to coarse flint tempered; SMCF=sparse medium to coarse flint tempered; fine Q=fine quartz sand inclusions; Q=medium quartz sand (and other unidentified inclusions); QFe=medium quartz sand and medium to coarse roasted iron stone inclusions

6.3 Ceramic Assemblage 2

By Malcolm Lyne

6.3.1 Late Bronze Age-to-Early Iron Age

P1.Handmade lumpy black fired patchy brown/black/pink with profuse ill-sorted <5.00 mm.protruding calcined flint and grog filler

P2.Handmade black with moderate <50 mm. protruding calcined flint and black grog filler.

P3A.Handmade lumpy fabric with profuse <2.00 mm. brown ferrous inclusions.

P3B.Handmade lumpy fabric with profuse <2.00 mm. brown ferrous and sparse to occasional

<2.00 mm. calcined flint inclusions

6.3.2 Late Iron Age and Roman

C1A. Soapy fine East Sussex Ware.

C1B. East Sussex Ware with profuse camauflaged grog filler.

C1C. East Sussex Ware with varying quantities of black and white grog inclusions

C1D. East Sussex Ware with profuse multi-coloured grog filler.

C1E. East Sussex Ware with white siltstone grog

C1H. East Sussex Ware with sand and grog filler

C1J. Vesicular East Sussex Ware with profuse <2.00 mm. vesicles from the leaching-out of calcareous white inclusions.

C2. Handmade Beddingham/Ranscombe ware with profuse protruding <2.00 mm alluvial flint, ironstone and quartz-sand grit filler

C39.Wheel-turned orange fabric with profuse 0.50<2.00 mm. red-brown ferrous inclusions and occasional <2.00 mm. guartz-sand.

C40.Wheel-turned orange fabric with profuse <0.30 mm. multi-coloured quartz-sand filler and external white slip.

F1A. South Gaulish La Graufesenque Samian

- F1D. Central Gaulish Samian.
- F9. North Kent Fine ware

6.3.3 Medieval

M1A.Black fabric fired patchy brown/black/orange with profuse <2.00 mm. black ironstone, quartz and alluvial flint filler.

M1B.Finer version with <0.50 mm. inclusions

M2. fired orange-pink with profuse <0.50 mm. black ironstone filler

M3.Pink-orange fabric with <0.50 mm. black ironstone and <0.30 mm. iron-stained quartz-

sand filler and splashed external apple-green glaze.

M4.Black/pink rough fabric with profuse <0.30 mm. iron-stained quartz-sand filler

M5.Grey-black rough fabric with profuse <0.30 mm. quartz-sand and sparse <2.00 mm calcareous white inclusions

M6.Very-fine-sanded pink with <0.10 mm. iron-stained quartz-sand and external green glaze

M7.Blue-grey earthenware fired pink

M8.Vitrified black fabric fired purple. ?Normandy

6.3.4 Catalogue

Context	Fabric	Form	Date-range	No of sherds	Weight in gm	Comments
Area 1, 2 Surface	C1E sparse fill	Jar	c.50-0BC	6	40G	Fresh
Area 1B, 2 Surface	РЗА		Residual Early Iron Age	1	6G	Abraded
Area 1B, 3 Surface	C1E sparse fill		Residual Late Iron Age	1	15G	Abraded
[21] 48	P1 P2	?Urn Jar base	c.1000-500/400BC ?Early-to-M.I.A	17 1	190 13	Fresh 1 pot sl abraded
			L.B.A to E.I.A	18	203G	
[76] 75	M6	Jug	c.1250-1500	1	4G	Fresh
[84] 83	M2 M3 M7	Cooking-pot	c.1200-1350 c.1200-1350	2 1 1	42 3 6	Fresh Fresh Fresh
			c.1200-1350	4	51G	
[94] 93	M1A M4	Cooking-pot	c.1100-1250 c.1150-1350	11 2	101 8	Fresh fresh
			c.1150-1250	13	109G	
[97] 96	M1A M4 M5	Cooking-pot jug Cooking-pot	c.1100-1250 c.1200-1350 c.1200-1350	4 3 1	11 6 9	Fresh fresh s l.abraded
			c.1250-1350	8	26G	
[108] 107 Area 2B	M7	?	Post Medieval	1	63G	Fresh
[110] 109	C1E		c.50BC-AD.250+	1	1G	Abraded
[114] 113	M1B M6	Cooking-pot jug	c.1150-1250 c.1250-1500	1	8 4	Sl abraded abraded
			?Residual	2	12G	
[120] 119	M1A	Cooking-pot	c.1100-1250	1	8G	Fresh
[122] 121	C1D C1J F9	Jar Pedestal jar base 3B1 jar	c.50BC-AD70 c.43-100	3 1 8	12 82 43	Fresh fresh fresh 1 pot
			c.43-70	12	137G	
[125] 124	C1D OX	Jar basal		1	9G	Fresh
[122] Slot B 127	C1D C1H C1J Burnt bone	Open form jar base jar	c.43-100 c.50BC-AD70/100	2 4 4 1	26 46 35	Fresh fresh fresh
			c.43-100	10	107g	
[122] Slot C 159	C1D C1E Fine F1A	Necked-jarsx3 Butt beaker	c.0-200 c.43-100 c.43-110	26 5 1	308 62 3	Fresh fresh
			c.43-100	32	373G	
Area 1 170	РЗА		Early-to-M.1.A but residual	2	16G	Abraded
176	РЗВ		Early to M.I.A	3	5G	Fresh and abraded
Area 1 177	C1E Variety Fired clay	Cylindrical prop		1 4	435 81	Fresh
[168] Slot B 183	C1D	Necked jar	c.50BC-AD100	17	98G	Fresh 1 jar
[147] Slot D 188	C1D	Necked jar	c.50BC-AD100	17	118G	Fresh 1jar
[207] 209	ClJ	Open form	c.50BC-AD50	8	266G	Fresh 1 pot
[160] 212	C1C OX		Residual	2	9G	Abraded
[160] 214	C39	Flagon	c.50-150	3	16G	Fresh 1 pot
[172]	C40	Flagon	c.70-200	27	191G	Fresh 1 pot

[240] 243 <8>	C2 bone	Necked jar	Early Roman	7	29G	Fresh 1 pot
[290] 289	F1D	Deep Dr 31	c.160-200	2	15G	
[295] 294	C1D OX		Early Roman	2	4G	Fresh
[393] 392	C1D OX	Closed form	Early Roman	3	11G	
397	C1E sparse filler	Jar	L.I.A-AD100	3	6G	Fresh
Area 1 435	C1B C1C	Closed form	L.I.AAD100	1 4	2 17	Abraded fresh
			L.I.AAD100	5	19G	
Area 1 516	C1D	Jar	Early Roman	4	12G	Fresh
[513] Slot B 523	C1E	Jar	c.50BC-AD70	8	56G	Fresh
[513] Slot C 525	C1C R16 Tile	Jarsx2 jarsx2 floor-tile	c.43-100 c.43-200+ Early Roman	16 2 2	110 5 879	Fresh fresh fresh
			c.43-200	18	115G	
[513] Slot C 526	C1C		L.I.AAD100	5	111G	Fresh 1 pot
[531] 530	C1C	Closed form	L.I.AAD100	3	17G	Fresh 1 pot
Area 1B [513] 537	C1A	Closed form	c.50BC-AD70	2	8G	Fresh
Area 1B 549	C1B C1C		L.I.AAD100	4 18	20 61	Fresh and abraded Fresh and abraded
			L.I.A-AD.100	22	81G	
[562] Slot E 600	CIC		L.I.A-AD.100	4	32G	Fresh 1 pot
[602] 603	C1E sparse fill	Jar base	c.50BC-AD100	2	13G	
[605] 606	C1E sparse fill		c.50BC-AD100	1	2G	
[512] 612	C1B C1C MISC	Jar	L.I.AAD100	8 9 1	43 70 2	Fresh Fresh
			L.I.AAD100	18	115G	
[579] Slot D 613	C1E sparse fill	Jar	c.50BC-AD100	8	27G	Fresh
[622] 620	C1D		Early Roman	6	30G	Fresh and abraded
[579] Slot D 623	C1C		c.50BC-AD100	7	45G	Fresh and abraded
Area 1B 628	C1E	Thick-walled jar	Late Iron Age	2	35G	Fresh
[671] 672	C1D C1E			3 1	9 7	Abraded Abraded
			Residual	4	16G	
[513] Slot R 675	C1C		L.I.AAD.100	1	13G	Fresh
[513] Slot R 676	C1C	Jar basal	L.I.AAD.100	1	16G	Fresh
[679] 680	P3A P3B Fired clay	Finger jabbed pot	c.400-50BC c.400-50BC	1 4 2	11 18 2	Abraded lump fresh abraded
			c.400-50BC	5	29G	
[673] Slot B 687	P3A Fired clay		c.400-50BC	1 1	4 1	Fresh abraded
				1	5G	
[556] Slot B 683	C1B P3B	Jar jar	L.I.A-Roman c.400-50BC	5 20	27 137	Fresh Fresh
			c.50BC	25	164G	
[556] Slot B 694	P3B Fired clay	Finger jabbed pot	c.400-50BC	7 1	81 6	
				7	81G	

6.4 Ceramic Assemblage 3 By Paul Hart

6.4.1 A total of 276 sherds of pottery weighing a total of 7158 g were presented and catalogued. All dates given throughout are *circa*. There is evidence for activity within several periods and these are listed below. The estimate of the numbers of vessels present may give an indication of the relative different degrees of activity that produced these assemblages, with regards to the amount or length of human presence and whether this site was nearer the centre of the activity or perhaps on the periphery of it.

Ceramic presence	Main focus	
Late Iron Age to Early Roman	50 BC/0 to 75/100 AD	19/23 vessels
Early Roman	50/75 to 150 AD	93/97 vessels
Mid Roman	150 to 250 AD	3 vessels
Early Medieval to Medieval	1150 to 1300 AD	1 vessel

The main focus occurs between around 75 and 150 AD. Activity prior to this, but perhaps likely after 0 AD, is possible, though none of the pottery of Late to Latest Iron Age 'Belgic' style that is present can be said to certainly pre-date the conquest on current evidence. Much may depend upon a consideration of any stratigraphic relationships that can be established for those contexts that contain the reduced 'Belgic' style grog tempered forms that would typically date up to around 75 or 100 AD, with the contexts producing the Romanising 'Belgic' style grog tempered oxidised wares that would likely date from around 75 to 125/150 AD overall. Despite the process of Romanisation in some aspects of pottery manufacture, soft reduced grog tempered fabrics continued to be produced 'locally' through much of the Early Roman. No forms in such wares that would be solely pre-conquest are present and, as both of the types that occur here are equally soft fired, it is possible that some of these vessels were intentionally fired to either oxidised or reduced colours during the same period. Given that, there is a slight preference on current evidence that the ceramic activity on this site could start around or a little after 50 AD, though noting that a limited presence could technically/potentially have occurred earlier.

These grogged fabrics, likely of relatively local manufacture, are dominant in the assemblage, comprising over half of the number of vessels represented. The most commonly occurring

rims are from vessels that would typically/traditionally date up to around 100 AD and were perhaps not certainly produced later than 125 AD. A degree of use-life, curation and flexibility must be factored-in of course, but there is no evidence for the harder fired grog tempered wares that would be expected to be produced after around 150/175 AD. A small quantity of likely locally/regionally produced sandy wares, plus some fine silty wares which may be regional, if not Southern British, products, occur in forms that also likely date up to around 125 AD. One sherd of a soft BB2 type fine sandy ware was present, which could date from around 120 to 150 AD.

A small number of the other Roman wares present could have been manufactured after 150 AD, though interestingly these are all potentially non-regional and continental imports. This Mid Roman material most notably comprises some, but not all, of the Samian and potentially a minor element of the amphora. While the local/regional Early Roman products could have had a long use-life and been discarded within the Mid Roman (more likely before 200 AD), the lack of any such products certainly made during this time would suggest a significant winding-down of activity during the early stages of that period after 150 AD. Notably, oddly, all of the Samian appears in a highly abraded and worn state. This includes large sherds from a bowl that is potentially a late variety dating around 175/200 to 225 AD.

For the Medieval period, the evidence is based solely upon a single small plain sherd of gritty sandy ware, which is residual in its context.

6.4.2 Period- based review

The material listed as being contemporary or residual within its context typically has the *potential* to be so based solely upon a consideration of the number, size and condition of sherds present, particularly whether the material is fresh, slightly abraded or significantly worn. The nature of the contexts and their stratigraphic relationships are unknown and unconsidered at this stage. Also, only a brief search for conjoins within or between contexts was conducted at this time.

6.4.2.1 Late Iron Age to Early Roman 50BC/0 to AD75/100

Relationship	In contexts	Sherds	Vessels
Contemporary	(1205) [1203] , (1229) [1228] .	21/*25	4/7
Residual	(1151) [1146] , (1160) [1158] , (1180) [1179] .	4	3
Unclear	(1185) (1186) [1183] , (1191) [1188] , (1195) (1196) [1194] ,	18	12/13
	(1235) [1232] , (1243) [1242] , (1253) [1252] .		
Total		43/47	19/23

With the exception of *4 sherds, all of the rest of this material were in soft, reduced, 'Belgic' style grog tempered fabrics. The majority of this evidence comprised plain body sherds, which on their own merits could date widely. Some of the sherds likely date after 25 BC or 0 AD and the range between 0 and 75 AD noted above is the main focus preferred for the majority at present. Useful form sherds, of Thompson 1982 types, comprised:

- 1 large intact full profile from a D2-4 type round bowl with rippled shoulder, 50 BC - 100 AD, in (1196).

- 1 large rim akin to a B2-1/D2-4 type everted rim jar/bowl with rippled shoulder, 50 BC - 100 AD, in (1229).

- 2 large rims from different vessels, akin to C2 type everted rim jars, 0-75 AD, in (1205).

None of this material is certainly pre-conquest. Similarly soft reduced fabrics continued to be produced into and though the Early Roman and in some other contexts in the site assemblage such sherds occur alongside Early Roman wares with which they could easily be associated by virtue of their equivalent condition. The reverse situation, whereby fresher looking sherds that dated up to around 75 or 100 AD were retrieved from the same context as worn sherds of Early Roman date post 75 AD, occurred in (1196), (1205) and (1229). Most notable perhaps is (1205), where 10 fair sized grog tempered sherds dated 0 to 75/100 AD were recovered along with *4 similarly lightly worn plain body sherds from 2 *amphora*. One of the latter was very thick-walled and possibly derived from a *Dressel 20* type, perhaps in an *early Baetican* fabric that could potentially also date from 0 AD onwards. The 4 Early Roman sherds also present were of similar size to the grogged wares, but much more heavily worn, though one cannot be absolutely certain that the amphora belonged to the potentially earlier phase of activity. As always, the nature of the context and the distribution of the material are important considerations.

Other potential examples of early Baetican amphora were retrieved from (1137), (1178), (1199) and (1217). All of these contexts bar (1217) contained some Early Roman material, so a post-conquest date seems more likely for the appearance of this import here (as such, the sole sherd from (1217) has been included in the Early Roman *section 2.2.* below). If the amphora and the 'Belgic' style grog tempered sherds in (1205) were in use at the same time, this could suggest that the fresh looking context-contemporary activity in that feature is more focussed in the second half of the 1st century AD, perhaps 50 to 75/100 AD. Depending upon the stratigraphy, it should be considered whether all of the 'Belgic' style material noted here could be broadly related and date towards the later end of the preferred range.

6.4.2.2 Early Roman 50/75 to AD150

Relationship	In contexts	Sherds	Vessels
Contemporary	(1137) (1138) (1139) [1135] , (1141) (1142) (1143) (1144)	116	45/47
	[1140] , (1165) [1163] , (1200) [1193] , (1239) [1236] , (1244)		
	[1242] , (1248) [1247] .		
Residual	(1127) [1126] , (1172) (1173) [1147] , (1175) [1174] , (1178)	99	42/44
	[1177] , (1186) [1183] , (1192) [1188] , (1196) (1197) (1199)		
	[1194] , (1205) [1203] , (1210) (1212) [1209] , (1217) [1216] ,		
	(1229) [1228] , (1231) [1230] , (1237) [1236] , (1250) [1249] ,		
	(1254) (1255) [1252] .		
Unclear	(1160) [1158] , (1202) [1201] , (1227) [1226] , (1246) [1245] .	6	6
Total		221	93/97

The main focus is largely based around the presence of soft, oxidised, *Romanising 'Belgic' style grog tempered* fabrics. These, or other reduced 'Belgic' style grogged wares, are dominant and occur in all of the above features except [1216] and [1249]. This evidence (81 sherds from perhaps up to 35 vessels) largely comprises plain body sherds, with 6 rims present, all everted, 1 within (1202) likely from a Thompson 1982 B2-1/D2-4 type jar/bowl, which would typically not date after 100/125 AD. There are only 2 potential instances of sherds with incised (dragged) comb decoration, these occurring in [1135]. This decorative trait does continue into the Mid Roman, but has been seen elsewhere to decline in frequency from around 75 AD onwards (Macpherson-Grant 2011). No comb decoration was noted amongst the reduced 'Belgic' style grogged fabrics.

The oxidised and reduced grog tempered often occur in same context. Sometimes, as in (1192), the latter can be fairly or heavily worn, but given that all of these fabrics are soft, they needn't significantly pre-date their context and are not certainly evidence for preconquest activity. In most of the instances, such as in (1138) and (1143), the oxidised and reduced grogged are similarly only lightly worn and potentially contemporary, though this is dependent upon the nature of the context and their distribution, of course. Notable amongst the latter were good sized sherds from the full profile of a vessel akin to some Thompson 1982 G1-11 types of native platter (with a straight wall, particularly a published example from Swarling in Kent). Both this and the more Romanising oxidised fabrics could have been in circulation together around 75 AD perhaps. In (1138) the Romanising grogged occurred with reduced grogged rims from Thompson 1982 B2-1/D2-4 type jars/bowls. In (1255), a reduced body sherd featured pseudo-rouletting, which is perhaps less likely to date after around 100 AD. Other material of potential local or regional manufacture includes a few oxidised *sandy wares*, the form sherds mostly from bases. Notable amongst was material from (1196), which included 1 nearly complete largeish base and 1 complete narrow base with a few associated body sherds, the latter probably from a tripartite carinated beaker potentially dating up to 125 AD. (1165) produced part of a grooved/ribbed strap handle possibly from a Hofheim type flagon in a fine sandy fabric, which if not a perhaps untypical Gallo-Belgic or North Gaulish import might date to around 50/70 to 80 AD.

There were a small quantity of sherds (13, from up to 7 vessels) in generally soft *fine silty* fabrics. Notable were small rim sherds from 3 vessels of the same form, perhaps neckless globular beakers, recovered from (1141). Based on parallels with forms in a similar fabric produced in Kent (Monaghan 1987), these could date 70/90 to 120/130 AD. One small rim potentially from another such beaker occurred in (1196). No rims from any other type of vessel in this ware were present. It is currently unknown unfortunately whether this fabric type was produced locally, or needed to have been imported from elsewhere in the county or perhaps further afield. One wheel-thrown base in a different fine silty fabric, which showed distinct black grains and less obvious very fine quartz, was recovered from (1138). It is currently unknown whether this could be a regional product, or might be a North Gaulish import.

A small number of wares perhaps from slightly further afield, though possibly within Southern England and which date to the 2nd century AD, also occur. (1160) produced 1 largeish base in a soft fine sandy *BB2 type* fabric, perhaps 120 to 150 AD, while large sherds from the full profile of a *mortaria* in a very fine sandy white ware were retrieved from [1140] (the same feature as the 'Belgic' platter noted above).

The form of the mortaria is akin to some produced in Lincolnshire which date to the 2nd century (de la Bédoyère 2000, 40-41; Tyers 1996/2014), though it seems unlikely to derive from there (see the discussion within the catalogue in the Appendix). It might be a Colchester product, which was not widely distributed until after 140 AD (Tomber and Dore 1998; Tyers 1996/2014), though a similar fabric was also produced in Kent between 75 and 250 AD.

The identified *continental imports* comprise examples of Samian ware and amphora, along with a few potential instances of North Gaulish white wares. Of the latter and from the same vessel within [1194] were 2 fair sized simple upright rim sherds, potentially of *North Gaulish (Amiens) white ware*, which may be on the western edge of its typical distribution (Tomber and Dore 1998; Tyers 1996/2014). Thin-walled body sherds, 3 from 2 vessels within (1137)

and 9 from 3 to 5 vessels within (1175), might also be North Gaulish white wares, unless perhaps similar fabrics were produced in the region.

Of the *amphora*, the only form sherds were 1 section of handle from (1199). It was of rounded oval section and could derive from a Dressel 20, which can date up to around 250 AD, though the sandy fabric could be early Baetican and might date no later than 150/170 AD. Two very thick-walled body sherds which could also derive from a Dressel 20 occurred in (1205) and (1138). The former could also be early Baetican, while the latter was in a finer fabric, possibly late Baetican. Five other potential early Baetican sherds occurred in (1137), (1178) and (1217). Two of the 3 sherds from (1137) derived from the shoulder of perhaps either a Dressel 20, 7-11 'Salazon' (20-120 AD) or Haltern 70 (40-100 AD) type amphora; more likely the former, given their relative frequencies. Also in (1205) were 3 medium-walled body sherds in a finer fabric to the possible early Baetican Dressel 20 from same context.

The Samian ware is interesting, for it generally provides the latest production dates of the material in this phase of activity (and its contexts) and it all occurs in a similar and curiously heavily abraded/worn looking condition (usually the most worn looking piece in its context). Some of the amphora and the mortaria aside perhaps, none of the other material, particularly so the dominant potentially locally/regionally produced wares, would typically date after around 125/150 AD. Perhaps particularly adverse soil conditions, or a different post-discard history, could be factors in its appearance. The certain allocation of these sherds to particular production areas would require microscopic analysis by a specialist and such work has not been conducted this stage. For now, it would appear that the Samian fabrics present which could have been produced in the Early Roman are all *Central Gaulish Standard (non-micaceous) Lezoux wares*. These comprise:

- The rim to base profile from a Form 18/31 plate/bowl, with repair holes, 120-140 AD, in (1205).

- 2 rims, 1 with carination, probably from a Form 18/31 plate/bowl, 120/140-150 AD, in (1196).

Also present, in (1237), was 1 small very chipped and worn fragment of beaded rim, possibly an early standard Lezoux (117 to 138 AD) or East Gaulish Rheinzabern (138 to 250 AD) product. The sherds in (1205) are dated such due to the lack of limestone in this preferably Lezoux fabric, which could suggest a Hadrianic date, while those in (1196) do feature these inclusions, the form itself dating up to 150 AD. Allowing for a use-life, this latter piece and perhaps both were discarded after 150 AD. The other Samian wares that were potentially manufactured in the Mid Roman are discussed below.

6.4.2.3 Mid Roman AD150 to 250

Relationship	In contexts	Sherds	Vessels
Residual	(1137) [1135] , (1145) SF 14, (1254) (1255) [1252] .	9	3
Total		9	3

Only a very limited quantity of wares found in the site assemblage were likely manufactured during this time and all are imports. Other Early Roman products could have been discarded during this phase of course, after a long use-life. Further pieces of potential *Central Gaulish Standard (non-micaceous) Lezoux* that would likely have been discarded or produced during the Mid Roman comprise:

- 1 full profile from a Form 27 cup, 140-160/200 AD, in (1145).
- 1 small very worn sherd, 140/150-200 AD, in (1137).

Central Gaulish manufacturers stopped producing the Form 27 cup between 150 and 160 AD, though it may have continued in production to a limited extent in East Gaul (Webster 1996, 38). The small body sherd from (1137) was heavily worn and notably in form of a narrow, thick, leaf-like point, with rounded edges. It is unclear whether the piece was significantly residual, or might have been chipped and worn into this form intentionally. The brownish slip present could suggest a date in the later 2nd century AD.

The remaining Samian was potentially an *East Gaulish Trier* product, recovered from [1252], with sherds from the possibly full profile from a Form 37 hemispherical decorated bowl. Though large, these sherds, like all the Samian in the site assemblage, were in very poor condition, with the surfaces so worn that the decoration was almost non-existent. Only a few shallow bumps from moulded figures remained. Though recognising this, the decoration did appear to be potentially sparse and dispersed, which is a characteristic of some late styles from Trier of the 3rd century AD (Tyers 1996/2014; Webster 1996, 14, 47-48, 78-91, 90-91). It has been dated 175/200 to 225 AD for now, though this is notably later than the other Samian present, which presents a bit of an issue with regards to the lack of any other identified local/regional wares of this late date.

6.4.2.4 Early Medieval to Medieval, 1150 to 1300

Relationship	In contexts	Sherds	Vessels
Residual	(1129) [1128] .	1	1
Total		1	1

This comprised a small, thick-walled, plain sherd, who's fabric was soft and sandy with moderate flint grits and orange-oxidised throughout. An Early Roman date seems less likely given its thickness and perhaps also its relative coarseness, which leads to a slight preference for a Medieval date. If so, it is perhaps more likely to date to the earlier rather than later end of the range. It is unfortunately unknown whether this could be a local/regional fabric of this date, though it is presumed to be so at present.

6.4.3 Comments and Recommendations

This is a relatively small sized assemblage, which has only a few form elements that are usefully diagnostic and very few decorative pieces, none of latter being of a significant extent or a good state of preservation. All are described in the catalogue (highlighted by the word DRAW; see the Appendix) and the relevant diagnostic pieces are discussed in the *section 2*. *Period-based review* further above. Four of the 5 full profiles present are from identified types, these being a Thompson 1982 type D2-4 bowl and G1-11 native platter in 'Belgic' style grog tempered ware, plus a Form 27 cup and Form 37 bowl in Samian ware. A precise parallel for the profile of a mortaria in a sandy white ware has not been researched at this stage, though the form is broadly 2nd century AD. Given that the identified forms are well known published examples, it is suggested that no illustration of these, or the smaller rim forms where no significantly useful portion of the vessel profile is present, is necessary for any subsequent final site report. Written descriptions could suffice.

Like the grog tempered wares, there are a few of the sandy wares, particularly the orangeoxidised fabrics, that are presumed to be local/regional products, though it is not currently known unfortunately whether these can be related to any industries/production sites within the area. A more precise identification to source, which could be conducted by a regional specialist as part of any subsequent work that may be undertaken in the production of any final site report, could provide a greater level of detail as to the different types of wares present and this information might allow the refining of the initial dating given here. On a purely dating perspective however and given that such fabrics are only minority elements amongst the Roman assemblage and a single residual piece within the Medieval, such additional work, particularly for the Roman assemblage, may not have a significant impact on the already fairly tight dating that the identified material has already provided.

Likewise, specialist review of the sandy white ware fabrics that are currently of potential and possible North Gaulish and/or Southern British origin, plus specialist review of the Samian and amphora, could provide more specific detail on the imports. Given again the very limited numbers of fabrics and forms present, the necessity to do such additional work should really be based around a consideration of the nature and importance of the site in its own right and its local/regional context, plus any reasonable constraints of time or budget.

6.4.4 Bibliography

de la Bédoyère G. 2000. *Pottery in Roman Britain.* Shire Archaeology series number 79. Shire Publications Ltd.

Macpherson-Grant N. 2011. *Historic Period Pottery 1 : Late Iron Age to Medieval – An Introductory Guide*. Personally produced booklet created to accompany lectures.

Monaghan J. 1987. Upchurch and Thameside Roman Pottery. BAR British Series 173.

Thompson I. 1982. *Grog-tempered 'Belgic' Pottery of South-eastern* England. BAR British Series 108.

Tomber R. and Dore J. 1998. *The National Roman Fabric Reference Collection: A Handbook*. Museum of London Archaeology Service Monograph 2. Published online by the Study Group for Roman Pottery 2019/2021 at: http://romanpotterystudy.org.uk/nrfrc/base/index.php

Tyers P.A. 1996/2014. *Roman Pottery in Britain*. B. T. Batsford Ltd (London) 1996/1999/2003. Published online (latest version 2014) at: http://potsbord.pot/otlas/potsbord.

http://potsherd.net/atlas/potsherd

Webster P. 1996. *Roman Samian Pottery in Britain*. Practical Handbooks in Archaeology No 13. Council for British Archaeology.

7 ARCHAEOLOGICAL NARRATIVE

7.1 Period Specific Review

- 7.1.1 Archaeological features were sealed below the subsoil with relatively little modern truncation having occurred. Land drains were present on the site and on occasion modern ploughing has impacted on the natural and archaeological horizons.
- 7.1.2 In the east of the site, the archaeological features identified during the course of the excavations have identified the presence of field boundaries, enclosures, structures, kilns and pits dating to the Late Iron Age/early Roman to mid- Roman period c.50BC-AD200. Earlier activity is represented by one Early-Middle Iron Age pit, and two pits and a ditch dating to the Middle-Late Iron Age, while three pits in the southeast of the site probably date to the later 2nd or 3rd centuries AD and one posthole was of post- medieval date. In the west of the site, the earliest activity is indicated by one pit of possibly Late Bronze Age date while the majority of features comprise field boundary ditches, pits and postholes probably dating to the 13th century AD.
- 7.1.3 Eight broad phases of activity have been identified, three of which have been further subdivided based on stratigraphic analysis. Further such analysis along with analysis of the finds assemblage may lead to further refinement of these phases.
- 7.1.4 The following phases of activity have been identified:
 - Phase 1 Late Bronze Age pit;
 - Phase 2 Early to Middle Iron Age pit;
 - Phase 3 Middle to Late Iron Age ditch and pits suggesting agricultural activity
 - Phase 4 a and b (c.50BC—AD80) Probable agricultural and settlement activity evidenced by field boundary ditches, pits, enclosures, posthole structures, a probable droveway, a ringditch and a possible cremation;
 - Phase 5 a and b (Late 1st/2nd century) Contraction of field systems, activity focussed in the south of the site, evidenced by ditches, pits and probable crop-drying kilns.

- Phase 6 (Later 2nd and 3rd century AD)- Virtual abandonment of the site- only activity is suggested by three pits in the south of the site.
- Phase 7 a, b and c (13th century) Agricultural activity in the west of the site evidenced by field boundary ditches, postholes and pits;
- Phase 8 Post- medieval posthole

7.2 Phase 1 Late Bronze Age (fig. 8)

7.2.1 The earliest dateable feature on site comprised one pit [4] in Area 2.1.

7.3 Phase 2 Early – Middle Iron Age (fig. 9)

7.3.1 Evidence dating to this phase comprised one pit [169] in Area 1.1.

7.4 Phase 3 Middle- Late Iron Age (fig. 10)

7.4.1 Two pits [556] and [679], and one ditch [673] in the north- eastern part of Area 1.2 date to this period suggesting possible agricultural activity and a possible settlement close by but beyond the boundaries of the current excavation.

7.5 Phase 4a Late Iron Age/Early Romano- British c.50BC-AD80 (fig. 11)

- 7.5.1 In this period activity has increased in the east of the site indicative of settlement and agricultural activity, most likely animal husbandry.
- 7.5.2 In Area 1.1 ringditch [125] has been dug, along with further ditches [336], [724] and [1080], possible enclosure G1, possible structures G2 and G21, posthole [503] and pit [349].
- 7.5.3 In Area 1.2 ditches [607] and [547] appear to belong to this sub- phase.

7.6 Phase 4b Late Iron Age/Early Romano- British c.50BC-AD80 (fig. 12)

- 7.6.1 This sub- phase sees the most intensive occupation and activity in the eastern part of the site and probably represents a continuation of similar activity to that suggested in phase 4a.
- 7.6.2 In Area 1.1 ringditch [125] and other features of phase 4a have gone out of use, ditches [1080] and [724] in the west of the area being replaced by slightly differently aligned ditch [168]/[458] which appears to be contemporaneous with possible droveway [189]/[147], [122]. Structures G3, G4 and G5, possible enclosure G22, possible cremation [240] and pits [295] and [393] also seem to belong to this sub- phase.

- 7.6.3 In Area 1.2 the features of phase 4a have gone out of use, ditch [602] appearing to have been replaced by similarly aligned ditch [605] which appeared contemporaneous with possible droveway extension [513] along with ditches [562] and [579], posthole [531] and pit [671].
- 7.6.4 In Area 1.3, ditch G18 appears to be the extension of [168]/[458], while pits [1181] and [1226] also appear to belong to this phase.

7.7 Phase 5a Late $1^{st}/2^{nd}$ century AD (fig. 13)

- 7.7.1 In this period activity has decreased across the site suggesting a contraction or movement of the settlement, with a possible focus south of the current site. Activity continues to be primarily agricultural.
- 7.7.2 In Area 1.1 only pit [290] can be ascribed to this sub- phase with any confidence.
- 7.7.3 In Area 1.3 ditch G16 and pits [1188], [1183], [1177], [1179], [1228] and [1193] appear to belong to this sub- phase.

7.8 Phase 5b Late 1st/2nd century AD (fig. 14)

- 7.8.1 In this period activity continues at the same relatively low level- the presence of three probable crop- drying kilns suggesting that there is now more of a focus on agrarian activity rather than animal husbandry.
- 7.8.2 Only pits [172] and [401] appear to belong in this sub- phase in Area 1.1.
- 7.8.3 Probable enclosure ditch G17, small ditches G19 and G20, along with kilns [1146], [1147] and [1152] seem to belong to this sub- phase in Area 1.3.

7.9 Phase 6 Later 2nd /3rd century AD (fig. 15)

7.9.1 In this period activity has virtually ceased across the site, the only features being pits [1252], [1232] and [1236] in Area 1.3.

7.10 Phase 7a 13th century (fig. 16)

7.10.1 From the mid- Romano- British period the site appears to have been abandoned and is not again used until the 13th century, and then only in the west. No evidence of settlement activity was found, features comprising field boundary ditches and pits suggestive of agricultural activity.

7.10.2 Pit [59] in Area 2.1, along with ditches G11, G8, G13 and G7 in Area2.2 appear to belong to this sub- phase.

7.11 Phase 7b 13th century (fig. 17)

- 7.11.1 Similar activity continues into this sub- phase although the field system has been remodelled.
- 7.11.2 Pit [21] and ditch G12 in Area 2.1, along with ditches G6 and G9, and pit [118] in Area 2.2 belong to this sub- phase.

7.12 Phase 7c 13th century (fig. 18)

- 7.12.1 Activity appears to reduce in this sub- phase, although, again a new field system has been laid out.
- 7.12.2 Ditches G10 and G15 in Area 2.1 are the only features which have been assigned to this sub- phase.

7.13 Phase 8 post-medieval (fig. 19)

7.13.1 The site again appears to have fallen out of use after the 13th century, the only subsequent feature dated with any confidence comprises a post- medieval posthole [608] in Area 1.2.

7.14 Unphased Features (fig. 20)

- 7.14.1 Although interpretations and discussion has been offered regarding dateable features above, it is acknowledged that undated features also need to be considered. The presence of post holes and small pits within an agricultural and/or industrial environment is not at all unexpected. Further analysis will be undertaken to try and assign more of the currently unphased features to phases.
- 7.14.2 Unphased features recorded in Area 1.1 comprise postholes [509], [260], [264], [302], [304], [337], [360], [380], [400], [238], [256], [488], [407], [146], [150] and [155], pits [286], [288], [232], [296], [358], [386], [718], [452], [482], [409] and [262], gulley [382] and ditches [384], [244], [278], [362] and [216]. These features most likely belong to the Romano-British period, indeed ditches [216], [382], [244] and [278] are on similar alignments to G16 and may therefore belong to phase 5a.

- 7.14.3 Unphased features recorded in Area 1.2 comprise ditch [741], pits [532] and [652], and postholes [541], [543], [545], [511], [529] and [633]. Again, many of this features are likely to date to the Romano- British period.
- 7.14.4 Pits [1105], [1113], [1124], [1115], [1222] and [1220] remain unphased in Area 1.3 and, again, are most likely to be Romano- British in date.
- 7.14.5 Unphased features recorded in Area 2.1 comprise pits [69], [25], [27], postholes [65] and
 [47], along with structure G14. These features are most likely of 13th century date.
- 7.14.6 Unphased features recorded in Area 2.2 comprise postholes [1003], [1055], [1071], [76], [87] and [112], along with pits [85], [89], [80], [78] and [100]. Again, these features are most likely of 13th century date.

8 STATEMENT OF POTENTIAL AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Stratigraphic

8.1 Statement of Potential

8.1.1 The excavation has revealed multiple phases of activity on the site, dated by finds (pottery) to the Late Bronze Age, Middle Iron Age, Middle to Late Iron Age, Late Iron Age/ Early Romano-British, Late 1st/2nd century AD, Later 2nd/3rd century AD 13th century and post-medieval periods. The provisional phasing will be checked and refined at the analysis stage.

Late Bronze Age-Middle- Late Iron Age

- 8.1.2 Four pits and one ditch comprise the only features ascribed to the earliest three phases of activity, two pits and one ditch suggesting most activity took place on the site in the Middle to Late Iron Age, prior evidence being exceedingly limited and indicating no lasting or significant occupation or use of the site
- 8.1.3 Further analysis of the finds assemblage, in particular the worked flint, may add to the number of features assigned to these earlier phases and our understanding of this activity.
- 8.1.4 Evidence for these periods is of regional interest.

Late Iron Age/ Early Romano-British

- 8.1.5 The evidence of Late Iron Age/ Early Romano-British activity c. 50BC-AD80 comprised agrarian and animal husbandry activity represented by enclosures/ field boundary ditches, pits, and structures, a droveway and domestic activity represented by a ring ditch and a possible cremation. Two sub- phases were suggested within this period of time, implying an evolving occupation.
- 8.1.6 Further examination of the stratigraphic relationships between some of the features and the associated finds assemblages, may clarify more precisely the development of this period of the site.
- 8.1.7 Research into local sites of a similar period may inform us further as to the function of this phase of activity.
- 8.1.8 Further work on the environmental material, ceramic and small find assemblages will further inform us as to the function of the site during this period.

8.1.9 Evidence for the Late Iron Age to Early Romano-British period is of regional interest.

Late 1st/2nd century AD

- 8.1.10 The evidence for this phase comprises agrarian activity represented by field systems, pits and three probable crop drying kilns. This phase shows a marked decrease in activity over two sub- phases, suggesting that the settlement had either contracted or its focus had moved.
- 8.1.11 Further examination of the stratigraphic relationships between some of the features and the associated finds assemblages and environmental material, may clarify more precisely the decline in activity on the site in this period.
- 8.1.12 Evidence for this period is of regional interest.

Later 2nd/3rd century

8.1.13 The evidence for this period was relatively isolated, consisting of three pits. No further emphasis is placed on this period.

13th century

- 8.1.14 The evidence for this period comprised a palimpsest of field systems and pits indicating that the site was again in agricultural use during the High Medieval period.
- 8.1.15 Further examination of the stratigraphic relationships between some of the features and the associated finds assemblages and environmental material, may clarify more precisely the rise and subsequent decline in activity on the site in this period.
- 8.1.16 Evidence for this period is of regional interest.

<u>Overview</u>

- 8.1.17 Research will be undertaken to better understand the Later Iron Age/Early to Mid-Romano-British and 13th century activity on site, with particular emphasis on possible associations with the adjacent sites. Results from additional research will be placed within the local and regional context.
- 8.1.18 Unphased features will be reviewed in an attempt to assign them to a broad period.
9 REVISED RESEARCH AIMS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ANALYSIS

9.1 Introduction

9.1.1 The archaeological excavations at Rosewood Park have revealed multiple phases of occupation dating from the end of the Late Iron Age into the Early- Mid Romano- British period, with domestic animal husbandry and agrarian activity being replaced by the latter before activity dying out. The site only appears to be in serious usage again in the 13th century when a series of field systems and pits suggest further agricultural activity. Ongoing assessment should allow for more detailed interpretation of the various elements of the site.

9.2 Updated Project Design

- 9.2.1 In light of the potential of the results of the fieldwork to answer not only the original research aims but other questions raised during the excavation, this section provides revised research aims, and details of the further analyses recommended to achieve them.
- 9.2.2 Original research aims were to establish the character, condition, date and significance of archaeological features and deposits;
 - One pit dating to the Late Bronze Age and another dating to the Early- Middle Iron Age indicate limited probably transient use of the site in these periods. Two pits dating to the Middle- Late Iron Age suggest a slight increase in use but probably peripheral to any nearby settlement.
 - The majority of features and deposits recorded in the east of the Site appeared to date to the period c. 50BC- AD80, comprising enclosure/ field boundary ditches suggesting agricultural activity, structures evidenced by postholes and/or ditches suggesting settlement activity along with a large number of probable waste pit and a possible cremation.
 - During the late 1st/2nd centuries activity declined although it continued to be predominantly agricultural, the site falling out of use probably at some point in the 3rd century.
 - The site appears to have been brought back into agricultural usage in the 13th century evidenced by a succession of field systems and pits, before again falling into disuse shortly after.

9.2.3 Revised research aims will be to;

- Determine the nature and extent of activity within the Site, and its development during the period c. 50BC-AD80, along with its subsequent decline. Particular attention will be paid to relationships with other known sites of this period in the area.
- Determine the nature and extent of activity within the Site, and its development during the 13th century and consider the reasons for this brief period of use.
 Particular attention will again be paid to relationships with other known sites of this period in the area.
- 9.2.1 Limited further work is proposed for the stratigraphic analysis of the Site; it is felt that the current report has dealt in detail with this element, but it is also recognised that additional analysis may clarify more precisely the development of Late Iron Age/ Early Romano-British and 13th century activity on the site.
- 9.2.2 Further work is required for the ceramic and other finds assemblages, along with the environmental samples.
- 9.2.3 Time and resources to produce a final analysis report has been incorporated into Table 3 below. The final report will aim to place the Site within its local and regional context.

9.3 Proposed Publication

- 9.3.1 The Full Report outlined above will be published in PDF A format for publication with OASIS.
- 9.3.2 The results of the fieldwork are of local and regional significance. It is therefore proposed that, following the further assessment and analyses outlined above, the results of the fieldwork will be prepared for submission to *Sussex Archaeological Collections* comprising *c*. 5000 words, up to 5 illustrations and 2 tables.

9.4 Timetable and Task List

- 9.4.1 The following timetable has been prepared outlined the required time to bring the Full Report and publication to completion. This following includes the estimated time required for specialist assessment, and work Staff Structures and Specialists
- 9.4.2 The post excavation team consists primarily of self-employed specialist staff. The postexcavation project will be directed by Dr Paul Wilkinson of SWAT Archaeology. See Table 3 for details.

Name	Position
Dr Paul Wilkinson, MCIFA	Publication Manager
Peter Cichy, David Britchfield	Project Manager
Eliott Wragg	Project Officer
Kent Osteological Research Analysis	Human Remains Specialist
Archaeological Research Services	Cremation Specialist
Carol White	Animal bone specialist
Chris Butler	Flint Specialist
Lisa Gray	Environmental Specialist
Mike Allen	Archaeobotany
Dr Malcolm Lyne	Ceramic Specialist
Bartek Cichy	Archaeological illustrator
Bartek Cichy	Photography/ Photogrammetry
Simon Holmes	Small Finds
Dana Goodburn-Brown	Conservator
Peter Cichy	Palaeomagnetism
Dr David Dungworth	Archaeometallurgist
Dr Steve Willis	Scientific advisor
Dr Malcolm Lyne	Roman pottery kiln specialist

Table 3: Post Excavation project Staff

- 9.4.3 At the present time, during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, it is difficult to establish a definitive time frame for the additional assessment works to be carried out. This is largely due to the possibility of potential isolation of some staff and the limitation placed on the transporting and exchanging of archives.
- 9.4.4 That said, it is hoped that with the majority of material already distributed a draft Final Analysis Report will be ready within four months of the publication of this Assessment Report by SWAT Archaeology to collate the resulting data and prepare the final documents.

Task No.	Description	Days	Staff			
Managment	Managment					
1	Project management	4	SWAT Archaeology			
2	Finds management	2	SWAT Archaeology			
Analysis and Rep	Analysis and Reporting					
3	Phasing and startigraphy	4	SWAT Archaeology			
4	Background research	2	SWAT Archaeology			
5	Reporting	3	SWAT Archaeology			
Ceramic Analysis						

6			
6	Analysis of final site data	1	SWAT Archaeology
7	Selection of material or illustration and catalogue	1	SWAT Archaeology
8	Report writing and comparison to other sites	1	SWAT Archaeology
9	Illustration (up to 25 sherds)	3-4	SWAT Archaeology
Lithic Analysis			
10	Illustration and integration	2	SWAT Archaeology
Environmental A	Assessment and Analysis		
11	Completed assessment and analysis as	TBC	Quest
	recommendations.		
Analysis Report			
12	Introduction and background	2	SWAT Archaeology
13	Collation and integration of report	2	SWAT Archaeology
14	Integrate specialist contributions	0.5	SWAT Archaeology
15	Discussion	2	SWAT Archaeology
16	Illustrations	2	SWAT Archaeology
17	Bibliography/ footnotes	0.5	SWAT Archaeology
18	Edit draft report	1	SWAT Archaeology
19	Production	1	SWAT Archaeology
20	Report QA	2	SWAT Archaeology
21	Corrections	1	SWAT Archaeology
Publication			
22	Preparation of text	3-4	SWAT Archaeology
23	Preparation of illustrations	3	SWAT Archaeology
24	Submission/liaison with journal editor	0.5	SWAT Archaeology
25	Journal charges	1	SWAT Archaeology
Archive			
26	Archive preparation	2	SWAT Archaeology
27	Archive deposition	0.5	SWAT Archaeology

Table 4: Project timetable

9.4.5 It is therefore proposed that following final approval of this post-excavation assessment report, a final Full Report and publication draft will be submitted to ESCC Heritage and Conservation within four months following completion of on-site fieldwork. Following approval of the final Full Report and publication draft, a final site archive will be ordered in accordance with Guidelines for the preparation of excavation archives for long-term storage (UKIC 1990). SWAT Archaeology will retain the site archive until suitable provision is made by East Sussex County Council for deposition in a suitable archive facility.

10 ARCHIVE

10.1 General

- 10.1.1 The Site archive, which will include; paper records, photographic records, graphics and digital data, will be prepared following nationally recommended guidelines (SMA 1995; ClfA 2009; Brown 2011; ADS 2013).
- 10.1.2 All archive elements will be marked with the site/accession code, and a full index will be prepared. The physical archive comprises 1 file/document case of paper records & A4 graphics.

11 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 11.1.1 SWAT Archaeology would like to thank BDW Kent Limited for commissioning the project. Thanks are also extended to Neil Griffin, Senior archaeological officer at East Sussex County Council, for his advice and assistance.
- 11.1.2 Pawel Cichy supervised the archaeological fieldwork; illustrations were produced by Pawel Cichy and Bartek Cichy. The pottery analysis was undertaken by Mike Seagar Thomas, Malcolm Lyne and Paul Hart. The Assessment report was prepared by Eliott Wragg.
- 11.1.3 Peter Cichy managed the latest stages of the fieldwork.
- 11.1.4 On behalf of the client the project was directed by Dr Paul Wilkinson MCIfA.

12 REFERENCES

ADS 2013. Caring for Digital Data in Archaeology: a guide to good practice, Archaeology Data Service & Digital Antiquity Guides to Good Practice

Brown, D.H., 2011. *Archaeological archives; a guide to best practice in creation, compilation, transfer and curation,* Archaeological Archives Forum (revised edition)

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists 2014a. Standard and guidance for Archaeological excavation

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists 2014b. *Standard and guidance for the creation, compilation, transfer and deposition of archaeological archives*

CgMs 2012, Land west of Willow Drive, Little Common, Bexhill, Archaeological Summary Report, unpublished report

CgMs 2014, Land west of Willow Drive, Little Common, Bexhill, Archaeological Evaluation, unpublished report

Department of the Environment, 2010, *Planning for the Historic Environment*, Planning (PPS 5) HMSO.

English Heritage, 2006, Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MoRPHE).

SMA 1993. Selection, Retention and Dispersal of Archaeological Collections, Society of Museum Archaeologists

SMA 1995. Towards an Accessible Archaeological Archive, Society of Museum Archaeologists

SWAT Archaeology 2017a, Archaeological evaluation of land north of Barnholm Road and west of Willow Drive, Bexhill, East Sussex unpublished report

SWAT Archaeology 2017b, Specification for a programme of archaeological strip map and sample of land (Phase 2) north of Barnholm Road and west of Willow Drive, Bexhill, East Sussex unpublished report

Pottery from Bexhill BEX EX19

A pottery assemblage consisting of 77 sherds with a total weight of 880 grams was submitted for analysis. The sherds came from five different contexts. Three period groups are represented, later Bronze Age from contexts (3)[4] and (5)[6], high medieval from contexts (10)[11] and (20)[21] and post medieval from contexts (8)[[9] (Table 1).

The later Bronze Age group consists of coarse flint-tempered wares characteristic of both of Sussex and south Kent Middle Bronze Age Deverel-Rimbury and Sussex and south Kent Late Bronze Age post Deverel-Rimbury pottery traditions. Owing to a lack of feature sherds, it is impossible to divide the assemblage between, or place it within one or other of these traditions with certainty. The small range, and overall coarseness of the fabrics, however, would suggest an earlier rather than later attribution for it; while the thickness of the sherds, would suggest a later one. Perhaps therefore it falls somewhere between the two—maybe the very beginning of the Late Bronze Age (*c.* 1100 BC).

Later Bronze Age pottery is not well represented in the far east of Sussex, and this assemblage is of note for this reason alone. Also of note is its close similarity to contemporary material from elsewhere in Sussex and Kent.

The medieval group is distinguishable as such because of its unambiguous medieval rim forms and (relatively) hard sandy fabrics, which are similar to other Sussex medieval fabrics. Ironstone of is occasionally present locally in pottery of this date.

		•	ry from BEX E		<u> </u>
Context(s)	Fabric(s)	No of	Weight	Diagnostics	Spot date
		sherds			
(3)[4]	CF, MCF,	61	655	thick and	LBA
	SMCF			thin walls;	
				fingered	
				finishes	
(5)[6]	CF	12	180	thick walls	MBA/LBA
(8)[[9]	fine Q	1	5	glazed (?)	post MED
				moulded	
				ware; very	
				hard	
(10)[11]	Q	1	15	squared,	MED
				notched rim	
(20)[21]	QFe	2	25	round/	MED
				round	
				shouldered	
				jar with	
				squared rim	

Mike Seager Thomas, 15th March 2019

CF=coarse flint tempered; MCF=medium to coarse flint tempered; SMCF=sparse medium to coarse flint tempered; fine Q=fine quartz sand inclusions; Q=medium quartz sand (and other unidentified inclusions); QFe=medium quartz sand and medium to coarse roasted iron stone inclusions

SPOT-DATING OF THE POTTERY FROM BARNHORNE GREEN, BEXHILL (BEX-EX-19)

By Malcolm Lyne

Fabrics

Late Bronze Age-to-Early Iron Age

P1.Handmade lumpy black fired patchy brown/black/pink with profuse ill-sorted <5.00 mm.protruding calcined flint and grog filler

P2. Handmade black with moderate <50 mm. protruding calcined flint and black grog filler.

P3A.Handmade lumpy fabric with profuse <2.00 mm. brown ferrous inclusions.

P3B.Handmade lumpy fabric with profuse <2.00 mm. brown ferrous and sparse to occasional <2.00 mm. calcined flint inclusions

Late Iron Age and Roman

C1A. Soapy fine East Sussex Ware.

C1B. East Sussex Ware with profuse camauflaged grog filler.

C1C. East Sussex Ware with varying quantities of black and white grog inclusions

C1D. East Sussex Ware with profuse multi-coloured grog filler.

C1E. East Sussex Ware with white siltstone grog

C1H. East Sussex Ware with sand and grog filler

C1J. Vesicular East Sussex Ware with profuse <2.00 mm. vesicles from the leaching-out of calcareous white inclusions.

C2. Handmade Beddingham/Ranscombe ware with profuse protruding <2.00 mm alluvial flint, ironstone and quartz-sand grit filler

C39.Wheel-turned orange fabric with profuse 0.50<2.00 mm. red-brown ferrous inclusions and occasional <2.00 mm. quartz-sand.

C40.Wheel-turned orange fabric with profuse <0.30 mm. multi-coloured quartz-sand filler and external white slip.

F1A. South Gaulish La Graufesenque Samian

F1D. Central Gaulish Samian.

F9. North Kent Fine ware

Medieval

M1A.Black fabric fired patchy brown/black/orange with profuse <2.00 mm. black ironstone, quartz and alluvial flint filler.

M1B.Finer version with <0.50 mm. inclusions

M2. fired orange-pink with profuse <0.50 mm. black ironstone filler

M3.Pink-orange fabric with <0.50 mm. black ironstone and <0.30 mm. iron-stained quartz-sand filler and splashed external apple-green glaze.

M4.Black/pink rough fabric with profuse <0.30 mm. iron-stained quartz-sand filler

M5.Grey-black rough fabric with profuse < 0.30 mm. quartz-sand and sparse < 2.00 mm calcareous white inclusions

M6.Very-fine-sanded pink with <0.10 mm. iron-stained quartz-sand and external green glaze M7.Blue-grey earthenware fired pink

M8. Vitrified black fabric fired purple. ?Normandy

Catalogue

Context	Fabric	Form	Date-range	No of sherds	Weight in gm	Comments
Area 1, 2 Surface	C1E sparse fill	Jar	c.50-0BC	6	40G	Fresh
Area 1B, 2 Surface	РЗА		Residual Early Iron Age	1	6G	Abraded
Area 1B, 3 Surface	C1E sparse fill		Residual Late Iron Age	1	15G	Abraded
[21] 48	P1 P2	?Urn Jar base	c.1000-500/400BC ?Early-to-M.I.A	17 1	190 13	Fresh 1 pot sl abraded
			L.B.A to E.I.A	18	203G	
[76] 75	M6	Jug	c.1250-1500	1	4G	Fresh
[84] 83	M2 M3 M7	Cooking-pot	c.1200-1350 c.1200-1350	2 1 1	42 3 6	Fresh Fresh Fresh
			c.1200-1350	4	51G	
[94] 93	M1A M4	Cooking-pot	c.1100-1250 c.1150-1350	11 2	101 8	Fresh fresh
			c.1150-1250	13	109G	
[97] 96	M1A M4 M5	Cooking-pot jug Cooking-pot	c.1100-1250 c.1200-1350 c.1200-1350	4 3 1	11 6 9	Fresh fresh s l.abraded
			c.1250-1350	8	26G	
[108] 107 Area 2B	M7	?	Post Medieval	1	63G	Fresh
[110] 109	C1E		c.50BC-AD.250+	1	1G	Abraded
[114] 113	M1B M6	Cooking-pot jug	c.1150-1250 c.1250-1500	1 1	8 4	SI abraded abraded
			?Residual	2	12G	
[120] 119	M1A	Cooking-pot	c.1100-1250	1	8G	Fresh
[122] 121	C1D C1J F9	Jar Pedestal jar base 3B1 jar	c.50BC-AD70 c.43-100	3 1 8	12 82 43	Fresh fresh fresh 1 pot
			c.43-70	12	137G	
[125] 124	C1D OX	Jar basal		1	9G	Fresh
[122] Slot B 127	C1D C1H C1J Burnt bone	Open form jar base jar	c.43-100 c.50BC-AD70/100	2 4 4 1	26 46 35	Fresh fresh fresh
			c.43-100	10	107g	
[122] Slot C 159	C1D C1E Fine F1A	Necked-jarsx3 Butt beaker	c.0-200 c.43-100 c.43-110	26 5 1	308 62 3	Fresh fresh
			c.43-100	32	373G	
Area 1 170	РЗА		Early-to-M.1.A but residual	2	16G	Abraded
176	P3B		Early to M.I.A	3	5G	Fresh and abraded
Area 1 177	C1E Variety Fired clay	Cylindrical prop		1 4	435 81	Fresh
[168] Slot B 183	C1D	Necked jar	c.50BC-AD100	17	98G	Fresh 1 jar
[147] Slot D 188	C1D	Necked jar	c.50BC-AD100	17	118G	Fresh 1jar
[207] 209	C1J	Open form	c.50BC-AD50	8	266G	Fresh 1 pot
[160] 212	C1C OX		Residual	2	9G	Abraded
[160] 214	C39	Flagon	c.50-150	3	16G	Fresh 1 pot
[172]	C40	Flagon	c.70-200	27	191G	Fresh 1 pot
[240] 243 <8>	C2 bone	Necked jar	Early Roman	7	29G	Fresh 1 pot

[290] 289	F1D	Deep Dr 31	c.160-200	2	15G	
[295] 294	C1D OX		Early Roman	2	4G	Fresh
[393] 392	C1D OX	Closed form	Early Roman	3	11G	
397	C1E sparse filler	Jar	L.I.A-AD100	3	6G	Fresh
Area 1 435	C1B C1C	Closed form	L.I.AAD100	1 4	2 17	Abraded fresh
			L.I.AAD100	5	19G	
Area 1 516	C1D	Jar	Early Roman	4	12G	Fresh
[513] Slot B 523	C1E	Jar	c.50BC-AD70	8	56G	Fresh
[513] Slot C 525	C1C R16 Tile	Jarsx2 jarsx2 floor-tile	c.43-100 c.43-200+ Early Roman	16 2 2	110 5 879	Fresh fresh fresh
			c.43-200	18	115G	
[513] Slot C 526	C1C		L.I.AAD100	5	111G	Fresh 1 pot
[531] 530	CIC	Closed form	L.I.AAD100	3	17G	Fresh 1 pot
Area 1B [513] 537	C1A	Closed form	c.50BC-AD70	2	8G	Fresh
Area 1B 549	C1B C1C		L.I.AAD100	4 18	20 61	Fresh and abraded Fresh and abraded
			L.I.A-AD.100	22	81G	
[562] Slot E 600	C1C		L.I.A-AD.100	4	32G	Fresh 1 pot
[602] 603	C1E sparse fill	Jar base	c.50BC-AD100	2	13G	
[605] 606	C1E sparse fill		c.50BC-AD100	1	2G	
[512] 612	C1B C1C MISC	Jar	L.I.AAD100	8 9 1	43 70 2	Fresh Fresh
			L.I.AAD100	18	115G	
[579] Slot D 613	C1E sparse fill	Jar	c.50BC-AD100	8	27G	Fresh
[622] 620	C1D		Early Roman	6	30G	Fresh and abraded
[579] Slot D 623	C1C		c.50BC-AD100	7	45G	Fresh and abraded
Area 1B 628	C1E	Thick-walled jar	Late Iron Age	2	35G	Fresh
[671] 672	C1D C1E			3 1	9 7	Abraded Abraded
			Residual	4	16G	
[513] Slot R 675	C1C		L.I.AAD.100	1	13G	Fresh
[513] Slot R 676	C1C	Jar basal	L.I.AAD.100	1	16G	Fresh
[679] 680	P3A P3B Fired clay	Finger jabbed pot	c.400-50BC c.400-50BC	1 4 2	11 18 2	Abraded lump fresh abraded
	-		c.400-50BC	5	29G	
[673] Slot B 687	P3A Fired clay		c.400-50BC	1 1	4 1	Fresh abraded
				1	5G	
[556] Slot B 683	C1B P3B	Jar jar	L.I.A-Roman c.400-50BC	5 20	27 137	Fresh Fresh
			c.50BC	25	164G	
[556] Slot B 694	P3B Fired clay	Finger jabbed pot	c.400-50BC	7 1	81 6	
				7	81G	

A catalogue and assessment of the pottery plus catalogues of ceramic building materials, daub and stone, recovered during an archaeological excavation at Bexhill, East Sussex

Site Code: BEX-EX-19

Analyst: Paul Hart Last updated: 12.03.2022

For: Swale and Thames Archaeology Survey Company

Contents

- 1. Summary
- 2. Period-based review
 - 2.1. Late Iron Age to Early Roman, 50 BC/0 to 75/100 AD
 - 2.2. Early Roman, 50/75 to 150 AD
 - 2.3. Mid Roman, 150 to 250 AD
 - 2.4. Early Medieval to Medieval, 1150 to 1300 AD
- 3. Comments and recommendations
- 4. Bibliography

Appendix (PDF version only)

- 5. Quantification and spot-dating of the pottery assemblage
 - 5.1. Methodology
 - 5.2. Period Codes employed
 - 5.3. Abbreviations used in 5.4
 - 5.4. Catalogue: Quantification and spot-dating of the pottery, with notes
- 6. Catalogues of other finds presented
 - 6.1. Period Codes employed
 - 6.2. Catalogue of brick and tile
 - 6.3. Catalogue of daub
 - 6.4. Catalogue of stone

1. Summary

A total of 276 sherds of pottery weighing a total of 7158 g were presented and catalogued. All dates given throughout are *circa*. There is evidence for activity within several periods and these are listed below. The estimate of the numbers of vessels present may give an indication of the relative different degrees of activity that produced these assemblages, with regards to the amount or length of human presence and whether this site was nearer the centre of the activity or perhaps on the periphery of it.

Ceramic presence	Main focus	
Late Iron Age to Early Roman	50 BC/0 to 75/100 AD	19/23 vessels
Early Roman	50/75 to 150 AD	93/97 vessels
Mid Roman	150 to 250 AD	3 vessels
Early Medieval to Medieval	1150 to 1300 AD	1 vessel

The main focus occurs between around 75 and 150 AD. Activity prior to this, but perhaps likely after 0 AD, is possible, though none of the pottery of Late to Latest Iron Age 'Belgic' style that is present can be said to certainly pre-date the conquest on current evidence. Much may depend upon a consideration of any stratigraphic relationships that can be established for those contexts that contain the reduced 'Belgic' style grog tempered forms that would typically date up to around 75 or 100 AD, with the contexts producing the Romanising 'Belgic' style grog tempered oxidised wares that would likely date from around 75 to 125/150 AD overall. Despite the process of Romanisation in some aspects of pottery manufacture, soft reduced grog tempered fabrics continued to be produced 'locally' through much of the Early Roman. No forms in such wares that would be solely pre-conquest are present and, as both of the types that occur here are equally soft fired, it is possible that some of these vessels were intentionally fired to either oxidised or reduced colours during the same period. Given that, there is a slight preference on current evidence that the ceramic activity on this site could start around or a little after 50 AD, though noting that a limited presence could technically/potentially have occurred earlier.

These grogged fabrics, likely of relatively local manufacture, are dominant in the assemblage, comprising over half of the number of vessels represented. The most commonly occurring rims are from vessels that would typically/traditionally date up to around 100 AD and were perhaps not certainly produced later than 125 AD. A degree of use-life, curation and flexibility must be factored-in of course, but there is no evidence for the harder fired grog tempered wares that would be expected to be produced after around 150/175 AD. A small quantity of likely locally/regionally produced sandy wares, plus some fine silty wares which may be regional, if not Southern British, products, occur in forms that also likely date up to around 125 AD. One sherd of a soft BB2 type fine sandy ware was present, which could date from around 120 to 150 AD.

A small number of the other Roman wares present could have been manufactured after 150 AD, though interestingly these are all potentially non-regional and continental imports. This Mid Roman material most notably comprises some, but not all, of the Samian and potentially a minor element of the amphora. While the local/regional Early Roman products could have had a long use-life and been discarded within the Mid Roman (more likely before 200 AD), the lack of any such products certainly made during this time would suggest a significant winding-down of activity during the early stages of that period after 150 AD. Notably, oddly, all of the Samian appears in a highly abraded and worn state. This includes large sherds from a bowl that is potentially a late variety dating around 175/200 to 225 AD.

For the Medieval period, the evidence is based solely upon a single small plain sherd of gritty sandy ware, which is residual in its context.

2. Period-based review

The material listed as being contemporary or residual within its context typically has the *potential* to be so based solely upon a consideration of the number, size and condition of sherds present, particularly whether the material is fresh, slightly abraded or significantly worn. The nature of the contexts and their stratigraphic relationships are unknown and unconsidered at this stage. Also, only a brief search for conjoins within or between contexts was conducted at this time.

Relationship	In contexts	Sherds	Vessels
Contemporary	(1205) [1203] , (1229) [1228] .	21/*25	4/7
Residual	(1151) [1146] , (1160) [1158] , (1180) [1179] .	4	3
Unclear	(1185) (1186) [1183] , (1191) [1188] , (1195) (1196) [1194] , (1235)	18	12/13
	[1232] , (1243) [1242] , (1253) [1252] .		
Total		43/47	19/23

2.1. Late Iron Age to Early Roman, 50 BC/0 to 75/100 AD

With the exception of *4 sherds, all of the rest of this material were in soft, reduced, '*Belgic' style grog tempered* fabrics. The majority of this evidence comprised plain body sherds, which on their own merits could date widely. Some of the sherds likely date after 25 BC or 0 AD and the range between 0 and 75 AD noted above is the main focus preferred for the majority at present. Useful form sherds, of Thompson 1982 types, comprised:

- 1 large intact full profile from a D2-4 type round bowl with rippled shoulder, 50 BC 100 AD, in (1196).
- 1 large rim akin to a B2-1/D2-4 type everted rim jar/bowl with rippled shoulder, 50 BC 100 AD, in (1229).
- 2 large rims from different vessels, akin to C2 type everted rim jars, 0-75 AD, in (1205).

None of this material is certainly pre-conquest. Similarly soft reduced fabrics continued to be produced into and though the Early Roman and in some other contexts in the site assemblage such sherds occur alongside Early Roman wares with which they could easily be associated by virtue of their equivalent condition. The reverse situation, whereby fresher looking sherds that dated up to around 75 or 100 AD were retrieved from the same context as worn sherds of Early Roman date post 75 AD, occurred in (1196), (1205) and (1229). Most notable perhaps is (1205), where 10 fair sized grog tempered sherds dated 0 to 75/100 AD were recovered along with *4 similarly lightly worn plain body sherds from 2 *amphora*. One of the latter was very thick-walled and possibly derived from a *Dressel 20* type, perhaps in an *early Baetican* fabric that could potentially also date from 0 AD onwards. The 4 Early Roman sherds also present were of similar size to the grogged wares, but much more heavily worn, though one cannot be absolutely certain that the amphora belonged to the potentially earlier phase of activity. As always, the nature of the context and the distribution of the material are important considertions.

Other potential examples of early Baetican amphora were retrieved from (1137), (1178), (1199) and (1217). All of these contexts bar (1217) contained some Early Roman material, so a post-conquest date seems more likely for the appearance of this import here (as such, the sole sherd from (1217) has been included in the Early Roman *section 2.2.* below). If the amphora and the 'Belgic' style grog tempered sherds in (1205) were in use at the same time, this could suggest that the fresh looking context-contemporary activity in that feature is more focussed in the second half of the 1st century AD, perhaps 50 to 75/100 AD. Depending upon the stratigraphy, it should be considered whether all of the 'Belgic' style material noted here could be broadly related and date towards the later end of the preferred range.

2.2. Early Roman, 50/75 to 150 AD

Relationship	In contexts	Sherds	Vessels
Contemporary	(1137) (1138) (1139) [1135] , (1141) (1142) (1143) (1144) [1140] ,	116	45/47
	(1165) [1163] , (1200) [1193] , (1239) [1236] , (1244) [1242] , (1248)		
	[1247].		
Residual	(1127) [1126] , (1172) (1173) [1147] , (1175) [1174] , (1178) [1177] ,	99	42/44
	(1186) [1183] , (1192) [1188] , (1196) (1197) (1199) [1194] , (1205)		
	[1203] , (1210) (1212) [1209] , (1217) [1216] , (1229) [1228] , (1231)		
	[1230] , (1237) [1236] , (1250) [1249] , (1254) (1255) [1252] .		
Unclear	(1160) [1158] , (1202) [1201] , (1227) [1226] , (1246) [1245] .	6	6
Total		221	93/97

The main focus is largely based around the presence of soft, oxidised, *Romanising 'Belgic' style grog tempered* fabrics. These, or other reduced 'Belgic' style grogged wares, are dominant and occur in all of the above features except [1216] and [1249]. This evidence (81 sherds from perhaps up to 35 vessels) largely comprises plain body sherds, with 6 rims present, all everted, 1 within (1202) likely from a Thompson 1982 B2-1/D2-4 type jar/bowl, which would typically not date after 100/125 AD. There are only 2 potential instances of sherds with incised (dragged) comb decoration, these occurring in [1135]. This decorative trait does continue into the Mid Roman, but has been seen elsewhere to decline in frequency from around 75 AD onwards (Macpherson-Grant 2011). No comb decoration was noted amongst the reduced 'Belgic' style grogged fabrics.

The oxidised and reduced grog tempered often occur in same context. Sometimes, as in (1192), the latter can be fairly or heavily worn, but given that all of these fabrics are soft, they needn't significantly pre-date their context and are not certainly evidence for pre-conquest activity. In most of the instances, such as in (1138) and (1143), the oxidised and reduced grogged are similarly only lightly worn and potentially contemporary, though this is dependent upon the nature of the context and their distribution, of course. Notable amongst the latter were good sized sherds from the full profile of a vessel akin to some Thompson 1982 G1-11 types of native platter (with a straight wall, particularly a published example from Swarling in Kent). Both this and the more Romanising oxidised fabrics could have been in circulation together around 75 AD perhaps. In (1138) the Romanising grogged occurred with reduced grogged rims from Thompson 1982 B2-1/D2-4 type jars/bowls. In (1255), a reduced body sherd featured pseudo-rouletting, which is perhaps less likely to date after around 100 AD.

Other material of potential local or regional manufacture includes a few oxidised *sandy wares*, the form sherds mostly from bases. Notable amongst was material from (1196), which included 1 nearly complete largeish base and 1 complete narrow base with a few associated body sherds, the latter probably from a tripartite carinated beaker potentially dating up to 125 AD. (1165) produced part of a grooved/ribbed strap handle possibly from a Hofheim type flagon in a fine sandy fabric, which if not a perhaps untypical Gallo-Belgic or North Gaulish import might date to around 50/70 to 80 AD.

There were a small quantity of sherds (13, from up to 7 vessels) in generally soft *fine silty* fabrics. Notable were small rim sherds from 3 vessels of the same form, perhaps neckless globular beakers, recovered from (1141). Based on parallels with forms in a similar fabric produced in Kent (Monaghan 1987), these could date 70/90 to 120/130 AD. One small rim potentially from another such beaker occurred in (1196). No rims from any other type of vessel in this ware were present. It is currently unknown unfortunately whether this fabric type was produced locally, or needed to have been imported from elsewhere in the county or perhaps further afield. One wheel-thrown base in a different fine silty fabric, which showed distinct black grains and less obvious very fine quartz, was recovered from (1138). It is currently unknown whether this could be a regional product, or might be a North Gaulish import.

A small number of wares perhaps from slightly further afield, though possibly within Southern England and which date to the 2nd century AD, also occur. (1160) produced 1 largeish base in a soft fine sandy *BB2 type* fabric, perhaps 120 to 150 AD, while large sherds from the full profile of a *mortaria* in a very fine sandy white ware were retrieved from [1140] (the same feature as the 'Belgic' platter noted above).

The form of the mortaria is akin to some produced in Lincolnshire which date to the 2nd century (de la Bédoyère 2000, 40-41; Tyers 1996/2014), though it seems unlikely to derive from there (see the discussion within the catalogue in the Appendix). It might be a Colchester product, which was not widely distributed until after 140 AD (Tomber and Dore 1998; Tyers 1996/2014), though a similar fabric was also produced in Kent between 75 and 250 AD.

The identified *continental imports* comprise examples of Samian ware and amphora, along with a few potential instances of North Gaulish white wares. Of the latter and from the same vessel within [1194] were 2 fair sized simple upright rim sherds, potentially of *North Gaulish (Amiens) white ware*, which may be on the western edge of its typical distribution (Tomber and Dore 1998; Tyers 1996/2014). Thin-walled body sherds, 3 from 2 vessels within (1137) and 9 from 3 to 5 vessels within (1175), might also be North Gaulish white wares, unless perhaps similar fabrics were produced in the region.

Of the *amphora*, the only form sherds were 1 section of handle from (1199). It was of rounded oval section and could derive from a Dressel 20, which can date up to around 250 AD, though the sandy fabric could be early Baetican and might date no later than 150/170 AD. Two very thick-walled body sherds which could also derive from a Dressel 20 occurred in (1205) and (1138). The former could also be early Baetican, while the latter was in a finer fabric, possibly late Baetican. Five other potential early Baetican sherds occurred in (1137), (1178) and (1217). Two of the 3 sherds from (1137) derived from the shoulder of perhaps either a Dressel 20, 7-11 'Salazon' (20-120 AD) or Haltern 70 (40-100 AD) type amphora; more likely the former, given their relative frequencies. Also in (1205) were 3 medium-walled body sherds in a finer fabric to the possible early Baetican Dressel 20 from same context.

The *Samian* ware is interesting, for it generally provides the latest production dates of the material in this phase of activity (and its contexts) and it all occurs in a similar and curiously heavily abraded/worn looking condition (usually the most worn looking piece in its context). Some of the amphora and the mortaria aside perhaps, none of the other material, particularly so the dominant potentially locally/regionally produced wares, would typically date after around 125/150 AD. Perhaps particularly adverse soil conditions, or a different post-discard history, could be factors in its appearance. The certain allocation of these sherds to particular production areas would require microscopic analysis by a specialist and such work has not been conducted this stage. For now, it would appear that the Samian fabrics present which could have been produced in the Early Roman are all *Central Gaulish Standard (non-micaceous) Lezoux wares*. These comprise:

- The rim to base profile from a Form 18/31 plate/bowl, with repair holes, 120-140 AD, in (1205).
- 2 rims, 1 with carination, probably from a Form 18/31 plate/bowl, 120/140-150 AD, in (1196).

Also present, in (1237), was 1 small very chipped and worn fragment of beaded rim, possibly an early standard Lezoux (117 to 138 AD) or East Gaulish Rheinzabern (138 to 250 AD) product. The sherds in (1205) are dated such due to the lack of limestone in this preferably Lezoux fabric, which could suggest a Hadrianic date, while those in (1196) do feature these inclusions, the form itself dating up to 150 AD. Allowing for a use-life, this latter piece and perhaps both were discarded after 150 AD. The other Samian wares that were potentially manufactured in the Mid Roman are discussed below.

2.3. Mid Roman, 150 to 250 AD

Relationship	In contexts	Sherds	Vessels
Residual	(1137) [1135] , (1145) SF 14, (1254) (1255) [1252] .	9	3
Total		9	3

Only a very limited quantity of wares found in the site assemblage were likely manufactured during this time and all are imports. Other Early Roman products could have been discarded during this phase of course, after a long use-life. Further pieces of potential *Central Gaulish Standard (non-micaceous) Lezoux* that would likely have been discarded or produced during the Mid Roman comprise:

- 1 full profile from a Form 27 cup, 140-160/200 AD, in (1145).
- 1 small very worn sherd, 140/150-200 AD, in (1137).

Central Gaulish manufacturers stopped producing the Form 27 cup between 150 and 160 AD, though it may have continued in production to a limited extent in East Gaul (Webster 1996, 38). The small body sherd from (1137) was heavily worn and notably in form of a narrow, thick, leaf-like point, with rounded edges. It is unclear whether the piece was significantly residual, or might have been chipped and worn into this form intentionally. The brownish slip present could suggest a date in the later 2nd century AD.

The remaining Samian was potentially an *East Gaulish Trier* product, recovered from [1252], with sherds from the possibly full profile from a Form 37 hemispherical decorated bowl. Though large, these sherds, like all the Samian in the site assemblage, were in very poor condition, with the surfaces so worn that the decoration was almost non-existent. Only a few shallow bumps from moulded figures remained. Though recognising this, the decoration did appear to be potentially sparse and dispersed, which is a characteristic of some late styles from Trier of the 3rd century AD (Tyers 1996/2014; Webster 1996, 14, 47-48, 78-91, 90-91). It has been dated 175/200 to 225 AD for now, though this is notably later than the other Samian present, which presents a bit of an issue with regards to the lack of any other identified local/regional wares of this late date.

Relationship	In contexts	Sherds	Vessels
Residual	(1129) [1128] .	1	1
Total		1	1

2.4. Early Medieval to Medieval, 1150 to 1300 AD

This comprised a small, thick-walled, plain sherd, who's fabric was soft and sandy with moderate flint grits and orange-oxidised throughout. An Early Roman date seems less likely given its thickness and perhaps also its relative coarseness, which leads to a slight preference for a Medieval date. If so, it is perhaps more likely to date to the earlier rather than later end of the range. It is unfortunately unknown whether this could be a local/regional fabric of this date, though it is presumed to be so at present.

3. Comments and recommendations

This is a relatively small sized assemblage, which has only a few form elements that are usefully diagnostic and very few decorative pieces, none of latter being of a significant extent or a good state of preservation. All are described in the catalogue (highlighted by the word DRAW; see the Appendix) and the relevant diagnostic pieces are discussed in the *section 2. Period-based review* further above. Four of the 5 full profiles present are from identified types, these being a Thompson 1982 type D2-4 bowl and G1-11 native platter in 'Belgic' style grog tempered ware, plus a Form 27 cup and Form 37 bowl in Samian ware. A precise parallel for the profile of a mortaria in a sandy white ware has not been researched at this stage, though the form is broadly 2nd century AD. Given that the identified forms are well known published examples, it is suggested that no illustration of these, or the smaller rim forms where no significantly useful portion of the vessel profile is present, is necessary for any subsequent final site report. Written descriptions could suffice.

Like the grog tempered wares, there are a few of the sandy wares, particularly the orange-oxidised fabrics, that are presumed to be local/regional products, though it is not currently known unfortunately whether these can be related to any industries/production sites within the area. A more precise identification to source, which could be conducted by a regional specialist as part of any subsequent work that may be undertaken in the production of any final site report, could provide a greater level of detail as to the different types of wares present and this information might allow the refining of the initial dating given here. On a purely dating perspective however and given that such fabrics are only minority elements amongst the Roman assemblage and a single residual piece within the Medieval, such additional work, particularly for the Roman assemblage, may not have a significant impact on the already fairly tight dating that the identified material has already provided.

Likewise, specialist review of the sandy white ware fabrics that are currently of potential and possible North Gaulish and/or Southern British origin, plus specialist review of the Samian and amphora, could provide more specific detail on the imports. Given again the very limited numbers of fabrics and forms present, the necessity to do such additional work should really be based around a consideration of the nature and importance of the site in its own right and its local/regional context, plus any reasonable constraints of time or budget.

4. Bibliography

de la Bédoyère G. 2000. *Pottery in Roman Britain*. Shire Archaeology series number 79. Shire Publications Ltd.

Macpherson-Grant N. 2011. *Historic Period Pottery 1 : Late Iron Age to Medieval – An Introductory Guide*. Personally produced booklet created to accompany lectures.

Monaghan J. 1987. Upchurch and Thameside Roman Pottery. BAR British Series 173.

Thompson I. 1982. Grog-tempered 'Belgic' Pottery of South-eastern England. BAR British Series 108.

Tomber R. and Dore J. 1998. *The National Roman Fabric Reference Collection: A Handbook*. Museum of London Archaeology Service Monograph 2. Published online by the Study Group for Roman Pottery 2019/2021 at:

http://romanpotterystudy.org.uk/nrfrc/base/index.php

Tyers P.A. 1996/2014. *Roman Pottery in Britain*. B. T. Batsford Ltd (London) 1996/1999/2003. Published online (latest version 2014) at: http://potsherd.net/atlas/potsherd

Webster P. 1996. *Roman Samian Pottery in Britain*. Practical Handbooks in Archaeology No 13. Council for British Archaeology.

Appendix

5. Quantification and spot-dating of the pottery assemblage

5.1. Methodology

The sherds were examined in good light using a hand lens of x10 magnification and were catalogued on a context, total quantity, bulk weight (calculated to the nearest gram), period, ware type, estimate of the number of vessels per ware, condition and date preference basis. They are listed in date order from the earliest to the latest. No information about the contexts or their stratigraphic relationships was known unless stated. In the notes, the pieces are typically plain or less diagnostic body sherds unless stated otherwise.

All dates given are *circa*.

It should also be noted that:

- All form and decorative pieces are noted and described in the catalogue and their presence is highlighted by the inclusion of the word 'DRAW'.
- The material has not been re-bagged by period and separated into DRAWables (which do not necessarily need to be drawn for archive level or final site reports or publication) and body sherds at this stage, given that the assemblage is largely single-period and in case any review of all of the material present is desired to be conducted in the future.

5.2. Period Codes employed

Period	Code	Date (circa)			
Late Iron Age	LIA	50	-	0	BC
Latest Iron Age	LIA-ER	0	-	50	AD
Early Roman	ER	50	-	150	AD
Mid Roman	MR	150	-	250	AD
Early Medieval	EM	1050	-	1200	AD
Medieval	Μ	1200	-	1375	AD
Post-Medieval	PM	1525	-	1750	AD

5.3. Abbreviations used in 5.4

Wear

FF	:	Fairly fresh
L	:	Light
М	:	Moderate
Н	:	Heavy

Dating

> : To/or later.

5.4. Catalogue: Quantification and spot-dating of the pottery, with notes

Context			Total s	hords	Total weight (g)		
Context:	Information on the n	ature of the context if known.	Totals	nerus	i otai weight (g)		
			hanattar				
Start date: End date:		ent date of the context based on t le context based on the pottery e		y eviden	ice.		
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	vidence.				
Dating:		es concerning the dating.					
Comments:		s, wares and issues of particular not		747			
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
	Notes.			1			
(1127) [11]	26]			l sherd	2 g		
Context:							
Start date:	Nothing certainly be		1				
End date:		rtainly after 150 AD, but residual					
Dating:	As given.						
Comments:	Likely residual.		·	1			
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
1	ER	Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	1	М	75-125/150 AD		
	Small plain body sher	d, oxidised, soft.		1			
(11000							
(1129) [11	28]] 1	l sherd	6 g		
Context:							
Start date:		ter either 50 or 1150 AD, with a s	light pref	erence f	or the latter.		
End date:	Unclear, residual.						
Dating:	Probably either ER (75-150 AD) or EM>M (1150-1300 AD), but rather thick-walled for Roman, so						
		r EM>M, though consider the cor					
	-	f it is Medieval, it is perhaps les	s likely to	date to	wards the later end of the		
	range.						
Comments:		wn whether this is a Sussex fabric; l		ledge mi			
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
1	ER/EM>M	Gritty sandy	1	Н	?1150-1300 AD		
	Small thick-walled pla	ain sherd, sandy with moderate flin	t grits, ora	nge oxid	ised throughout, soft.		
(1137) [11	35]		19	sherds	447 g		
Context:							
Start date:		before 50 BC, more likely aft					
		potentially after around 75 AD, th					
		ents formed by single-episode or					
End date:		sherd could date after 140/15	0 AD and	if its co	ondition is not a result of		
		nen it is significantly residual.					
Dating:		orary and not a result of seque					
	together likely dates within 0-125 AD, the latest dating material within this group being around						
	75-125 AD. All the fresher material could potentially be contemporary and from a single phase of						
	deposition focussed around 75-100/125 AD. One sherd could date after 140/150 AD and, as seen						
	in several other contexts from this site, it is a very worn looking (or otherwise intentionally						
Commente	altered) piece of San		-1. 6		:]		
Comments:		reamy-buff body sherds in ?iron ric					
		Belgic white ware (10 BC - 110 AD					
		a Sussex product? Review. The dati	0		0 0 1		
		a very worn piece of Samian that w					
		ost of the other sherds. The form of					
		ape that just perhaps was in intenti					
		nd very rounded, however. The bro	JWIIISH SH		sannan could suggest a later		
	2nd contumy AD data				88		
	2nd century AD date. DRAW: 1/2.				00		

Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference
3	LIA>ER	'Belgic' style grog tempered	3	L	50 BC - 125/150 AD
		ody sherds, smoothed or soft burni	shed exter		
2	LIA>ER	Fine sandy	1	М	10 BC - 110/150 AD
		n body sherds, pinky creamy-buff v llish/Gallo-Belgic white ware (10 B			
1	LIA>ER	Fine sandy-silty	1	М	10 BC - 110/150 AD
		n body sherd, creamy-buff, with fre Belgic white ware (10 BC - 110 AD			
2	LIA>ER	'Belgic' style grog tempered	?2	L	15 BC - 75/150 AD
	Small plain body shere	ls with slightly reddish-orange extended and the slightly reddish-orange extended and the slightly reddish-orange for the slightly reddished and the slightl		eriors gr	
3	LIA-ER>ER	?Baetican amphora	1	L	0-150 AD
	from the shoulder, pot	nge, very sandy, perhaps an early E centially of Dressel 20 (and allied ty D) type, all of which occur in early F	vpes, 0-250) AD), 7-	
7	ER	Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	?4	L	75-125/150 AD
-		se sherd showing a small remnant	of incised	combing	
	buff patches on exterio	or. Rest small to medium sized plai ale oxidised exteriors, 3 smaller thi	n body she	erds, all l	out 1 neatly smoothed or
1	ER>MR	?Central Gaulish Lezoux Samian	1	Н	140/150-200 AD
		dy sherd in form of a narrow leaf-li ld suggest a date in the later 2nd co			ded edges, thick, intentional?
(1120) [11]	251		16	charde	479 σ
(1138) [113	35]		16	sherds	478 g
(1138) [113 Context: Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 50/0 BC and if all are relate on the nature of the context and	ed, as thei	r condi	ion might suggest (though
Context:	Nothing certainly be this is dependant up AD. The majority of the n		ed, as thei their dist ficantly p	r condit ributior ost-date	tion might suggest (though a), then potentially after 50
Context: Start date:	Nothing certainly be this is dependant up AD. The majority of the n later than 150 AD, as	on the nature of the context and naterial seems less likely to signi	ed, as thei their dist ficantly p s are conc	r condit ributior ost-date erned.	tion might suggest (though a), then potentially after 50 e 100 AD. Nothing certainly
Context: Start date: End date:	Nothing certainly be this is dependant up AD. The majority of the n later than 150 AD, as None of this materia from a similar horizo	on the nature of the context and naterial seems less likely to signi far as the dominant local fabric l is significantly worn and if it w on then all could be broadly relate	ed, as thei their dist ficantly p s are conc as recove ed and thu	r condit ributior ost-dato erned. red fror 1s date a	tion might suggest (though a), then potentially after 50 e 100 AD. Nothing certainly n a single phase deposit or around 50-100 AD perhaps,
Context: Start date: End date:	Nothing certainly be this is dependant up AD. The majority of the m later than 150 AD, as None of this materia from a similar horizo though there is pote	on the nature of the context and naterial seems less likely to signi far as the dominant local fabric l is significantly worn and if it w on then all could be broadly relate ntial for 1 sherd of amphora to	ed, as thei their dist ficantly p s are conc as recove ed and thu date afte	r condit ribution ost-date cerned. red fror 15 date a r this. N	tion might suggest (though a), then potentially after 50 e 100 AD. Nothing certainly an a single phase deposit or around 50-100 AD perhaps, lotably, there are conjoins
Context: Start date: End date:	Nothing certainly be this is dependant up AD. The majority of the m later than 150 AD, as None of this materia from a similar horizo though there is pote between grog tempe	on the nature of the context and naterial seems less likely to signi- far as the dominant local fabric l is significantly worn and if it w on then all could be broadly relatential ntial for 1 sherd of amphora to red sherds in (1138) and (1137	ed, as thei their dist ficantly p s are conc as recove ed and thu date afte 7), which	r condit ributior ost-date cerned. red fror is date a r this. N either l	tion might suggest (though a), then potentially after 50 e 100 AD. Nothing certainly n a single phase deposit or around 50-100 AD perhaps, Notably, there are conjoins inks the deposition of this
Context: Start date: End date:	Nothing certainly be this is dependant up AD. The majority of the m later than 150 AD, as None of this materia from a similar horizo though there is pote between grog tempe material or could su	on the nature of the context and naterial seems less likely to signi far as the dominant local fabric l is significantly worn and if it w on then all could be broadly relate ntial for 1 sherd of amphora to	ed, as thei their dist ficantly p s are conc as recove ed and thu date afte 7), which	r condit ributior ost-date cerned. red fror is date a r this. N either l	tion might suggest (though a), then potentially after 50 e 100 AD. Nothing certainly n a single phase deposit or around 50-100 AD perhaps, Notably, there are conjoins inks the deposition of this
Context: Start date: End date:	Nothing certainly be this is dependant up AD. The majority of the m later than 150 AD, as None of this materia from a similar horizo though there is pote between grog tempe material or could su	on the nature of the context and naterial seems less likely to signi- far as the dominant local fabric l is significantly worn and if it w on then all could be broadly relatential ntial for 1 sherd of amphora to red sherds in (1138) and (1137	ed, as thei their dist ficantly p s are conc as recove ed and thu date afte 7), which	r condit ributior ost-date cerned. red fror is date a r this. N either l	tion might suggest (though a), then potentially after 50 e 100 AD. Nothing certainly n a single phase deposit or around 50-100 AD perhaps, Notably, there are conjoins inks the deposition of this
Context: Start date: End date:	Nothing certainly be this is dependant up AD. The majority of the m later than 150 AD, as None of this materia from a similar horizo though there is pote between grog tempe material or could su perhaps. None of the material is	on the nature of the context and naterial seems less likely to signi- far as the dominant local fabrics l is significantly worn and if it wo on then all could be broadly relatential for 1 sherd of amphora to red sherds in (1138) and (1137) aggest the intermittent disposal significantly worn and on this basi	ed, as thei their dist ficantly p s are conc as recove ed and thu date afte 7), which l of mate s all could	r condit ributior ost-date erned. red fror is date a r this. N either l rial fron be broad	tion might suggest (though b), then potentially after 50 e 100 AD. Nothing certainly in a single phase deposit or bround 50-100 AD perhaps, lotably, there are conjoins inks the deposition of this in the same rubbish heap,
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be this is dependant up AD. The majority of the m later than 150 AD, as None of this materia from a similar horizo though there is pote between grog temper material or could su perhaps. None of the material is upon the context and	on the nature of the context and naterial seems less likely to signi- far as the dominant local fabrics l is significantly worn and if it wo on then all could be broadly relatential for 1 sherd of amphora to red sherds in (1138) and (1137) aggest the intermittent disposal significantly worn and on this basi their horizon of recovery. The gro	ed, as thei their dist ficantly p s are conc as recove ed and thu date afte 7), which l of mate s all could og tempere	r condit ribution ost-date erned. red fror is date a r this. N either l rial froi be broaced wares	tion might suggest (though a), then potentially after 50 e 100 AD. Nothing certainly an a single phase deposit or around 50-100 AD perhaps, lotably, there are conjoins inks the deposition of this an the same rubbish heap, are predominantly reduced
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be this is dependant up AD. The majority of the m later than 150 AD, as None of this materia from a similar horizo though there is pote between grog temper material or could su perhaps. None of the material is upon the context and and the 3 rims present	on the nature of the context and naterial seems less likely to signi- far as the dominant local fabrics l is significantly worn and if it wo on then all could be broadly relatential for 1 sherd of amphora to red sherds in (1138) and (1137) aggest the intermittent disposal significantly worn and on this basi	ed, as thei their dist ficantly p s are conc as recove ed and thu date afte 7), which l of mate s all could og tempere cally) fron	r condit ribution ost-date cerned. red fror is date a r this. N either l rial fron be broad ed wares n before	tion might suggest (though a), then potentially after 50 e 100 AD. Nothing certainly a single phase deposit or around 50-100 AD perhaps, lotably, there are conjoins inks the deposition of this in the same rubbish heap, are predominantly reduced the conquest, but some post-
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be this is dependant up AD. The majority of the m later than 150 AD, as None of this materia from a similar horizo though there is pote between grog temper material or could su perhaps. None of the material is upon the context and and the 3 rims present conquest examples are of the grogged sherds	on the nature of the context and naterial seems less likely to signi- far as the dominant local fabrics l is significantly worn and if it w on then all could be broadly relatent ntial for 1 sherd of amphora to red sherds in (1138) and (1137) aggest the intermittent disposal significantly worn and on this basis their horizon of recovery. The grout t could date widely, mostly (stylistic e known. 1 grog tempered base sheets s show a light patchy oxidisation	ed, as thei their dist ficantly p s are conc as recove ed and thu date afte 7), which I of mate s all could og tempere cally) from rd conjoins on the ex	r condit ribution ost-date cerned. red fron is date a r this. N either I rial fron be broad ed wares n before s to the 2 terior, v	tion might suggest (though b), then potentially after 50 e 100 AD. Nothing certainly in a single phase deposit or around 50-100 AD perhaps, Notably, there are conjoins inks the deposition of this in the same rubbish heap, are predominantly reduced the conquest, but some post- base sherds in (1137). Some vith 2 sherds showing more
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be this is dependant up AD. The majority of the m later than 150 AD, as None of this materia from a similar horizo though there is pote between grog tempe material or could su perhaps. None of the material is upon the context and and the 3 rims present conquest examples are of the grogged sherds extensive buff and pin	on the nature of the context and naterial seems less likely to signi- far as the dominant local fabrics is significantly worn and if it words in the all could be broadly related in the all could be broadly rela	ed, as thei their dist ficantly p s are conc as recove ed and thu date afte 7), which I of mate s all could og tempere cally) from rd conjoins on the ex common of	r condit ribution ost-date cerned. red fron is date a r this. N either 1 rial fron be broad ed wares n before s to the 2 terior, w on mater	tion might suggest (though b), then potentially after 50 e 100 AD. Nothing certainly in a single phase deposit or around 50-100 AD perhaps, Notably, there are conjoins inks the deposition of this in the same rubbish heap, are predominantly reduced the conquest, but some post- base sherds in (1137). Some vith 2 sherds showing more rial from 50/75 AD onwards.
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be this is dependant up AD. The majority of the m later than 150 AD, as None of this materia from a similar horizo though there is pote between grog tempe material or could su perhaps. None of the material is upon the context and and the 3 rims present conquest examples are of the grogged sherds extensive buff and pin These are in the mino	on the nature of the context and naterial seems less likely to signi- far as the dominant local fabrics l is significantly worn and if it words on then all could be broadly relate- ntial for 1 sherd of amphora to red sherds in (1138) and (1137) aggest the intermittent disposal significantly worn and on this basi- their horizon of recovery. The grou- t could date widely, mostly (stylisti- show a light patchy oxidisation k-orangey exteriors that are more rity though, so the sherds which sh	ed, as thei their dist ficantly p s are conc as recove ed and thu date afte 7), which l of mate s all could og tempered cally) from rd conjoins on the ex common of now such f	r condit ribution ost-date cerned. red from is date a r this. N either l rial from be broad ed wares n before s to the 2 terior, v on mater irings ha	tion might suggest (though b), then potentially after 50 e 100 AD. Nothing certainly in a single phase deposit or around 50-100 AD perhaps, lotably, there are conjoins inks the deposition of this in the same rubbish heap, are predominantly reduced the conquest, but some post- base sherds in (1137). Some with 2 sherds showing more rial from 50/75 AD onwards. ave been preferably dated as
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be this is dependant up AD. The majority of the m later than 150 AD, as None of this materia from a similar horizo though there is pote between grog tempe material or could su perhaps. None of the material is upon the context and and the 3 rims present conquest examples are of the grogged sherds extensive buff and pin These are in the mino 50-100 AD for now. A	on the nature of the context and naterial seems less likely to signi- far as the dominant local fabrics l is significantly worn and if it worn on then all could be broadly relate ntial for 1 sherd of amphora to red sherds in (1138) and (1137) aggest the intermittent disposal significantly worn and on this basi their horizon of recovery. The grout to could date widely, mostly (stylistic known. 1 grog tempered base shere s show a light patchy oxidisation k-orangey exteriors that are more rity though, so the sherds which sh lso, 1 thick-walled amphora sherd,	ed, as thei their dist ficantly p s are conc as recove ed and thu date afte 7), which I of mate s all could og tempered cally) from rd conjoins on the ex common of now such f , possibly	r condit ribution ost-date cerned. red fror is date a r this. N either l rial fron be broad ed wares n before s to the 2 terior, v on mater irings ha Dressel 2	tion might suggest (though b), then potentially after 50 e 100 AD. Nothing certainly in a single phase deposit or fround 50-100 AD perhaps, lotably, there are conjoins inks the deposition of this in the same rubbish heap, are predominantly reduced the conquest, but some post- base sherds in (1137). Some with 2 sherds showing more rial from 50/75 AD onwards. ave been preferably dated as 20 on this basis, but in a less
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be this is dependant up AD. The majority of the m later than 150 AD, as None of this materia from a similar horizo though there is pote between grog tempe material or could su perhaps. None of the material is upon the context and and the 3 rims present conquest examples are of the grogged sherds extensive buff and pin These are in the mino 50-100 AD for now. A sandy fabric than the	on the nature of the context and naterial seems less likely to signi- far as the dominant local fabric: I is significantly worn and if it worn on then all could be broadly related ntial for 1 sherd of amphora to red sherds in (1138) and (1137) aggest the intermittent disposal significantly worn and on this basis their horizon of recovery. The grout to could date widely, mostly (stylistic e known. 1 grog tempered base sheres show a light patchy oxidisation k-orangey exteriors that are more rity though, so the sherds which sh lso, 1 thick-walled amphora sherd, amphora in (1137), which might the	ed, as thei their dist ficantly p s are conc as recove ed and thu date afte 7), which I of mate s all could g tempered cally) from rd conjoins on the ex common of now such f , possibly in nus be a la	r condit ribution ost-date cerned. red from is date a r this. N either I rial from be broad ed wares h before s to the 2 terior, w on maten firings ha Dressel 2 ter Dres	tion might suggest (though b), then potentially after 50 e 100 AD. Nothing certainly in a single phase deposit or fround 50-100 AD perhaps, lotably, there are conjoins inks the deposition of this in the same rubbish heap, are predominantly reduced the conquest, but some post- base sherds in (1137). Some with 2 sherds showing more rial from 50/75 AD onwards. ave been preferably dated as 20 on this basis, but in a less sel 20 type fabric (?150-250
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be this is dependant up AD. The majority of the m later than 150 AD, as None of this materia from a similar horizo though there is pote between grog temper material or could su perhaps. None of the material is upon the context and and the 3 rims present conquest examples are of the grogged sherds extensive buff and pim These are in the mino 50-100 AD for now. A sandy fabric than the AD). 1 wheel-thrown	on the nature of the context and naterial seems less likely to signi- far as the dominant local fabrics l is significantly worn and if it worn on then all could be broadly relate ntial for 1 sherd of amphora to red sherds in (1138) and (1137) aggest the intermittent disposal significantly worn and on this basi their horizon of recovery. The grout to could date widely, mostly (stylistic known. 1 grog tempered base shere s show a light patchy oxidisation k-orangey exteriors that are more rity though, so the sherds which sh lso, 1 thick-walled amphora sherd,	ed, as thei their dist ficantly p s are conce as recove ed and thu date afte 7), which I of mate s all could og tempered cally) from rd conjoins on the ex common of now such f possibly in nus be a la off fabric of	r condit ribution ost-date erned. red from is date a r this. N either l rial from be broad ed wares in before s to the 2 terior, v on mater firings ha Dressel 2 ter Dres with not	tion might suggest (though b), then potentially after 50 e 100 AD. Nothing certainly in a single phase deposit or round 50-100 AD perhaps, Notably, there are conjoins inks the deposition of this in the same rubbish heap, are predominantly reduced the conquest, but some post- base sherds in (1137). Some with 2 sherds showing more rial from 50/75 AD onwards. ave been preferably dated as 20 on this basis, but in a less sel 20 type fabric (?150-250 rable black grain inclusions,

Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
<i>Quantity</i> 7	LIA>ER	'Belgic' style grog tempered	-*3/4	L	50 BC/0-100/125 AD		
· · ·		re all reduced. 2 medium and 1 lar					
	from the rims present in other [1135] contexts. 2 are a Thompson 1982 B2-1/D2-4 type everted rim jar/bowl with rippled shoulder, which can date widely. These show deep concave necks, while the third						
		ith a slightly out-turned rim and s					
		Thompson 1982 B2-2/D2-4 ripple					
		later examples are known. 1 mediu					
	walled body sherds						
	Overall, there are *3 ri	m elements and 1 base element fro	om 3/4 ves	sels that	might or might not relate		
	to other body sherds f	rom other [1135] contexts.					
	DRAW: 4.						
7	LIA-ER>ER	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	-*1	L	0/50-100 AD		
		vith dull oxidisation on exterior, sir					
		ntal ripples and possibly from a si					
		night or might not relate to a vess					
	E 37	and 2 other plain body sherds sh			U		
		ve on a fourth body while a fifth h	has a buff e	exterior a	and a sixth a strong pinkish-		
	orange exterior.						
	DRAW: 1.			-	0 /4 50 050 45		
1	LIA-ER>MR	?Dressel 20 amphora	<u> </u>	L	0/150-250 AD		
		d body sherd, ?Dressel 20, orange			-		
1		erent vessel to the amphora in (11					
1	ER>MR	Silty	1	L	70-250 AD		
		hrown base, the fabric showing co					
		that is not very visually macroscopically obvious, pale grey-buff with worn black exterior, fairly but i					
	very hard. Local? A North Gaulish White?						
	-	rth Gaulish White?					
	DRAW.	rth Gaulish White?		[
(1139) [113	DRAW.	rth Gaulish White?	18	sherds	162 g		
(1139) [113 Context:	DRAW.	rth Gaulish White?	18	sherds	162 g		
(1139) [113 Context: Start date:	DRAW. 35]		18	sherds	162 g		
Context:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or	needs to date before 50 AD.					
Context: Start date:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or		50 AD and	possibl	y by around 100/125 AD.		
Context: Start date: End date:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 1	50 AD and in their	possibl conditio	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly		
Context: Start date: End date:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 1 wares show some variation	50 AD and in their (a rim that	possibl conditio is of a st	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically		
Context: Start date: End date:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2n technically precede t	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 1 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a id century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi	50 AD and in their a rim that le reduced ght be a N	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product,		
Context: Start date: End date:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2m technically precede t which would date fro	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 1 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a id century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese	50 AD and in their a rim that a reduced ght be a N ent. There	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of		
Context: Start date: End date:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2n technically precede t which would date fro the material is absol	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 1 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a d century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are sig	50 AD and in their a rim that e reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only v worn.	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the		
Context: Start date: End date:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2n technically precede t which would date fro the material is absol context and the distr	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 1 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a d century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are si ribution of the material within,	50 AD and in their a rim that e reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly if possible	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only worn. e. If all a	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the are broadly contemporary,		
Context: Start date: End date:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2n technically precede t which would date fro the material is absol context and the distr	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 1 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a d century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are sig	50 AD and in their a rim that e reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly if possible	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only worn. e. If all a	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the are broadly contemporary,		
Context: Start date: End date:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2m technically precede t which would date from the material is absol context and the distri- then on current evid	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 1 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a id century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are sig ribution of the material within, ence a range between around 50	50 AD and in their a rim that e reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly if possible) and 100	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only worn. e. If all a AD is po	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the are broadly contemporary, ossible.		
Context: Start date: End date:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2m technically precede t which would date from the material is absol context and the distri- then on current evid	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 1 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a d century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are sig ribution of the material within, ence a range between around 50 c of CBM, potentially PM or later	50 AD and in their a rim that e reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly if possible) and 100	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only worn. e. If all a AD is po	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the are broadly contemporary, ossible.		
Context: Start date: End date:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2n technically precede t which would date fro the material is absol context and the distri- then on current evid NB. 1 small fragment accidental inclusion	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 1 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a d century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are sig ribution of the material within, ence a range between around 50 c of CBM, potentially PM or later	50 AD and in their of a rim that e reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly if possible and 100 , was also	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only is only worn. e. If all a AD is po present	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the ure broadly contemporary, ossible. , but presumably this is an		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2m technically precede t which would date fro the material is absol context and the distri- then on current evid NB. 1 small fragment accidental inclusion 1 piny creamy coloured	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 1 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a d century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are sig ribution of the material within, ence a range between around 50 c of CBM, potentially PM or later or an intrusion.	50 AD and in their of a rim that e reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly if possible and 100 , was also	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only i v worn. e. If all a AD is po present aulish/(0	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the are broadly contemporary, issible. , but presumably this is an callo-Belgic White Ware) and		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2m technically precede t which would date fro the material is absol context and the distri- then on current evid NB. 1 small fragment accidental inclusion 1 piny creamy coloure likely same vessel as 2	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 1 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a d century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are sig ribution of the material within, ence a range between around 50 c of CBM, potentially PM or later or an intrusion. d fine sandy sherd the same fabric	50 AD and in their of a rim that e reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly if possible and 100 , was also : (?North G sandy in a	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only worn. e. If all a AD is po present aulish/C	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the are broadly contemporary, ossible. , but presumably this is an Gallo-Belgic White Ware) and t fabric (also North Gaulish?).		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2m technically precede t which would date fro the material is absol context and the distri- then on current evid NB. 1 small fragment accidental inclusion 1 piny creamy coloure likely same vessel as 2	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 1 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a ad century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are sig ribution of the material within, ence a range between around 50 c of CBM, potentially PM or later or an intrusion. d fine sandy sherd the same fabric sherds seen in (1137). 1 other fine are small plain body sherds. The r	50 AD and in their of a rim that e reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly if possible and 100 , was also : (?North G sandy in a	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only worn. e. If all a AD is po present aulish/C	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the are broadly contemporary, ossible. , but presumably this is an Gallo-Belgic White Ware) and t fabric (also North Gaulish?).		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2m technically precede t which would date from the material is absolic context and the district then on current evid NB. 1 small fragment accidental inclusion 1 piny creamy colourer likely same vessel as 2 Both the sandy wares	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 1 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a id century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are sig ribution of the material within, ence a range between around 50 c of CBM, potentially PM or later or an intrusion. d fine sandy sherd the same fabric sherds seen in (1137). 1 other fine are small plain body sherds. The re- small everted rim	50 AD and in their of a rim that e reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly if possible and 100 , was also : (?North G sandy in a	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only worn. e. If all a AD is po present aulish/C	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the are broadly contemporary, ossible. , but presumably this is an Gallo-Belgic White Ware) and t fabric (also North Gaulish?).		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2m technically precede t which would date from the material is absole context and the district then on current evid NB. 1 small fragment accidental inclusion 1 piny creamy colourer likely same vessel as 2 Both the sandy wares or patchily oxidised. 1 DRAW: 2. Period	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 11 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a id century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are sig ribution of the material within, ence a range between around 50 c of CBM, potentially PM or later or an intrusion. d fine sandy sherd the same fabric sherds seen in (1137). 1 other fine are small plain body sherds. The re- small everted rim <i>Ware</i>	50 AD and in their of a rim that ie reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly if possible and 100 , was also : (?North G sandy in a est are gro	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only worn. e. If all a AD is po present aulish/C	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the ure broadly contemporary, ossible. , but presumably this is an Gallo-Belgic White Ware) and t fabric (also North Gaulish?). red, some of the latter lightly Date preference		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2m technically precede t which would date from the material is absolic context and the district then on current evid NB. 1 small fragment accidental inclusion 1 piny creamy coloured likely same vessel as 2 Both the sandy wares or patchily oxidised. 1 DRAW: 2. Period LIA>ER	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 11 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a id century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are sig- ribution of the material within, ence a range between around 50 c of CBM, potentially PM or later or an intrusion. d fine sandy sherd the same fabric sherds seen in (1137). 1 other fine are small plain body sherds. The re- small everted rim <i>Ware</i> Fine sandy	50 AD and in their of a rim that e reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly if possible and 100 , was also : (?North G sandy in a est are gro <i>Vessels</i> -*	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only is v worn. e. If all a AD is po present aulish/C different g temper Wear M	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could alish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the are broadly contemporary, ossible. , but presumably this is an Gallo-Belgic White Ware) and t fabric (also North Gaulish?). red, some of the latter lightly Date preference 10 BC - 110/150 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2m technically precede t which would date fro the material is absol context and the distri- then on current evid NB. 1 small fragment accidental inclusion 1 piny creamy coloure likely same vessel as 2 Both the sandy wares or patchily oxidised. 1 DRAW: 2. Period LIA>ER Small pinky creamy coloure	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 11 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a id century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are signibution of the material within, ence a range between around 50 c of CBM, potentially PM or later or an intrusion. d fine sandy sherd the same fabric sherds seen in (1137). 1 other fine are small plain body sherds. The re- small everted rim Ware Fine sandy loured thin-walled plain body she	50 AD and in their of a rim that ie reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly if possible and 100 , was also : (?North G sandy in a est are gro <i>Vessels</i> * rd. ?North	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only is v worn. e. If all a AD is po present aulish/C different g temper Wear M Gaulish/	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the are broadly contemporary, ossible. , but presumably this is an fallo-Belgic White Ware) and t fabric (also North Gaulish?). red, some of the latter lightly <u>Date preference</u> 10 BC - 110/150 AD Gallo-Belgic White Ware		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2m technically precede t which would date fro the material is absol context and the distri- then on current evid NB. 1 small fragment accidental inclusion 1 piny creamy coloure likely same vessel as 2 Both the sandy wares or patchily oxidised. 1 DRAW: 2. Period LIA>ER Small pinky creamy coloure	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 11 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a id century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are sig- ribution of the material within, ence a range between around 50 c of CBM, potentially PM or later, or an intrusion. d fine sandy sherd the same fabric sherds seen in (1137). 1 other fine are small plain body sherds. The re- small everted rim <u>Ware</u> Fine sandy loured thin-walled plain body she Sussex product? *Same fabric and	50 AD and in their of a rim that a reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly if possible and 100 , was also c (?North G sandy in a est are gro <i>Vessels</i> -* rd. ?North likely sam	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only / worn. e. If all a AD is po present aulish/C different g temper Wear M Gaulish/	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the are broadly contemporary, ossible. , but presumably this is an Gallo-Belgic White Ware) and t fabric (also North Gaulish?). red, some of the latter lightly <u>Date preference</u> 10 BC - 110/150 AD Gallo-Belgic White Ware as seen in (1137).		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2m technically precede t which would date from the material is absol context and the distri- the material is absol context and the distri- then on current evid NB. 1 small fragment accidental inclusion 1 piny creamy coloured likely same vessel as 2 Both the sandy wares or patchily oxidised. 1 DRAW: 2. Period LIA>ER Small pinky creamy con (10 BC - 110 AD), or a ER	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 11 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a id century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are signification of the material within, ence a range between around 50 c of CBM, potentially PM or later, or an intrusion. d fine sandy sherd the same fabric sherds seen in (1137). 1 other fine are small plain body sherds. The re- small everted rim Ware Fine sandy loured thin-walled plain body she Sussex product? *Same fabric and Fine sandy	50 AD and in their of a rim that a reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly if possible and 100 , was also c (?North G sandy in a est are gro <i>Vessels</i> -* rd. ?North likely sam	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only ' worn. e. If all a AD is po present aulish/C different g temper Wear M Gaulish/ e vessel L>M	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the are broadly contemporary, ossible. , but presumably this is an Gallo-Belgic White Ware) and t fabric (also North Gaulish?). red, some of the latter lightly Date preference 10 BC - 110/150 AD Gallo-Belgic White Ware as seen in (1137). 50/70-150 AD.		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2m technically precede t which would date from the material is absole context and the district then on current evid NB. 1 small fragment accidental inclusion 1 piny creamy coloured likely same vessel as 2 Both the sandy waress or patchily oxidised. 1 DRAW: 2. Period LIA>ER Small pinky creamy coloured (10 BC - 110 AD), or a ER Small medium-walled	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 11 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a id century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are sig- ribution of the material within, ence a range between around 50 c of CBM, potentially PM or later or an intrusion. d fine sandy sherd the same fabric sherds seen in (1137). 1 other fine are small plain body sherds. The re- small everted rim <i>Ware</i> Fine sandy loured thin-walled plain body she Sussex product? *Same fabric and Fine sandy curving plain body sherd, dark	50 AD and in their of a rim that ie reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly if possible and 100 , was also c (?North G sandy in a est are gro <i>Vessels</i> 	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only ' worn. e. If all a AD is po present aulish/C different g temper Wear M Gaulish/ e vessel L>M	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the are broadly contemporary, ossible. , but presumably this is an Gallo-Belgic White Ware) and t fabric (also North Gaulish?). red, some of the latter lightly Date preference 10 BC - 110/150 AD Gallo-Belgic White Ware as seen in (1137). 50/70-150 AD.		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	DRAW. 35] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly or The grog tempered contemporary then t continue into the 2m technically precede t which would date from the material is absole context and the district then on current evid NB. 1 small fragment accidental inclusion 1 piny creamy coloured likely same vessel as 2 Both the sandy waress or patchily oxidised. 1 DRAW: 2. Period LIA>ER Small pinky creamy coloured (10 BC - 110 AD), or a ER Small medium-walled	needs to date before 50 AD. needs date substantially after 11 wares show some variation hey could be ER. One of these is a id century AD. The ranges of th he conquest and 1 sherd that mi om 10 BC onwards, is also prese utely fresh, though none are signification of the material within, ence a range between around 50 c of CBM, potentially PM or later, or an intrusion. d fine sandy sherd the same fabric sherds seen in (1137). 1 other fine are small plain body sherds. The re- small everted rim Ware Fine sandy loured thin-walled plain body she Sussex product? *Same fabric and Fine sandy	50 AD and in their of a rim that ie reduced ght be a N ent. There gnificantly if possible and 100 , was also c (?North G sandy in a est are gro <i>Vessels</i> 	possibl conditio is of a st l versio orth Gau is only ' worn. e. If all a AD is po present aulish/C different g temper Wear M Gaulish/ e vessel L>M	y by around 100/125 AD. n, but if all are broadly yle that might not typically ns of this ware type could ulish/Gallo-Belgic product, 1 larger sherd and none of Consider the nature of the are broadly contemporary, ossible. , but presumably this is an Gallo-Belgic White Ware) and t fabric (also North Gaulish?). red, some of the latter lightly Date preference 10 BC - 110/150 AD Gallo-Belgic White Ware as seen in (1137). 50/70-150 AD.		

18	ER	Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	_*	L>M	50/75-100/125 AD
10		l mostly thinner-walled sherds, mo	st plain bo		
		ces or patches. 1 conjoining mediu			
		l convex body, reduced with patch			
		the ER, some edges rounding but of			
		W). The former conjoins to a body			
		ntially with some very worn incise			
		e at least likely relate to vessels re			
	examples very soft.	the reast intery relate to vessels re	presenteu	by sher	us seen in (1157). In testeu
	DRAW: 2.				
(1141) [114	401		8	sherds	36 g
Context:				<u>51101 45</u>	
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 70 AD and possibly after 90	AD.		
End date:	Nothing certainly aft				
Dating:		akin to a Kent ware known as N	orth Kent	Fine. th	e dating applied being the
2 uungi		king vessels in that ware, which			
Comments:		ressels, notably all of the same wa			
		different surface firings. Fairly soft			
	DRAW: 3.			0	
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference
9	ER	Fine silty	3	L	70/90-120/130 AD
		lack cores and sharp firing sandwic	hes on the	wares w	1 1
		wing frequent grog-like particles/			
		nished. 3 with dull orangey surface			
		im projecting over slightly convex h			
		led) and profile, showing a pale bu			
		small rim sherd of the same more			
	and same profile, but v				
	DRAW: 3.				
(1142) [114	DRAW: 3.		8	sherds	239 g
(1142) [114 Context:	DRAW: 3.		8	sherds	239 g
Context: Start date:	DRAW: 3. 40] Nothing certainly be	fore 75 AD.			239 g
Context:	DRAW: 3. 40] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by arc	ound 150	AD.	
Context: Start date:	DRAW: 3. 40] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft	fore 75 AD.	ound 150	AD.	
Context: Start date: End date:	DRAW: 3. 40] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft None are significantl 150 AD.	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by aro ly worn and if this material is br	ound 150 Joadly con	AD. tempor	ary then it could date 100-
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	DRAW: 3. 40] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft None are significantl 150 AD. Several medium and	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by arc	ound 150 ao adly con	AD. tempor	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	DRAW: 3. 40] Nothing certainly ber Nothing certainly aft None are significantl 150 AD. Several medium and relatively contempora	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by aro ly worn and if this material is br largeish sherds in a similar not	ound 150 a oadly con significar taria, the f	AD. tempor atly wor abric of	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time,
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	DRAW: 3. 10] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft None are significantl 150 AD. Several medium and relatively contempora though the form is more	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by aro y worn and if this material is br largeish sherds in a similar not ry. Notable are sherds from a mor	ound 150 a oadly con significar taria, the f otable is t	AD. tempor abric of hat the b	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, puff surfaced grog tempered
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	DRAW: 3. 10] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft None are significant 150 AD. Several medium and relatively contempora though the form is me sherds are harder fire	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by aro ly worn and if this material is br largeish sherds in a similar not ry. Notable are sherds from a mor ore likely 2nd century AD. Also no	ound 150 oadly con significar taria, the f otable is th og temper	AD. tempor abric of hat the h red mate	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, puff surfaced grog tempered rial in (1143) and (1144), as
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	DRAW: 3. 10] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft None are significant 150 AD. Several medium and relatively contempora though the form is me sherds are harder fire	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by aro ly worn and if this material is br largeish sherds in a similar not ry. Notable are sherds from a mor ore likely 2nd century AD. Also no d than is typical for the reduced gr	ound 150 oadly con significar taria, the f otable is th og temper	AD. tempor abric of hat the h red mate	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, puff surfaced grog tempered rial in (1143) and (1144), as
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	DRAW: 3. 40] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft None are significantl 150 AD. Several medium and relatively contempora though the form is me sherds are harder fire well as the similarly re	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by aro ly worn and if this material is br largeish sherds in a similar not ry. Notable are sherds from a mor ore likely 2nd century AD. Also no d than is typical for the reduced gr	ound 150 oadly con significar taria, the f otable is th og temper	AD. tempor abric of hat the h red mate	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, puff surfaced grog tempered rial in (1143) and (1144), as
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	DRAW: 3. 10] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft None are significantl 150 AD. Several medium and relatively contempora though the form is mosherds are harder fire well as the similarly re DRAW: 1. Period ER	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by aro by worn and if this material is br largeish sherds in a similar not ry. Notable are sherds from a mor ore likely 2nd century AD. Also no d than is typical for the reduced gr educed grogged sherds in other cor <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	ound 150 a oadly con significar taria, the f otable is th og temper itexts on th <i>Vessels</i> 1	AD. tempor abric of hat the b red mate his site, w <u>Wear</u> L	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, ouff surfaced grog tempered rial in (1143) and (1144), as which are generally soft. Date preference 75-150 AD
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	DRAW: 3. 10] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft None are significant 150 AD. Several medium and relatively contempora though the form is m sherds are harder fire well as the similarly re DRAW: 1. Period ER Largeish thick-walled	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by aro by worn and if this material is br largeish sherds in a similar not ry. Notable are sherds from a mor ore likely 2nd century AD. Also no d than is typical for the reduced gr educed grogged sherds in other cor <u>Ware</u> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog plain body sherd, pale grey-ish-but	ound 150 J oadly com significar taria, the f otable is the og temper atexts on the <i>Vessels</i> 1 f surfaces	AD. tempor abric of hat the h red mate his site, w <i>Wear</i> L and blac	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, puff surfaced grog tempered rial in (1143) and (1144), as which are generally soft. Date preference 75-150 AD ck core, fairly hard,
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	DRAW: 3. 10] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft None are significant 150 AD. Several medium and relatively contempora though the form is m sherds are harder fire well as the similarly re DRAW: 1. Period ER Largeish thick-walled	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by aro by worn and if this material is br largeish sherds in a similar not ry. Notable are sherds from a mor ore likely 2nd century AD. Also no d than is typical for the reduced gr educed grogged sherds in other cor <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog plain body sherd, pale grey-ish-but ces but edges fairly sharp. Sherds li	ound 150 J oadly com significar taria, the f otable is the og temper atexts on the <i>Vessels</i> 1 f surfaces	AD. tempor abric of hat the h red mate his site, w <i>Wear</i> L and blac	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, puff surfaced grog tempered rial in (1143) and (1144), as which are generally soft. Date preference 75-150 AD ck core, fairly hard, ssel in (1143).
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	DRAW: 3. 10] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft None are significant 150 AD. Several medium and relatively contempora though the form is m sherds are harder fire well as the similarly re DRAW: 1. Period ER Largeish thick-walled	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by aro by worn and if this material is br largeish sherds in a similar not ry. Notable are sherds from a mor ore likely 2nd century AD. Also no d than is typical for the reduced gr educed grogged sherds in other cor <u>Ware</u> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog plain body sherd, pale grey-ish-but	ound 150 J oadly com significar taria, the f otable is the og temper atexts on the <i>Vessels</i> 1 f surfaces	AD. tempor abric of hat the h red mate his site, w <i>Wear</i> L and blac	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, puff surfaced grog tempered rial in (1143) and (1144), as which are generally soft. Date preference 75-150 AD ck core, fairly hard,
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	DRAW: 3. 40] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft None are significantl 150 AD. Several medium and relatively contempora though the form is m sherds are harder fire well as the similarly re DRAW: 1. Period ER Largeish thick-walled somewhat worn surfa- ER>MR	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by aro by worn and if this material is br largeish sherds in a similar not ry. Notable are sherds from a mor ore likely 2nd century AD. Also no d than is typical for the reduced gr educed grogged sherds in other cor <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog plain body sherd, pale grey-ish-but ces but edges fairly sharp. Sherds li	ound 150 J oadly con significar taria, the f otable is the og temper texts on the <i>Vessels</i> 1 ff surfaces kely from 1	AD. tempor abric of bat the b red mate his site, w Wear L and blac same ve L	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, puff surfaced grog tempered rial in (1143) and (1144), as which are generally soft. Date preference 75-150 AD ck core, fairly hard, ssel in (1143). 100-200 AD
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	DRAW: 3. 10] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft None are significantl 150 AD . Several medium and relatively contempora though the form is m sherds are harder fire well as the similarly re DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> ER Largeish thick-walled somewhat worn surface ER>MR Bright buff coloured sl	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by aro by worn and if this material is br largeish sherds in a similar not ry. Notable are sherds from a mor ore likely 2nd century AD. Also no d than is typical for the reduced gr educed grogged sherds in other cor <u>Ware</u> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog plain body sherd, pale grey-ish-but ces but edges fairly sharp. Sherds li Very fine sandy mortaria	ound 150 a oadly con significar taria, the f otable is the og temper atexts on the <i>Vessels</i> 1 f surfaces kely from 1 diately viso	AD. tempor abric of hat the b red mate his site, w <i>Wear</i> L and blac same ve L ually san	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, puff surfaced grog tempered rial in (1143) and (1144), as which are generally soft. Date preference 75-150 AD ck core, fairly hard, ssel in (1143). 100-200 AD dy fabric (the sand is profuse
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	DRAW: 3. 10] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft None are significant 150 AD . Several medium and relatively contempora though the form is me sherds are harder fire well as the similarly re DRAW: 1. Period ER Largeish thick-walled somewhat worn surface ER>MR Bright buff coloured sl but very fine), the mar to body profile, with a	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by area by worn and if this material is br largeish sherds in a similar not ry. Notable are sherds from a mor ore likely 2nd century AD. Also no d than is typical for the reduced gr educed grogged sherds in other cor <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog plain body sherd, pale grey-ish-but ces but edges fairly sharp. Sherds li Very fine sandy mortaria ightly messy looking but not immed gins slightly paler than the more or gently down-curving flanged rim a	significar significar taria, the f otable is the og temper atexts on the <i>Vessels</i> 1 f surfaces kely from 1 diately visu rangey-but and groove	AD. tempor abric of hat the b red mate his site, w <u>Wear</u> L and blac same ve L ually san ff core. 5	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, puff surfaced grog tempered rial in (1143) and (1144), as which are generally soft. Date preference 75-150 AD ck core, fairly hard, ssel in (1143). 100-200 AD dy fabric (the sand is profuse sherds conjoin to a large rim the interior lip, akin to some
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	DRAW: 3. 10] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft None are significant 150 AD . Several medium and relatively contempora though the form is mosherds are harder fire well as the similarly re DRAW: 1. Period ER Largeish thick-walled somewhat worn surface ER>MR Bright buff coloured sl but very fine), the mar to body profile, with a	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by aro by worn and if this material is br largeish sherds in a similar not ry. Notable are sherds from a mor ore likely 2nd century AD. Also no d than is typical for the reduced gr educed grogged sherds in other cor <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog plain body sherd, pale grey-ish-but ces but edges fairly sharp. Sherds li Very fine sandy mortaria ightly messy looking but not immed gins slightly paler than the more on	significar significar taria, the f otable is the og temper atexts on the <i>Vessels</i> 1 f surfaces kely from 1 diately visu rangey-but and groove	AD. tempor abric of hat the b red mate his site, w <u>Wear</u> L and blac same ve L ually san ff core. 5	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, puff surfaced grog tempered rial in (1143) and (1144), as which are generally soft. Date preference 75-150 AD ck core, fairly hard, ssel in (1143). 100-200 AD dy fabric (the sand is profuse sherds conjoin to a large rim the interior lip, akin to some
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	DRAW: 3. 10] Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft None are significant 150 AD. Several medium and relatively contempora though the form is mosherds are harder fire well as the similarly re DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> ER Largeish thick-walled somewhat worn surface ER>MR Bright buff coloured sl but very fine), the mar to body profile, with a Lincolnshire types of the burnished) and plain,	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by around by worn and if this material is breat and if the sandy mortaria is breat and if the sandy mortaria and if the sandy mortaria and feat and century AD (de la Bédoyèr no obvious trituration grits and feat and if this material is breat and feat and if this triteration grits and feat and if this breat and is breat and if this triteration grits and feat and if the sand the sa	ound 150 a oadly com significar taria, the f otable is t og temper texts on the <i>Vessels</i> 1 f surfaces kely from 1 diately visu cangey-but and groove e 2000, 40 w holes in	AD. tempor abric of hat the k red mate his site, w Wear L and blac same ve L ually san ff core. 5 e around D-41), all dicating	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, puff surfaced grog tempered rial in (1143) and (1144), as which are generally soft. Date preference 75-150 AD ck core, fairly hard, ssel in (1143). 100-200 AD dy fabric (the sand is profuse sherds conjoin to a large rim the interior lip, akin to some l surfaces smoothed (but not their former presence, while
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	DRAW: 3. 10] Nothing certainly beyond Nothing certainly aft None are significant 150 AD . Several medium and relatively contemporation though the form is more sherds are harder fire well as the similarly reprived DRAW: 1. Period ER Largeish thick-walled somewhat worn surface ER>MR Bright buff coloured sl but very fine), the mare to body profile, with a Lincolnshire types of the burnished) and plain, the base has a remnantice	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by aro by worn and if this material is br largeish sherds in a similar not ry. Notable are sherds from a mor- ore likely 2nd century AD. Also no d than is typical for the reduced gr educed grogged sherds in other cor <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog plain body sherd, pale grey-ish-but ces but edges fairly sharp. Sherds li Very fine sandy mortaria ightly messy looking but not immed gins slightly paler than the more on gently down-curving flanged rim a the 2nd century AD (de la Bédoyèr no obvious trituration grits and fev t from what may be a large hole th	ound 150 a oadly con significar taria, the f otable is t og temper texts on t <i>Vessels</i> 1 f surfaces kely from 1 diately visu angey-but ond groove e 2000, 40 w holes in at appears	AD. tempor abric of hat the b red mate his site, w Wear L and blac same ve L ually san ff core. 5 e around D-41), all dicating s to have	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, puff surfaced grog tempered rial in (1143) and (1144), as which are generally soft. Date preference 75-150 AD ck core, fairly hard, ssel in (1143). 100-200 AD dy fabric (the sand is profuse sherds conjoin to a large rim the interior lip, akin to some I surfaces smoothed (but not their former presence, while been carved-out from below
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	DRAW: 3. 10] Nothing certainly beyond Nothing certainly aft None are significant 150 AD . Several medium and relatively contemporation though the form is more sherds are harder fire well as the similarly reprived DRAW: 1. Period ER Largeish thick-walled somewhat worn surface ER>MR Bright buff coloured sl but very fine), the mare to body profile, with a Lincolnshire types of the burnished) and plain, the base has a remnantice	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by around by worn and if this material is breat and if the sandy mortaria is breat and if the sandy mortaria and if the sandy mortaria and feat and century AD (de la Bédoyèr no obvious trituration grits and feat and if this material is breat and feat and if this triteration grits and feat and if this breat and is breat and if this triteration grits and feat and if the sand the sa	ound 150 a oadly con significar taria, the f otable is t og temper texts on t <i>Vessels</i> 1 f surfaces kely from 1 diately visu angey-but ond groove e 2000, 40 w holes in at appears	AD. tempor abric of hat the b red mate his site, w Wear L and blac same ve L ually san ff core. 5 e around D-41), all dicating s to have	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, puff surfaced grog tempered rial in (1143) and (1144), as which are generally soft. Date preference 75-150 AD ck core, fairly hard, ssel in (1143). 100-200 AD dy fabric (the sand is profuse sherds conjoin to a large rim the interior lip, akin to some I surfaces smoothed (but not their former presence, while been carved-out from below
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	DRAW: 3. 10] Nothing certainly beyond Nothing certainly aft None are significant 150 AD . Several medium and relatively contemporation though the form is more sherds are harder fire well as the similarly reprived DRAW: 1. Period ER Largeish thick-walled somewhat worn surface ER>MR Bright buff coloured sl but very fine), the mare to body profile, with a Lincolnshire types of the burnished) and plain, the base has a remnantice	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by aro ly worn and if this material is br largeish sherds in a similar not ry. Notable are sherds from a mor ore likely 2nd century AD. Also no d than is typical for the reduced gr educed grogged sherds in other cor <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog plain body sherd, pale grey-ish-but ces but edges fairly sharp. Sherds li Very fine sandy mortaria ightly messy looking but not immed gins slightly paler than the more on gently down-curving flanged rim a the 2nd century AD (de la Bédoyèr no obvious trituration grits and fey it from what may be a large hole th 'adaption. Sherds from the same v	ound 150 a oadly con significar taria, the f otable is t og temper texts on t <i>Vessels</i> 1 f surfaces kely from 1 diately visu angey-but ond groove e 2000, 40 w holes in at appears	AD. tempor abric of hat the b red mate his site, w Wear L and blac same ve L ually san ff core. 5 e around D-41), all dicating s to have	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, puff surfaced grog tempered rial in (1143) and (1144), as which are generally soft. Date preference 75-150 AD ck core, fairly hard, ssel in (1143). 100-200 AD dy fabric (the sand is profuse sherds conjoin to a large rim the interior lip, akin to some I surfaces smoothed (but not their former presence, while been carved-out from below
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	DRAW: 3. 10 Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft None are significant! 150 AD. Several medium and relatively contempora though the form is mean sherds are harder fire well as the similarly re DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> ER Largeish thick-walled somewhat worn surfat ER>MR Bright buff coloured sl but very fine), the mart to body profile, with a Lincolnshire types of the burnished) and plain, the base has a remnant as a later conversion/	fore 75 AD. er 200 AD and potentially by aro ly worn and if this material is br largeish sherds in a similar not ry. Notable are sherds from a mor ore likely 2nd century AD. Also no d than is typical for the reduced gr educed grogged sherds in other cor <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog plain body sherd, pale grey-ish-but ces but edges fairly sharp. Sherds li Very fine sandy mortaria ightly messy looking but not immed gins slightly paler than the more on gently down-curving flanged rim a the 2nd century AD (de la Bédoyèr no obvious trituration grits and fey it from what may be a large hole th 'adaption. Sherds from the same v	ound 150 a oadly con significar taria, the f otable is t og temper texts on t <i>Vessels</i> 1 f surfaces kely from 1 diately visu angey-but ond groove e 2000, 40 w holes in at appears	AD. tempor abric of hat the b red mate his site, w Wear L and blac same ve L ually san ff core. 5 e around D-41), all dicating s to have	ary then it could date 100- n condition and potentially uncertain origin at this time, puff surfaced grog tempered rial in (1143) and (1144), as which are generally soft. Date preference 75-150 AD ck core, fairly hard, ssel in (1143). 100-200 AD dy fabric (the sand is profuse sherds conjoin to a large rim the interior lip, akin to some I surfaces smoothed (but not their former presence, while been carved-out from below

(1143) [114	40]		12	sherds	642 g
Context:					
Start date:	Nothing certainly be just after 75 AD.	fore 0 AD and if all were in use a	at the san	ne time	then potentially around or
End date:	Nothing certainly aft contemporary.	er 200 AD and potentially by aro	ound 150	AD, if th	e latest material is broadly
Dating:	piece likely dates no element, the mortar	t the [1140] contexts, none of the b later than around 75 AD, but co ia, might date no earlier than ar with the later addition of a drain	ould be a ound 100	curated AD and	l item. However, the latest l could have been adapted
	[1140], whether the	25 AD at least, if not later. Cons contexts are created by single ep on of the material within (if poss	pisodes o		
Comments:		ne significantly worn, with a 'Belgic		tor prof	orably of 1st contury AD data
comments:	and a mortaria, who's	fabric origin is unclear at present (
0	DRAW: 1 {+ 1 **same				
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference
4	LIA-ER>ER	Belgic' style grog tempered	1	Ĺ	0-75 AD
	platter, with an everte	(rim to base) sherds conjoin to a rea ed rim and concave neck above a fai exterior smoothed, the interior witl	rly straigh	t but sli	ghtly angled side wall and
		possibly part of the base of same ve			
	-				-
		straight wall types (particularly a p	oublished	example	from Swarling, KentJ, which
	are varied in style and	date.			
	DRAW.				Γ
4	ER	Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	_*	L	75-150 AD
	Largeish thick-walled	plain body sherds, pale grey-ish-bu	uff surface:	s and bla	ick core, fairly hard,
		ces but edges fairly sharp. *Sherds			
4	ER>MR	Very fine sandy mortaria	_*	L	100-200 AD
	1 medium body shere	and 1 medium and 2 large rim sh	erds from	same ve	essel in (1142). 1 of the rims
		le surface showing some pale dul			
		arts of the vessel, the upper inter			
		dish-brown elements. The form is			
		l; Tyers 1996/2014), which date to			
	-	examples (Tomber and Dore 1998)			
		o examples in the south of England			
		illam types 255 and 272 in this wa			
		bric appears to lack the important			
		998). The latter fabric is often mac			
		and Dore 1998), 50/140-200 AD (r			
		fabric was also produced in Kent (7			
		fter 250 AD (such as Hadham) are a			
	the general focus of th	is group and currently the site asse	emblage as	a whole	2.
	DRAW**.				
(1144) [114	40]		5	sherds	79 g
Context:					
Start date:	Nothing certainly be around 75 AD.	efore 50/25 BC and if all are br	oadly co	ntempor	rary then potentially after
End date:	Nothing certainly aft	er 150 AD.			
Dating:	None of the sherds a	re significantly worn and if all we e. Consider the nature of the con			
Comments:	Small quantity of sma	all to medium sized sherds, all in s	similar coi	ndition. '	The potentially earliest grog
	tempered material is grog tempered sherds	not obviously significantly residua show a greater degree of surface w	l or uncor		
	DRAW: 1.				

Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference	
2		'Belgic' style grog tempered	1	L	50/25 BC - 75/100 AD	
	Conjoin to a medium	sized everted rim from a coarsewa ters fairly sharp. The simple f	are, reduce	ed, some	edge rounding, but fabric is	
1	ER	Fine silty	*?1	L	70/90-120/130 AD	
1	Medium sized thin-wa	illed plain body sherd, *akin to som ior, edges slightly rounded but soft	ie in (1141) but wi	th a grey-black exterior and	
2		Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	_*	L	75-150 AD	
		alled plain body sherds, pale grey-i ces but edges fairly sharp. *Sherds				
(1145) Are	a X SF 14		4	sherds	159 g	
Context:				Sherus	1075	
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 140 AD.				
End date:	Unclear, the edges of residual, though all post-discard.	of these sherds are chipped and likely derive from the same ves	sel, so the	ey have	mostly remained together	
Dating:	perhaps in a few Eas of limestone inclusi Gaulish Samian, thou	nmon in general after 150/160 A t Gaulish factories' (Webster 199 ons, which can be a feature of b igh the former is preferred at pre ntury AD product and it is unkno led.	96, 38). T ooth Cent sent. Wha	he fabri ral Gaul itever th	c shows a notable quantity ish Lezoux and some East is source, this piece is most	
Comments:	DRAW: 1 full profile.					
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference	
4		?Central Gaulish Lezoux Samian	1	Н	140-160/200 AD	
	middle, with approx. 2	d 2 large full profile sherds potent 2/3rds present, though all edges ar especially on interior at base.				
(1151) [114	46]		1	sherd	17 g	
Context:						
Start date:	Nothing certainly be					
	Unclear. Nothing certainly or need date after 75/100 AD, but sherd could be residual to some					
End date:	degree.					
End date: Dating:	degree. Little specific data. I assemblage have p	Production could continue into the roduced such wares alongside context and whether this is spe	he ER and Romani	l severa sing or	l other contexts in the site other ER fabrics, so its	
	degree. Little specific data. I assemblage have p relationship to the	Production could continue into t roduced such wares alongside	he ER and Romani	l severa sing or	l other contexts in the site other ER fabrics, so its	
Dating:	degree. Little specific data. I assemblage have p relationship to the present. Small worn rim.	Production could continue into t roduced such wares alongside	he ER and Romani	l severa sing or	l other contexts in the site other ER fabrics, so its	
Dating: Comments:	degree. Little specific data. I assemblage have p relationship to the o present. Small worn rim. DRAW. Period	Production could continue into t roduced such wares alongside context and whether this is spe	he ER and Romani cifically p	l severa sing or ore or p	l other contexts in the site other ER fabrics, so its ost-conquest is unclear at	
Dating: Comments: Quantity	degree.Little specific data. Iassemblage have prelationship to the operationpresent.Small worn rim.DRAW.PeriodLIA>ER	Production could continue into the roduced such wares alongside context and whether this is spe	he ER and Romani cifically p Vessels	l severa sing or ore or p Wear	l other contexts in the site other ER fabrics, so its ost-conquest is unclear at Date preference	

(1160) [11]	58]		2	sherds	47 g			
Context:								
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 0 AD and if this is a single pl	hase depo	sit then	likely after 120 AD.			
End date:		ertainly later than 150 AD, th						
		ould be residual to some degree						
Dating:	Individual elements	as given. Both show some chipping	ng and ed	ge roun	ding and though the fabrics			
-	are soft, the earlier s	herd is perhaps very slightly mo	ore worn	than the	other and will be residual			
	if occurring in a sing	are soft, the earlier sherd is perhaps very slightly more worn than the other and will be residual if occurring in a single phase deposit or at the same horizon as the later sherd. Whether the single						
	larger later sherd is	effectively context-contempora	ry, given	it is the	e sole representative of its			
		wever. Consider the nature of th						
Comments:	2 pieces of differing th DRAW: 2.	ough sequential dates, both chippe	ed and only	v slightly	worn.			
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference			
1	LIA>ER	'Belgic' style grog tempered	1	L>M	25 BC/0-75/100 AD			
	Small everted rim, fair	rly thin-walled, the flat top neatly	formed, th	ough sir	nple, edges slightly rounded,			
	but soft. DRAW.			-				
1	ER	Fine sandy (BB2 type)	1	L>M	120-150 AD			
	Largeish base sherd, t	hin-walled, wheel-thrown fineware	e, dull blac	k surface	es with brown margins and			
	0	ne edge rounding, but fairly soft.			C			
1	DRAW.							
(1165) [110	63]		5	sherds	134 g			
Context:								
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 0 AD and possibly after 50 A	AD, depen	ding up	on associations.			
End date:	Nothing certainly aft	er 150 AD.						
Dating:		of the context and the distribut ave been in circulation together						
Comments:	significantly worn end a large Hofheim type sandy fabric. A North whether such fine s unfortunately.	vares have slightly more damage to ugh to be certainly unconnected. 1 flagon, the tight dating of this pie Gaulish origin seems less likely g andy fabrics were produced in	wide stra ce depend iven the s	p handle ant upo crong or	e with grooves might be from n the source of the very fine ange coloured firing, though			
	DRAW: 2.		r	F	-			
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference			
2	LIA-ER>ER	'Belgic' style grog tempered	1	L>M	50 BC/0-100/125 AD			
1		body sherd with dull burnished su						
l		grooves, akin to Thompson 1982 B aining at break. Neatly made.	2-1/D2-41	type. Coi	ivex body sherd with 1			
2	ER	Fine sandy	1	L>M	50/70-80 AD			
		ment from a thick-sectioned wide s	_					
	attachment present, b No direct parallels not Gaulish, though the co	right orange oxidised throughout. I ed for the handle after brief search lour would argue against.	From a lar	ge flagor	possibly of 'Hofheim' type?			
1	DRAW.	Domoniaing (Dolais' style are -	1	T				
1	ER Modium aized thinnial	Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	1	L	75-125/150 AD			
	Medium sizea thinnisi	n-walled plain body sherd, oxidised	i, SOIC					
(1172) [114	47]		1	sherd	13 g			
(1172) [114 Context:	47]		1	sherd	13 g			
	47] Nothing certainly be	fore 75 AD.	1	sherd	13 g			
Context:	Nothing certainly be	fore 75 AD. tainly after 150 AD, but residual			13 g			
Context: Start date:	Nothing certainly be	tainly after 150 AD, but residual			13 g			

Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference
<i>Quuntity</i> 1	ER	Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	1	M	75-125/150 AD
1		l, orange surfaces, soft.	T	141	75-125/150 MD
	Sinan plain body siler	, orange surfaces, sort.			
(1173) Top	[1147]		2	sherds	22 g
Context:				merus	
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 75 AD, presuming both are o	rontemno	rarv	
End date:	Nothing certainly aft		contempo	ruryi	
Dating:		orary with each other, both ER if	so neithe	r signif	icantly worn
Comments:		date more widely, LIA>ER. 1 with			
comments.	not significantly worn		bright buil	Surface	incery ER. Similar Singhery Due
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference
2	ER	Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	2	L>M	75-125/150 AD
		zed plain body sherds, former wit			
	some edge rounding, l				
	bome cage rounanig, t				
(1173) [114	471		2	sherds	22 g
Context:					
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 0 AD and possibly after 50 A	D.		
End date:		tainly after 150 AD, but residual			
Dating:		nd both are worn and residual a		not be a	ssociated, 1 is a small rim
Dutingi		fter around 0 AD. The other show			
		igh can occur earlier. Both could			
		the site assemblage.			
Comments:	Small worn sherds.				
00					
	DRAW: 1.				
Ouantity	DRAW: 1. Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference
Quantity 2	Period	Ware ?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	Vessels 2	Wear M	Date preference 0/50-150 AD
Quantity 2	Period LIA-ER>ER	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	2	М	0/50-150 AD
	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi	2	М	0/50-150 AD
	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	2	М	0/50-150 AD
	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi	2	М	0/50-150 AD
	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxid DRAW: 1.	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi	2 ckens at bo	М	0/50-150 AD
2	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxid DRAW: 1.	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi	2 ckens at bo	M ody. 1 sli	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd
2 (1175) [11]	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74]	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi	2 ckens at bo	M ody. 1 sli	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd
2 (1175) [117 <i>Context:</i>	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A	2 ckens at bo 14 : D.	M ody. 1 sli sherds	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxid DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft.	2 ckens at bo 14 s D. re potenti	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree.
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxid DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer All could have been	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all ar	2 ckens at bo 14 D. re potenti 75-100/	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi 125 AD	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer All could have been condition. Consider	 ?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all ar in circulation together around 	2 ckens at bo 14 D. re potentia 75-100/ their dist	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi 125 AD ributior	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar i (if possible). If formerly
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer All could have been condition. Consider discarded together, j ground surface befor	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental inclu	2 ckens at bo 14 D. 75-100/ their dist iod of expusion with	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi 125 AD ribution oosure i in the c	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar n (if possible). If formerly n a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer All could have been condition. Consider discarded together, ground surface befor vessels present are b	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental inclu- represented by several sherds, the	2 ckens at bo 14 D. re potentia 75-100/ their dist riod of exp ision with his could	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi 125 AD ribution posure i in the c suggest	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar n (if possible). If formerly n a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the the intentional disposal of
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer All could have been condition. Consider discarded together, j ground surface befor vessels present are p related material, rat	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental inclu represented by several sherds, ther than a gradual random inclu	2 ckens at bo 14 D. re potentia 75-100/ their dist riod of exp usion with his could sion of we	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi 125 AD ribution oosure i in the c suggest ell dispe	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar n (if possible). If formerly n a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the the intentional disposal of rsed surface finds.
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer All could have been condition. Consider discarded together, j ground surface befor vessels present are n related material, rat None particularly fres	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental inclu- represented by several sherds, th her than a gradual random inclu- sh, though the largest and least w	2 ckens at bo 14 D. 75-100/ their dist riod of exp ision with his could is corn/dama	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi 125 AD ributior oosure i in the c suggest ell dispe ged lool	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar (if possible). If formerly n a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the the intentional disposal of rsed surface finds. king sherd is potentially the
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer All could have been condition. Consider discarded together, j ground surface befor vessels present are 1 related material, rat None particularly fres earliest; an elegant gr	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental inclu- represented by several sherds, th her than a gradual random inclu- sh, though the largest and least w og tempered rim which could date	2 ckens at bo 14 D. re potentia 75-100/ their dist riod of exp usion with his could a sion of we rorn/dama widely (2	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi 125 AD ribution oosure i in the c suggest ell dispe ged lool 5 BC - 1	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar in (if possible). If formerly n a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the the intentional disposal of rsed surface finds. king sherd is potentially the 00/150 AD). The most worn
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer All could have been condition. Consider discarded together, j ground surface befor vessels present are i related material, rat None particularly fres earliest; an elegant gr perhaps are fragment:	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental inclu represented by several sherds, ther than a gradual random inclu sh, though the largest and least w og tempered rim which could date s from a sandy white ware, which course	2 ckens at be 14 D. re potentia 75-100/ their dist riod of exp sion with his could sion of we forn/dama widely (2 could be No	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi 125 AD ribution oosure i in the c suggest ell dispe ged lool 5 BC - 1 orth Gau	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar in (if possible). If formerly in a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the the intentional disposal of rsed surface finds. king sherd is potentially the 00/150 AD). The most worn lish (after 10 BC, there being
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer All could have been condition. Consider discarded together, j ground surface befor vessels present are n related material, rat None particularly fres earliest; an elegant gr perhaps are fragments a potential hiatus in i	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental inclu represented by several sherds, ther than a gradual random inclu sh, though the largest and least w og tempered rim which could date s from a sandy white ware, which comports of this ware to Kent after	2 ckens at bo 14 D. re potentia 75-100/ their dist riod of exp usion with his could sion of we rorn/dama widely (2 could be No 110 AD, w	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi 125 AD ribution oosure i in the c suggest ell dispe ged lool 5 BC - 1 orth Gau hich mig	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar in (if possible). If formerly in a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the the intentional disposal of rsed surface finds. king sherd is potentially the 00/150 AD). The most worn lish (after 10 BC, there being ght also apply more widely),
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer All could have been condition. Consider discarded together, ground surface befor vessels present are p related material, rat None particularly free earliest; an elegant gr perhaps are fragment: a potential hiatus in i though the fabric is ve	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental inclu- represented by several sherds, th her than a gradual random inclu- sh, though the largest and least w og tempered rim which could date s from a sandy white ware, which comports of this ware to Kent after rry sandy and soft and it is currentl	2 ckens at bo 14 D. re potentia 75-100/ their dist riod of exp usion with his could sion of we rorn/dama widely (2 could be No 110 AD, w y unknown	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi 125 AD ribution oosure i in the c suggest ell dispe ged lool 5 BC - 1 orth Gau hich mig n unfortu	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar in (if possible). If formerly in a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the the intentional disposal of rsed surface finds. king sherd is potentially the 00/150 AD). The most worn lish (after 10 BC, there being ght also apply more widely), unately whether such fabrics
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer All could have been condition. Consider discarded together, j ground surface befor vessels present are i related material, rat None particularly fres earliest; an elegant gr perhaps are fragment: a potential hiatus in i though the fabric is ve were also produced in	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental inclu represented by several sherds, ther than a gradual random inclu sh, though the largest and least w og tempered rim which could date s from a sandy white ware, which c mports of this ware to Kent after rry sandy and soft and it is currentl Sussex in the ER. A date of 70-150	2 ckens at bo 14 D. ce potentia 75-100/ their dist riod of exp sion with his could sion of we corn/dama widely (2 could be No 110 AD, w y unknown AD is sligi	M ody. 1 sli sherds sherds ally resi 125 AD ribution oosure i in the c suggest ell dispe ged lool 5 BC - 1 orth Gau hich mig n unfortu	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar n (if possible). If formerly n a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the the intentional disposal of rsed surface finds. king sherd is potentially the 00/150 AD). The most worn lish (after 10 BC, there being ght also apply more widely), unately whether such fabrics erred for this vessel for now.
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer All could have been condition. Consider discarded together, j ground surface befor vessels present are n related material, rat None particularly fres earliest; an elegant gr perhaps are fragments a potential hiatus in i though the fabric is ve were also produced in 1 strongly oxidised fi	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental inclu- represented by several sherds, ther than a gradual random inclu- sh, though the largest and least w og tempered rim which could date s from a sandy white ware, which comports of this ware to Kent after rry sandy and soft and it is currentl. Sussex in the ER. A date of 70-150 ne sandy ware (a base possibly f	2 ckens at bo 14 D. ce potentia 75-100/ their dist riod of exp sion with his could sion of we corn/dama widely (2 could be No 110 AD, w y unknown AD is sligi	M ody. 1 sli sherds sherds ally resi 125 AD ribution oosure i in the c suggest ell dispe ged lool 5 BC - 1 orth Gau hich mig n unfortu	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar n (if possible). If formerly n a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the the intentional disposal of rsed surface finds. king sherd is potentially the 00/150 AD). The most worn lish (after 10 BC, there being ght also apply more widely), unately whether such fabrics erred for this vessel for now.
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer All could have been condition. Consider discarded together, j ground surface befor vessels present are n related material, rat None particularly fres earliest; an elegant gr perhaps are fragment: a potential hiatus in i though the fabric is ve were also produced in 1 strongly oxidised fi unknown and preferal	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental inclu represented by several sherds, ther than a gradual random inclu sh, though the largest and least w og tempered rim which could date s from a sandy white ware, which c mports of this ware to Kent after rry sandy and soft and it is currentl Sussex in the ER. A date of 70-150	2 ckens at bo 14 D. ce potentia 75-100/ their dist riod of exp sion with his could sion of we corn/dama widely (2 could be No 110 AD, w y unknown AD is sligi	M ody. 1 sli sherds sherds ally resi 125 AD ribution oosure i in the c suggest ell dispe ged lool 5 BC - 1 orth Gau hich mig n unfortu	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar n (if possible). If formerly n a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the the intentional disposal of rsed surface finds. king sherd is potentially the 00/150 AD). The most worn lish (after 10 BC, there being ght also apply more widely), unately whether such fabrics erred for this vessel for now.
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer All could have been condition. Consider discarded together, j ground surface befor vessels present are 1 related material, rat None particularly fres earliest; an elegant gr perhaps are fragment: a potential hiatus in i though the fabric is ve were also produced in 1 strongly oxidised fi unknown and preferal DRAW: 2.	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental inclu- represented by several sherds, ther than a gradual random inclu sh, though the largest and least w og tempered rim which could dates is from a sandy white ware, which comports of this ware to Kent after ry sandy and soft and it is currentl. Sussex in the ER. A date of 70-150 ne sandy ware (a base possibly f bly 75-150 AD for now.	2 ckens at bo 14 D. ce potentia 75-100/ their dist riod of exp ision with his could is sion of we orn/dama widely (2 could be No 110 AD, w y unknown AD is slight rom a flag	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi 125 AD ribution oosure i in the c suggest ell dispe ged lool 5 BC - 1 orth Gau hich mig n unfortu ntly pref on) is a	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar in (if possible). If formerly in a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the the intentional disposal of rsed surface finds. king sherd is potentially the 00/150 AD). The most worn lish (after 10 BC, there being ght also apply more widely), unately whether such fabrics erred for this vessel for now. lso present, the source also
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] 74] 74] 74] 74] 74] 74] 74] 74] 74]	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental inclu represented by several sherds, ther than a gradual random inclu sh, though the largest and least w og tempered rim which could date s from a sandy white ware, which comports of this ware to Kent after rry sandy and soft and it is currentl Sussex in the ER. A date of 70-150 ne sandy ware (a base possibly f bly 75-150 AD for now.	2 ckens at be 14 D. re potentia 75-100/ their dist riod of exp usion with his could sion of we rorn/dama widely (2 could be No 110 AD, w y unknown AD is sligh rom a flag	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi 125 AD ribution oosure i in the c suggest ell dispe ged lool 5 BC - 1 orth Gau hich mig n unfortu ntly pref on) is a <i>Wear</i>	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar in (if possible). If formerly in a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the the intentional disposal of rsed surface finds. king sherd is potentially the 00/150 AD). The most worn lish (after 10 BC, there being ght also apply more widely), unately whether such fabrics erred for this vessel for now. lso present, the source also Date preference
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everte with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer All could have been condition. Consider discarded together, j ground surface befor vessels present are i related material, rat None particularly fres earliest; an elegant gr perhaps are fragments a potential hiatus in i though the fabric is ve were also produced in 1 strongly oxidised fi unknown and preferal DRAW: 2. Period LIA>ER	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental inclu represented by several sherds, ther than a gradual random inclu sh, though the largest and least w og tempered rim which could date s from a sandy white ware, which c mports of this ware to Kent after rry sandy and soft and it is currentl . Sussex in the ER. A date of 70-150 ne sandy ware (a base possibly f bly 75-150 AD for now. Ware 'Belgic' style grog tempered	2 ckens at be 14 D. re potentia 75-100/ their dist riod of exp usion with his could a sion of we rorn/dama widely (2 could be No 110 AD, w y unknown AD is sligh rom a flag <i>Vessels</i> 1	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi 125 AD ribution oosure i in the c suggest ell dispe ged lool 5 BC - 1 orth Gau hich mig n unfortu ntly pref on) is a Wear L>M	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar in (if possible). If formerly in a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the the intentional disposal of rsed surface finds. king sherd is potentially the 00/150 AD). The most worn lish (after 10 BC, there being ght also apply more widely), unately whether such fabrics erred for this vessel for now. lso present, the source also Date preference 25 BC - 100/150 AD
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everter with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cer All could have been condition. Consider discarded together, p ground surface befort vessels present are n related material, rat None particularly frest earliest; an elegant gr perhaps are fragments a potential hiatus in i though the fabric is ver were also produced in 1 strongly oxidised fi unknown and preferad DRAW: 2. Period LIA>ER 3 small plain body show	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental inclu represented by several sherds, ther than a gradual random inclu sh, though the largest and least w og tempered rim which could date s from a sandy white ware, which c mports of this ware to Kent after rry sandy and soft and it is currentl Sussex in the ER. A date of 70-150 ne sandy ware (a base possibly f bly 75-150 AD for now. Ware 'Belgic' style grog tempered erds and 1 large sized everted rim	2 ckens at be 14 D. re potentia 75-100/ their dist riod of exp usion with his could sion of we rorn/dama widely (2 could be No 110 AD, w y unknown AD is sligh rom a flag <i>Vessels</i> 1 with a bro	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi 125 AD ribution oosure i in the c suggest ell dispe ged lool 5 BC - 1 orth Gau hich mig n unfortu hich mig n unfortu ntly pref on) is a <u>Wear</u> L>M oad flatto	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar in (if possible). If formerly in a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the the intentional disposal of rsed surface finds. king sherd is potentially the 00/150 AD). The most worn lish (after 10 BC, there being ght also apply more widely), unately whether such fabrics erred for this vessel for now. lso present, the source also Date preference 25 BC - 100/150 AD ened top, deep concave neck
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everter with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cern All could have been condition. Consider discarded together, p ground surface befort vessels present are not related material, rat None particularly fresser earliest; an elegant gr perhaps are fragments a potential hiatus in i though the fabric is ver were also produced im 1 strongly oxidised fi unknown and preferal DRAW: 2. Period LIA>ER 3 small plain body show and plain for the short	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental incluse sh, though the largest and least woog tempered rim which could date s from a sandy white ware, which comports of this ware to Kent after ory sandy and soft and it is currentl Sussex in the ER. A date of 70-150 ne sandy ware (a base possibly for poly 75-150 AD for now. Ware 'Belgic' style grog tempered erds and 1 large sized everted rim distance below that remains. Redu	2 ckens at bo 14 D. ce potenti 75-100/ their dist fiod of exp sion with his could be rorn/dama widely (2 could be No 110 AD, w y unknown AD is sligh rom a flag <i>Vessels</i> 1 with a bro ced, soft. F	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi 125 AD ribution oosure i in the c suggest ell dispe ged lool 5 BC - 1 orth Gau hich mig n unfortu hich mig n unfortu ntly pref on) is a <u>Wear</u> L>M oad flatto	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar in (if possible). If formerly in a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the the intentional disposal of rsed surface finds. king sherd is potentially the 00/150 AD). The most worn lish (after 10 BC, there being ght also apply more widely), unately whether such fabrics erred for this vessel for now. lso present, the source also Date preference 25 BC - 100/150 AD ened top, deep concave neck
2 (1175) [117 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Period LIA-ER>ER 1 small angular everter with patchy pink oxidi DRAW: 1. 74] Likely after 0 AD and Unclear. Nothing cern All could have been condition. Consider discarded together, p ground surface befort vessels present are not related material, rat None particularly fresser earliest; an elegant gr perhaps are fragments a potential hiatus in i though the fabric is ver were also produced im 1 strongly oxidised fi unknown and preferal DRAW: 2. Period LIA>ER 3 small plain body show and plain for the short	?Romanising 'Belgic' style grog d rim with narrow neck groove, thi ised exterior. Both soft. I if all are related then after 75 A tainly after 150 AD, though all an in circulation together around the nature of the context and perhaps they spent a similar per re intentional or accidental inclu represented by several sherds, ther than a gradual random inclu sh, though the largest and least w og tempered rim which could date s from a sandy white ware, which c mports of this ware to Kent after rry sandy and soft and it is currentl Sussex in the ER. A date of 70-150 ne sandy ware (a base possibly f bly 75-150 AD for now. Ware 'Belgic' style grog tempered erds and 1 large sized everted rim	2 ckens at bo 14 D. ce potenti 75-100/ their dist fiod of exp sion with his could be rorn/dama widely (2 could be No 110 AD, w y unknown AD is sligh rom a flag <i>Vessels</i> 1 with a bro ced, soft. F	M ody. 1 sli sherds ally resi 125 AD ribution oosure i in the c suggest ell dispe ged lool 5 BC - 1 orth Gau hich mig n unfortu hich mig n unfortu ntly pref on) is a <u>Wear</u> L>M oad flatto	0/50-150 AD ghtly larger plain body sherd 113 g dual to some degree. and they are in a similar in (if possible). If formerly in a rubbish heap or on the ontext. Noting that 2 of the the intentional disposal of rsed surface finds. king sherd is potentially the 00/150 AD). The most worn lish (after 10 BC, there being ght also apply more widely), unately whether such fabrics erred for this vessel for now. lso present, the source also Date preference 25 BC - 100/150 AD ened top, deep concave neck

9	ED	Sandy white ware	1	MNI	10 PC /70 1E0 AD
9	ER	Sandy white ware	1	M>H	10 BC/70-150 AD
		d mostly thin-walled plain body she			
		and pale orange interior, profuse			
		n/butt beaker, NOG WH 3/5; Tomb), but possibly too sandy and
		a Sussex product (flagon) and purel	y ER, 75+ /		
1	ER	Fine sandy	1	М	75-150 AD
	Small-medium sized h	base sherd with foot-ring, very fine	sandy, brig	ght orang	ge oxidised, chipped and
	worn.				
	DRAW: 1.				
(1178) [117	77]		3	sherds	77 g
Context:					
Start date:		efore 0 AD and if both were bro	adly cont	empora	ry and discarded together
	then after 75/100 A				
End date:		rtainly after 150 AD, but both she			
Dating:		on is whether this material was r			
		appeared at different stages of it			
		on, if possible. The context could			
		or any later material, though	a near c	ontemp	orary relationship is not
	guaranteed.				
Comments:	Both worn and poten		1	1	
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference
2	LIA-ER>ER	?Baetican amphora	1	М	0-150 AD
	Conjoin to a largeish	plain body sherd, sandy, ?early Baet	tican. Fairl	y similar	fabric to sherd in (1217).
1	ER	Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	1	М	75-125/150 AD
	Small plain body sher	d, oxidised, fairly soft.			
(1180) [117	791		2	sherds	27 g
	· ·]				
Context:					
	Nothing certainly be	efore 50 BC.			
Context:	Nothing certainly be		esidual to	a degro	ee.
Context: Start date: End date:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer	rtainly after 75 AD, but could be r	esidual to	o a degro	26.
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer As given; no specific	rtainly after 75 AD, but could be r : data.			26.
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer As given; no specific Not significantly wor	r tainly after 75 AD, but could be r : data. n, but damaged and potential residu	al or rede	posited.	
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert As given; no specific Not significantly work Period	r tainly after 75 AD, but could be r : data. n, but damaged and potential residu <i>Ware</i>		posited. <i>Wear</i>	Date preference
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert As given; no specific Not significantly work Period LIA>ER	r tainly after 75 AD, but could be r : data. n, but damaged and potential residu <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered	al or rede Vessels ?1	posited. <i>Wear</i> M	Date preference 50 BC - 75 AD
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert As given; no specific Not significantly work Period LIA>ER	r tainly after 75 AD, but could be r : data. n, but damaged and potential residu <i>Ware</i>	al or rede Vessels ?1	posited. <i>Wear</i> M	Date preference 50 BC - 75 AD
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert As given; no specific Not significantly work Period LIA>ER Small reduced plain b	r tainly after 75 AD, but could be r : data. n, but damaged and potential residu <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered	al or rede Vessels ?1 cantly wor	posited. <i>Wear</i> M n/round	<i>Date preference</i> 50 BC - 75 AD ed, but chipped and scarred.
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 (1185) [118	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert As given; no specific Not significantly work Period LIA>ER Small reduced plain b	r tainly after 75 AD, but could be r : data. n, but damaged and potential residu <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered	al or rede Vessels ?1 cantly wor	posited. <i>Wear</i> M	Date preference 50 BC - 75 AD
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 (1185) [118 Context:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert As given; no specific Not significantly work <i>Period</i> LIA>ER Small reduced plain b B3]	r tainly after 75 AD, but could be r a data. n, but damaged and potential residu <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered ody sherds, hand-made, not signific	al or rede Vessels ?1 cantly wor	posited. <i>Wear</i> M n/round	<i>Date preference</i> 50 BC - 75 AD ed, but chipped and scarred.
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 2 (1185) [118 Context: Start date:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert As given; no specific Not significantly worn <i>Period</i> LIA>ER Small reduced plain b 33] Nothing certainly be	rtainly after 75 AD, but could be r a data. n, but damaged and potential residu <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered ody sherds, hand-made, not signific efore 50 BC.	al or rede Vessels ?1 cantly wor 2	posited. <i>Wear</i> M n/round sherds	Date preference 50 BC - 75 AD ed, but chipped and scarred. 14 g
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 (1185) [118 Context:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert As given; no specific Not significantly worn <i>Period</i> LIA>ER Small reduced plain be 33] Nothing certainly be	rtainly after 75 AD, but could be r data. n, but damaged and potential residu <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered ody sherds, hand-made, not signific efore 50 BC. rtainly after 100 AD and though t	al or rede Vessels ?1 cantly wor 2	posited. <i>Wear</i> M n/round sherds	Date preference 50 BC - 75 AD ed, but chipped and scarred. 14 g
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 2 (1185) [118 Context: Start date:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert As given; no specific Not significantly worn Period LIA>ER Small reduced plain b 33] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert small and few in nur	rtainly after 75 AD, but could be r data. n, but damaged and potential residu <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered ody sherds, hand-made, not signific efore 50 BC. rtainly after 100 AD and though t	al or rede Vessels ?1 cantly wor 2 he sherds	posited. Wear M n/round sherds are not	Date preference 50 BC - 75 AD ed, but chipped and scarred. 14 g significantly worn they are
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 (1185) [118 Context: Start date: End date:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert As given; no specific Not significantly worn Period LIA>ER Small reduced plain b 33] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert small and few in nur	rtainly after 75 AD, but could be r data. n, but damaged and potential residu <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered ody sherds, hand-made, not signific efore 50 BC. rtainly after 100 AD and though the mber. gment of rim is not obviously Rou	al or rede Vessels ?1 cantly wor 2 he sherds	posited. Wear M n/round sherds are not	Date preference 50 BC - 75 AD ed, but chipped and scarred. 14 g significantly worn they are
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 (1185) [118 Context: Start date: End date:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert As given; no specific Not significantly worn Period LIA>ER Small reduced plain b 33] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert small and few in nur The single small frag	rtainly after 75 AD, but could be r data. n, but damaged and potential residu <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered ody sherds, hand-made, not signific efore 50 BC. rtainly after 100 AD and though the mber. gment of rim is not obviously Rou	al or rede Vessels ?1 cantly wor 2 he sherds	posited. Wear M n/round sherds are not	Date preference 50 BC - 75 AD ed, but chipped and scarred. 14 g significantly worn they are
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 (1185) [118 Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert As given; no specific Not significantly worn Period LIA>ER Small reduced plain b 33] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert small and few in num The single small frag- lifespan into the ER.	rtainly after 75 AD, but could be r data. n, but damaged and potential residu <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered ody sherds, hand-made, not signific efore 50 BC. rtainly after 100 AD and though the mber. gment of rim is not obviously Rou	al or rede Vessels ?1 cantly wor 2 he sherds	posited. Wear M n/round sherds are not	Date preference 50 BC - 75 AD ed, but chipped and scarred. 14 g significantly worn they are
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 (1185) [118 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert As given; no specific Not significantly worn Period LIA>ER Small reduced plain b 33] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert small and few in num The single small frag lifespan into the ER. DRAW: 1.	rtainly after 75 AD, but could be r data. n, but damaged and potential residu <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered ody sherds, hand-made, not signific efore 50 BC. rtainly after 100 AD and though the mber. gment of rim is not obviously Rom <i>Ware</i>	ial or rede Vessels ?1 cantly wor 2 he sherds nanised, t	posited. Wear M n/round sherds are not hough s	Date preference 50 BC - 75 AD ed, but chipped and scarred. 14 g significantly worn they are come forms can have a long Date preference
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 (1185) [118 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert As given; no specific Not significantly worn Period LIA>ER Small reduced plain b 33] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert small and few in nur The single small frag lifespan into the ER. DRAW: 1. Period LIA>ER Small, reduced, thick-	rtainly after 75 AD, but could be r data. n, but damaged and potential residu <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered ody sherds, hand-made, not signific efore 50 BC. rtainly after 100 AD and though the mber. gment of rim is not obviously Rom	ial or rede Vessels ?1 cantly wor 2 he sherds nanised, t Vessels ?1	posited. Wear M n/round sherds are not though s Wear L	Date preference 50 BC - 75 AD ed, but chipped and scarred. 14 g significantly worn they are come forms can have a long Date preference 50 BC - 75/100 AD
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 (1185) [118 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer As given; no specific Not significantly worn Period LIA>ER Small reduced plain b 33] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer small and few in num The single small frag lifespan into the ER. DRAW: 1. Period LIA>ER	rtainly after 75 AD, but could be r data. n, but damaged and potential residu <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered ody sherds, hand-made, not signific efore 50 BC. rtainly after 100 AD and though the mber. gment of rim is not obviously Rom <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered	ial or rede Vessels ?1 cantly wor 2 he sherds nanised, t Vessels ?1	posited. Wear M n/round sherds are not though s Wear L	Date preference 50 BC - 75 AD ed, but chipped and scarred. 14 g significantly worn they are come forms can have a long Date preference 50 BC - 75/100 AD

(1186) [11	83]		2	sherds	20 g		
Context:							
Start date:		efore 50 BC and likely after 25 B					
End date:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rtainly after 150 AD, though late					
Dating:	Little specific data and these sherds need not be contemporaries. Consider the nature of the context and their distribution, if possible.						
Comments:	Small worn sherds. The grog tempered could but needn't be post-conquest. DRAW: 1.						
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
1	LIA>ER	'Belgic' style grog tempered	1	L>M	50 BC -100/125 AD		
	Small reduced body s DRAW.	sherd with 2 broad grooved lines (ipples).				
1	ER	Sandy	1	М	75/100-150 AD		
	Small thick-walled be	ody sherd, orange, fragment of blac	k ?slip surf	ace survi	ving on exterior, hard-ish.		
(1191) [11	88]		1	l sherd	2 g		
Context:					C		
Start date:	Nothing certainly b	efore 50 BC.					
End date:		ertainly after 100 AD and thoug	n sherd is	not signi	ificantly worn it is a single		
Dating:	Could date widely.						
Comments:	-						
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
1	LIA>ER	'Belgic' style grog tempered	1	L	50 BC - 100/125 AD		
		duced, 1 small narrow grooved line	soft.				
	billai bouy silera, re		., 5010.				
(1192) [113	881		3	sherds	32 g		
(1192) [118 Context:	88]		3	sherds	32 g		
· / ·	Nothing certainly b	efore 50 BC and if all were relate	d or from a	a single j	phase deposit then after 75		
Context: Start date:	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na	ture of the context and the distr	d or from a ibution, if j	a single j possible	phase deposit then after 75		
Context: Start date: End date:	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are p	d or from a ibution, if j residual to	a single j possible varying	phase deposit then after 75 degrees.		
Context: Start date:	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher looking	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are g material is ER. Pre-conquest i	d or from a ibution, if residual to naterial co	a single j possible varying puld tecl	phase deposit then after 75 degrees. nnically also be, but is not		
Context: Start date: End date:	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher lookin certainly, present. I	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial cc erial are g	a single j possible varying puld tecl uarante	phase deposit then after 75 degrees. nically also be, but is not ed, given their condition.		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher lookin certainly, present. I All grog tempered a	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are r g material is ER. Pre-conquest r No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial co erial are g LIA>ER re	a single j possible varying puld tecl uarante duced sh	phase deposit then after 75 degrees. nnically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. nerd the most heavily worn,		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher lookin certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 . ER oxidised sherd. The other, also	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial co erial are g LIA>ER re probably	a single j possible varying ould tecl uarante duced sh ER, show	phase deposit then after 75 degrees. nnically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. nerd the most heavily worn, is either a patchy oxidisation		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher lookin certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a ren	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 ER oxidised sherd. The other, also mant of oxidised slip, though the la	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial co erial are g LIA>ER re p probably j utter would	a single j possible varying ould tecl uarante duced sh ER, show seem un	phase deposit then after 75 degrees. nnically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. nerd the most heavily worn, rs either a patchy oxidisation likely.		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher lookin certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a ren Period	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 . ER oxidised sherd. The other, also nant of oxidised slip, though the la Ware	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial co erial are g LIA>ER re probably	a single j possible varying ould tecl uarante duced sh ER, show	bhase deposit then after 75 degrees. nnically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. nerd the most heavily worn, s either a patchy oxidisation likely. Date preference		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher looking certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a rem Period LIA>ER	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 . ER oxidised sherd. The other, also mant of oxidised slip, though the la <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial co erial are g LIA>ER re probably j atter would <i>Vessels</i> 1	a single j possible varying ould tecl uarante duced sh ER, show seem un <i>Wear</i> H	phase deposit then after 75 degrees. nnically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. nerd the most heavily worn, rs either a patchy oxidisation likely.		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher looking certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a rem Period LIA>ER	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 ER oxidised sherd. The other, also mant of oxidised slip, though the la <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered in body sherd, very worn edges, re	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial co erial are g LIA>ER re probably j atter would <i>Vessels</i> 1	a single j possible varying ould tecl uarante duced sh ER, show seem un <i>Wear</i> H	phase deposit then after 75 degrees. nnically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. herd the most heavily worn, rs either a patchy oxidisation likely. Date preference 50 BC - 100 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher looking certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a rem Period LIA>ER Small thin-walled pla ER	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 . ER oxidised sherd. The other, also mant of oxidised slip, though the la <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered ain body sherd, very worn edges, re Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial co erial are g LIA>ER re probably j atter would <i>Vessels</i> 1 duced, soft	a single possible varying ould tecl uaranted duced sh ER, show seem un Wear H M	phase deposit then after 75 degrees. mically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. herd the most heavily worn, rs either a patchy oxidisation likely. Date preference 50 BC - 100 AD 75-125/150 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher looking certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a rem Period LIA>ER Small thin-walled pla ER Small, thin-walled, so	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 . ER oxidised sherd. The other, also mant of oxidised slip, though the la <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered an body sherd, very worn edges, re Romanising 'Belgic' style grog oft burnished surfaces, patchy dull a	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial co erial are g LIA>ER re probably j atter would <i>Vessels</i> 1 duced, soft	a single possible varying ould tecl uaranted duced sh ER, show seem un Wear H M wn oxidi	phase deposit then after 75 degrees. nnically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. nerd the most heavily worn, rs either a patchy oxidisation likely. Date preference 50 BC - 100 AD 75-125/150 AD sation/?slip on exterior, soft.		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher lookin certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a ren Period LIA>ER Small thin-walled pla ER Small, thin-walled, so	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 . ER oxidised sherd. The other, also mant of oxidised slip, though the la <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered ain body sherd, very worn edges, re Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial cc erial are g LIA>ER re probably j atter would Vessels 1 duced, soft 1 reddish-bro 1	a single possible varying ould tecl uaranted duced sh ER, show seem un Wear H M	phase deposit then after 75 degrees. mically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. herd the most heavily worn, rs either a patchy oxidisation likely. Date preference 50 BC - 100 AD 75-125/150 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher lookin certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a ren Period LIA>ER Small thin-walled pla ER Small, thin-walled, so	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 ER oxidised sherd. The other, also mant of oxidised slip, though the la <i>Ware</i> Belgic' style grog tempered in body sherd, very worn edges, ref Romanising 'Belgic' style grog oft burnished surfaces, patchy dull a Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial co erial are g LIA>ER re probably j atter would <i>Vessels</i> 1 duced, soft 1 reddish-bro soft.	a single j possible varying ould tecl uarante duced sh ER, show seem un Wear H M M wn oxidi	phase deposit then after 75 degrees. nnically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. nerd the most heavily worn, rs either a patchy oxidisation likely. Date preference 50 BC - 100 AD 75-125/150 AD sation/?slip on exterior, soft.		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 1 (1195) [11	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher looking certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a rem <i>Period</i> LIA>ER Small thin-walled pla ER Small, thin-walled, so ER Small, thick-walled, ?	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 ER oxidised sherd. The other, also mant of oxidised slip, though the la <i>Ware</i> Belgic' style grog tempered in body sherd, very worn edges, ref Romanising 'Belgic' style grog oft burnished surfaces, patchy dull a Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial co erial are g LIA>ER re probably j atter would <i>Vessels</i> 1 duced, soft 1 reddish-bro soft.	a single possible varying ould tecl uaranted duced sh ER, show seem un Wear H M wn oxidi	phase deposit then after 75 degrees. nnically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. nerd the most heavily worn, rs either a patchy oxidisation likely. Date preference 50 BC - 100 AD 75-125/150 AD sation/?slip on exterior, soft.		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 1 (1195) [11 Context:	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher lookin certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a ren <i>Period</i> LIA>ER Small thin-walled pla ER Small, thin-walled, so ER Small, thick-walled, ? 94]	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 ER oxidised sherd. The other, also mant of oxidised slip, though the la <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered an body sherd, very worn edges, re Romanising 'Belgic' style grog off burnished surfaces, patchy dull a Romanising 'Belgic' style grog 'base fragment, pinky throughout, s	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial co erial are g LIA>ER re probably j atter would <i>Vessels</i> 1 duced, soft 1 reddish-bro soft.	a single j possible varying ould tecl uarante duced sh ER, show seem un Wear H M M wn oxidi	bhase deposit then after 75 degrees. bnically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. herd the most heavily worn, is either a patchy oxidisation likely. Date preference 50 BC - 100 AD 75-125/150 AD sation/?slip on exterior, soft. 75-150 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 1 (1195) [11 Context:	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher looking certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a rem <i>Period</i> LIA>ER Small thin-walled pla ER Small, thin-walled, so ER Small, thick-walled, ?	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 ER oxidised sherd. The other, also mant of oxidised slip, though the la <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered an body sherd, very worn edges, re Romanising 'Belgic' style grog off burnished surfaces, patchy dull a Romanising 'Belgic' style grog 'base fragment, pinky throughout, s	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial co erial are g LIA>ER re probably j atter would <i>Vessels</i> 1 duced, soft 1 reddish-bro soft.	a single j possible varying ould tecl uarante duced sh ER, show seem un Wear H M M wn oxidi	bhase deposit then after 75 degrees. bnically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. herd the most heavily worn, is either a patchy oxidisation likely. Date preference 50 BC - 100 AD 75-125/150 AD sation/?slip on exterior, soft. 75-150 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 1 (1195) [11 Context: Start date:	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher lookin certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a ren Period LIA>ER Small thin-walled pla ER Small, thin-walled, so ER Small, thick-walled, ? 94]	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 ER oxidised sherd. The other, also mant of oxidised slip, though the la <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered an body sherd, very worn edges, re Romanising 'Belgic' style grog off burnished surfaces, patchy dull a Romanising 'Belgic' style grog 'base fragment, pinky throughout, s	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial cc erial are g LIA>ER re probably j atter would <i>Vessels</i> 1 duced, soft 1 reddish-bro 5 oft.	a single j possible varying ould tecl uaranted duced sh ER, show seem un Wear H M wn oxidi M>H	bhase deposit then after 75 degrees. mically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. nerd the most heavily worn, rs either a patchy oxidisation likely. Date preference 50 BC - 100 AD 75-125/150 AD sation/?slip on exterior, soft. 75-150 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 1 (1195) [11] Context: Start date: End date:	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher lookin certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a ren <i>Period</i> LIA>ER Small thin-walled pla ER Small, thin-walled, so ER Small, thick-walled, so 94] Nothing certainly b Nothing certainly a No specific data bey	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 ER oxidised sherd. The other, also mant of oxidised slip, though the la <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered an body sherd, very worn edges, ref Romanising 'Belgic' style grog off burnished surfaces, patchy dull a Romanising 'Belgic' style grog 'base fragment, pinky throughout, s base fragment, pinky throughout, s	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial co erial are g LIA>ER re probably b atter would <i>Vessels</i> 1 duced, soft 1 soft. 1 soft. 1 soft.	a single j possible varying ould tecl uaranted duced sh ER, show seem un Wear H M More the M M M oxidi M>H	phase deposit then after 75		
Context: Start date: Dating: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 1 (1195) [11 Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher lookin certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a ren <i>Period</i> LIA>ER Small thin-walled pla ER Small, thin-walled, so ER Small, thick-walled, so 94] Nothing certainly b Nothing certainly a No specific data bey	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 ER oxidised sherd. The other, also mant of oxidised slip, though the la <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered an body sherd, very worn edges, ref Romanising 'Belgic' style grog oft burnished surfaces, patchy dull a Romanising 'Belgic' style grog 'base fragment, pinky throughout, s base fragment, pinky throughout, s 'base fragment, pinky throughout, s 'base fragment, pinky throughout, s 'base fragment, pinky throughout, s	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial co erial are g LIA>ER re probably b atter would <i>Vessels</i> 1 duced, soft 1 soft. 1 soft. 1 soft.	a single j possible varying ould tecl uaranted duced sh ER, show seem un Wear H M More the M M M oxidi M>H	phase deposit then after 75 degrees. inically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. herd the most heavily worn, res either a patchy oxidisation likely. Date preference 50 BC - 100 AD 75-125/150 AD sation/?slip on exterior, soft. 75-150 AD 11 g		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 1 (1195) [11	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher lookin certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a ren <i>Period</i> LIA>ER Small thin-walled pla ER Small, thin-walled, so ER Small, thick-walled, so 94] Nothing certainly b Nothing certainly a No specific data bey	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 ER oxidised sherd. The other, also mant of oxidised slip, though the la <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered an body sherd, very worn edges, ref Romanising 'Belgic' style grog oft burnished surfaces, patchy dull a Romanising 'Belgic' style grog 'base fragment, pinky throughout, s base fragment, pinky throughout, s 'base fragment, pinky throughout, s 'base fragment, pinky throughout, s 'base fragment, pinky throughout, s	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial co erial are g LIA>ER re probably b atter would <i>Vessels</i> 1 duced, soft 1 soft. 1 soft. 1 soft.	a single j possible varying ould tecl uaranted duced sh ER, show seem un Wear H M More the M M M oxidi M>H	phase deposit then after 75 degrees. mically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. nerd the most heavily worn, res either a patchy oxidisation likely. Date preference 50 BC - 100 AD 75-125/150 AD sation/?slip on exterior, soft. 75-150 AD 11 g		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 (1195) [11 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher looking certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a rem <i>Period</i> LIA>ER Small thin-walled pla ER Small, thin-walled, so ER Small, thick-walled, so FR Small, thick-walled, so FR Small, thick-walled, so ER Small, thick-walled, so ER	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 ER oxidised sherd. The other, also mant of oxidised slip, though the la <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered an body sherd, very worn edges, ref Romanising 'Belgic' style grog off burnished surfaces, patchy dull a Romanising 'Belgic' style grog 'base fragment, pinky throughout, s base fragment, pinky through the s base fragment, pinky t	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial cc erial are g LIA>ER re probably j tter would <i>Vessels</i> 1 duced, soft 1 eddish-bro 5 oft. 1 soft. 1 nnd 100 AD n. Not signi	a single j possible varying ould tecl uarante duced sh ER, show seem un Wear H M M More the seem un Mear H Seem un duced sh ER, show seem un Mear H Seem un duced sh Seem un duceduced sh Seem un duceduced sh Seem un duceduced sh	phase deposit then after 75 degrees. nnically also be, but is not ed, given their condition. herd the most heavily worn, s either a patchy oxidisation likely. Date preference 50 BC - 100 AD 75-125/150 AD sation/?slip on exterior, soft. 75-150 AD 11 g		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 (1195) [11 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly b AD. Consider the na Unclear. Nothing ce The fresher lookin certainly, present. I All grog tempered a closely followed by 1 or just possibly a ren Period LIA>ER Small thin-walled pla ER Small, thin-walled, so ER Small, thick-walled, ? 94] Nothing certainly b Nothing certainly a No specific data bey be context-contemp Period LIA>ER	ture of the context and the distr rtainly after 150 AD, but all are a g material is ER. Pre-conquest a No associations between the mat nd residual to various degrees. 1 ER oxidised sherd. The other, also mant of oxidised slip, though the la <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered an body sherd, very worn edges, re Romanising 'Belgic' style grog off burnished surfaces, patchy dull a Romanising 'Belgic' style grog 'base fragment, pinky throughout, s base fragment, pinky throughout, s 'base fragment, pinky throughout, s	d or from a ibution, if j residual to naterial cc erial are g LIA>ER re probably j atter would <i>Vessels</i> 1 duced, soft 1 duced, soft 1 soft. 1 soft. I I I I I I I I I I	a single j possible varying ould tecl uaranted duced sh ER, show seem un Wear H M wn oxidi M>H L sherd or shor ficantly Wear L	phase deposit then after 75		

(1196) [119	94]		43	sherds	1048 g		
Context:							
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 50 BC and perhaps more like	ely after ()/50 AD) <u>.</u>		
End date:		rtainly after 150 AD, but the la					
Dating:		al is LIA>ER and likely dates up	to 100 A) with	shords from 50 and 75 AD		
Dutiny.							
		and either residual to varying					
		sure in a static environment. Th					
		naterial within, particularly the	location	of the fi	resher near complete grog		
		eds to be considered.					
Comments:		omprises the full profile from a gro	•				
		ely, 50 BC - 100 AD. This, plus 3 othe					
	are the sole fresher lo	oking material in this context. The r	remainder	, small to	o occasionally large sherds of		
	varying wares which u	usually have form elements presen	t, are at le	ast mod	erately or more significantly		
	worn, particularly the	e sherd with the latest potential st	tart date (Samian	, 120/140-150 AD). Notably		
		ntial North Gaulish (Amiens) White					
		ee Tyers 1996/2014; Tomber and					
	vessel within (1197) f						
	DRAW: 11.						
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
Quantity	1 01100	Ware	1035015	WCur	Dute prejerence		
8	LIA>ER	'Polgie' style greg tomponed	4/5	FF>L	50 BC - 100 AD		
0		'Belgic' style grog tempered					
		ade. 1 large intact full profile (base					
		of Thompson 1982 D2-4 type, neat					
	walled rim to thin-walled at mid-body, fairly fresh. 3 medium to large sized everted rims with concave						
		oulders) from 3 coarsewares, neatl					
		htly worn. 2 small plain body shere			ate to above vessels, 1		
		her body sherd potentially from and	other vess	el.			
	DRAW: 5.						
23	ER	Sandy	?1	M>H	50-150 AD		
	Nearly complete large	ish base (2 sherds conjoining) with	shallow for	oot-ring	, 3 carinated body sherds, 1		
	with 2 horizontal linea	ar grooves, brownish-buff and grey-	-buff with	black pa	atches on exterior, smoothed		
	but rough feeling surfa	aces, soft, worn edges and a lower b	ody profil	e not ea	sily reconstructable.		
	DRAW.	-					
4	ER	Sandy	1	М	50/75-125 AD		
		eam surfaces, 1 narrow base (compl	lete), 3 sha	arply car	· · · · ·		
		oulder, from a ?tripartite fineware,					
	abraded surfaces and		Fj		·····, F		
	DRAW.	some rounded ougeon					
1	ER	?North Gaulish (Amiens) White	1	М	70-?110/150 AD		
1		erd with only small part of rim ren					
		rised lines on exterior just below, s					
		fairly chipped and worn, pale cream					
		uming on exterior, fairly soft, from o	coarsewar	e. Poten	tially North Gaulish (Amiens)		
		/H 5) (Tomber and Dore 1998).					
	DRAW.						
1	ER>MR	Fine silty	1	М	70/90-120/130 AD		
		erted overhanging rim, thin-walled,			re, grey-brownish surfaces,		
		wiches. Possibly from a neckless global	obular bea	ıker.			
	DRAW.						
1	ER	Grog tempered	1	М	75-125/150 AD		
		d, thick-walled coarseware, buff sur	rfaces. soft				
3	ER	Sandy	1	. Н	75-150 AD		
5		d orange sherds, medium to thinnis	sh wallad				
	rim, very soft, heavily		sii walleu,	2 piain	body, I possible inagilielit of		
		worm and mactured.					
	DRAW.						

3	ER	?Central Gaulish Lezoux Samian	?1	Н	120/140-150 AD		
5			• •				
	Small sherds, significant surface loss and edge rounding on all. 2 rims, 1 with carination, probably from a Form 18/31 plate/bowl or possibly a 31 bowl, preferably the former. The other rim also likely from a						
	similar or perhaps the same vessel. 1 scarred chipped body sherd. The 18/31 form dates up to 150 AD						
	and the 31 afterwards (Tyers 1996/2014; Webster 1996, 13-14, 33-35).						
	DRAW.	(19013 1990/2014, Webster 1990)	, 13-14, 35	-555.			
	DIAW.						
(1197) [119	941		5	sherds	127 g		
Context:					C		
Start date:	Nothing certainly ea	rlier than 50 AD and potentially	after 75/	100 AD,	if all are related and were		
	deposited together.						
End date:	Nothing certainly lat	er than 150 AD.					
Dating:	All ER, but with vario	ously fresher and more worn she	rds, all of	which o	could relate to vessels seen		
	in (1196) from the s	ame feature. Consider the nature	and the	relation	ship of the two fills, noting		
	that material from the	ne same discarded vessels occurs	in each.				
Comments:		potential North Gaulish (Amiens)					
		edium sized plain body sherds and					
		ered and sandy ware vessels repres			ext.		
-		el but a better rim sherd than the o			-		
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
3	LIA-ER>ER	'Belgic' style grog tempered	_*	L	25/75-150 AD		
		d and fairly thin-walled, 2 plain bod					
		exterior. *Possibly from a vessel/s	represent	ed by ot	her sherds in (1196), but not		
	the full profile vessel.						
1	ER	Sandy	_*	M>H	50-150 AD		
		illed plain body sherd, *likely same					
1	ER	North Gaulish (Amiens) White	_*	M	70-?110/150 AD		
		1196) but with greater area of rim	top intact,	likely *s	ame vessel.		
	DRAW.						
(1100) [110			2	charde	101 a		
(1199) [119			2	sherds	191 g		
Context:	94]	fore 50 PC likely after 0 AD and					
Context: Start date:	94] Nothing certainly be	fore 50 BC, likely after 0 AD and j	possibly a	fter 75	AD.		
Context: Start date: End date:	94] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer	tainly after 150 AD, though both	possibly a could be	ifter 75 residual	AD. I to some degree.		
Context: Start date: End date:	94] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer The grog tempered	tainly after 150 AD, though both sherd could date widely, thoug	possibly a could be gh shows	ofter 75 residual some (AD. I to some degree. Dividisation which is more		
Context: Start date: End date:	94] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer The grog tempered common after aroun	tainly after 150 AD, though both sherd could date widely, thoug nd 75 AD. The sherd of ampho	possibly a could be gh shows ra is in a	ifter 75 residual some o fabric	AD. to some degree. oxidisation which is more that might not have been		
Context: Start date: End date:	94] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer The grog tempered common after aroun produced much afte	tainly after 150 AD, though both sherd could date widely, thoug	possibly a could be gh shows ra is in a	ifter 75 residual some o fabric	AD. to some degree. oxidisation which is more that might not have been		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	94] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer The grog tempered common after aroun produced much afte edges.	tainly after 150 AD, though both sherd could date widely, thoug nd 75 AD. The sherd of ampho r 125/150 AD. Both show some	possibly a could be gh shows ra is in a e abrasion	nfter 75 residual some o fabric n and ro	AD. to some degree. oxidisation which is more that might not have been ounding-off of their break		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	94] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer The grog tempered common after arour produced much afte edges. Fragment of handle li	tainly after 150 AD, though both sherd could date widely, thoug nd 75 AD. The sherd of ampho r 125/150 AD. Both show some kely from a Dressel 20 amphora in	possibly a could be gh shows ra is in a e abrasion a very sam	nfter 75 residual some of fabric n and ro ndy pote	AD. to some degree. oxidisation which is more that might not have been ounding-off of their break entially early Baetican fabric,		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	94] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer The grog tempered common after arour produced much afte edges. Fragment of handle li	tainly after 150 AD, though both sherd could date widely, thoug nd 75 AD. The sherd of ampho r 125/150 AD. Both show some	possibly a could be gh shows ra is in a e abrasion a very sam	nfter 75 residual some of fabric n and ro ndy pote	AD. to some degree. oxidisation which is more that might not have been ounding-off of their break entially early Baetican fabric,		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	94] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer The grog tempered common after aroun produced much afte edges. Fragment of handle lii this broken and fracts softer.	tainly after 150 AD, though both sherd could date widely, thoug nd 75 AD. The sherd of ampho r 125/150 AD. Both show some kely from a Dressel 20 amphora in	possibly a could be gh shows ra is in a e abrasion a very sam	nfter 75 residual some of fabric n and ro ndy pote	AD. to some degree. oxidisation which is more that might not have been ounding-off of their break entially early Baetican fabric,		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	94] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer The grog tempered common after aroun produced much afte edges. Fragment of handle lii this broken and fract	tainly after 150 AD, though both sherd could date widely, thoug nd 75 AD. The sherd of ampho r 125/150 AD. Both show some kely from a Dressel 20 amphora in	possibly a could be gh shows ra is in a e abrasion a very sam	nfter 75 residual some of fabric n and ro ndy pote	AD. to some degree. oxidisation which is more that might not have been ounding-off of their break entially early Baetican fabric,		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	94] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer The grog tempered common after aroun produced much afte edges. Fragment of handle lii this broken and fractus softer. DRAW: 1.	tainly after 150 AD, though both sherd could date widely, thoug nd 75 AD. The sherd of ampho r 125/150 AD. Both show some kely from a Dressel 20 amphora in ured but perhaps not significantly	possibly a could be gh shows ra is in a e abrasion a very san worn. 1 g	nfter 75 residual some of fabric n and ro ndy pote grog tem	AD. I to some degree. Dividisation which is more that might not have been bunding-off of their break entially early Baetican fabric, pered sherd more worn but Date preference		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	94] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer The grog tempered common after aroun produced much afte edges. Fragment of handle lii this broken and fracts softer. DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER	tainly after 150 AD, though both sherd could date widely, thoug nd 75 AD. The sherd of amphor r 125/150 AD. Both show some kely from a Dressel 20 amphora in ured but perhaps not significantly <i>Ware</i>	possibly a could be gh shows ra is in a e abrasion a very san worn. 1 g <i>Vessels</i> 1	after 75 residual some of fabric n and ro ndy pote grog tem <i>Wear</i> M	AD. to some degree. oxidisation which is more that might not have been ounding-off of their break entially early Baetican fabric pered sherd more worn but Date preference 50 BC/?75-150 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	94] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer The grog tempered common after aroun produced much afte edges. Fragment of handle lii this broken and fracts softer. DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER	tainly after 150 AD, though both sherd could date widely, thoug nd 75 AD. The sherd of amphor r 125/150 AD. Both show some kely from a Dressel 20 amphora in ured but perhaps not significantly <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered	possibly a could be gh shows ra is in a e abrasion a very san worn. 1 g <i>Vessels</i> 1	after 75 residual some of fabric n and ro ndy pote grog tem <i>Wear</i> M	AD. to some degree. oxidisation which is more that might not have been ounding-off of their break entially early Baetican fabric pered sherd more worn but Date preference 50 BC/?75-150 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	94] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer The grog tempered common after aroun produced much afte edges. Fragment of handle lii this broken and fractus softer. DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER Medium sized thick-w	tainly after 150 AD, though both sherd could date widely, thoug nd 75 AD. The sherd of amphor r 125/150 AD. Both show some kely from a Dressel 20 amphora in ured but perhaps not significantly <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered	possibly a could be gh shows ra is in a e abrasion a very san worn. 1 g <i>Vessels</i> 1	after 75 residual some of fabric n and ro ndy pote grog tem <i>Wear</i> M	AD. I to some degree. Dividisation which is more that might not have been bunding-off of their break entially early Baetican fabric, pered sherd more worn but Date preference 50 BC/?75-150 AD sation on exterior, some grey		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	94] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer The grog tempered common after aroun produced much afte edges. Fragment of handle li this broken and fract softer. DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER Medium sized thick-w grog, soft. LIA-ER>ER	tainly after 150 AD, though both sherd could date widely, though nd 75 AD. The sherd of amphoter r 125/150 AD. Both show some kely from a Dressel 20 amphora in ured but perhaps not significantly <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered alled body sherd with some dull ora	possibly a could be gh shows ra is in a e abrasion a very san worn. 1 g <i>Vessels</i> 1 angey pato	nfter 75 residual some of fabric n and ro ndy pote grog tem <i>Wear</i> M shy oxidi	to some degree. oxidisation which is more that might not have been ounding-off of their break intially early Baetican fabric, pered sherd more worn but <u>Date preference</u> 50 BC/?75-150 AD sation on exterior, some grey 0-150 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	94] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer The grog tempered common after aroun produced much afte edges. Fragment of handle li this broken and fract softer. DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER Medium sized thick-w grog, soft. LIA-ER>ER	tainly after 150 AD, though both sherd could date widely, though nd 75 AD. The sherd of amphoter r 125/150 AD. Both show some kely from a Dressel 20 amphora in ured but perhaps not significantly <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered alled body sherd with some dull ora Baetican Dressel 20 amphora	possibly a could be gh shows ra is in a e abrasion a very san worn. 1 g <i>Vessels</i> 1 angey pato	nfter 75 residual some of fabric n and ro ndy pote grog tem <i>Wear</i> M shy oxidi	AD. I to some degree. Dividisation which is more that might not have been bunding-off of their break entially early Baetican fabric, pered sherd more worn but Date preference 50 BC/?75-150 AD sation on exterior, some grey 0-150 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	94] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer The grog tempered common after aroun produced much afte edges. Fragment of handle lii this broken and fracts softer. DRAW: 1. Period LIA>ER Medium sized thick-w grog, soft. LIA-ER>ER Large fragment of hand	tainly after 150 AD, though both sherd could date widely, though nd 75 AD. The sherd of amphoter r 125/150 AD. Both show some kely from a Dressel 20 amphora in ured but perhaps not significantly <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered alled body sherd with some dull ora Baetican Dressel 20 amphora	possibly a could be gh shows ra is in a e abrasion a very san worn. 1 g <i>Vessels</i> 1 angey pato	nfter 75 residual some of fabric n and ro ndy pote grog tem <i>Wear</i> M shy oxidi	AD. I to some degree. Dividisation which is more that might not have been bunding-off of their break entially early Baetican fabric, pered sherd more worn but Date preference 50 BC/?75-150 AD sation on exterior, some grey 0-150 AD		

(1200) [11	931		18	sherds	243 g		
Context:				01101 00			
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 50 BC and if broadly contem	norary th	en after	r 75 AD.		
End date:	Nothing certainly aft		-portary er				
Dating:	All are grog tempered and while some of the reduced sherds could be LIA>LIA-ER, they are not						
0	certainly so on this evidence, for such material can also occur later. Romanising sherds are						
	dominant and all could be related and ER. Consider the nature of the context and their						
	distribution, if possi						
Comments:		th some variation in wear, mostly lised fabrics are dominant and sor I.					
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
5	LIA>ER	'Belgic' style grog tempered	2/3	L>H	50 BC - 100/150 AD		
	Small to small medium	n sized body sherds. 2 thick-walled	pieces (1	carinated	d) lightly worn. 1 moderately		
	worn sherd showing	worn cordon. 2 more heavily wor	n sherds	(1 carina	ated), medium-walled, grey-		
	brown surfaces. All so DRAW: 3.	ft.					
13	ER	Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	5	L>H	75-125/150 AD		
	Small to medium size	d body sherds, most lightly worn, s	ome more	so, 1 str	ongly oxidised heavily worn		
		v surfaces, 4 light buff. 4 other small ne orange oxidised slightly harder.	sherds re	duced bu	it showing fine orangey grog.		
(1202) [12	011		1	sherd	23 g		
Context:							
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 75 AD.					
End date:	Unclear. Nothing cer	rtainly after 125 AD and though evidence from this context.	the shere	l is not	significantly worn it is the		
Dating:		e form and firing suggests the tigl	ht date-ra	nge for	this piece.		
Comments:	DRAW.				F		
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
1	ER	Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	1	L	75-100/125 AD		
		rim with subtle horizontal cordon	at neck iu	nction b	1		
	Thompson 1982 B2-1 DRAW.		, .				
	0.01		10		1000		
(1205) [120	03]		18	sherds	1099 g		
Context:	Nulli III	6					
Start date:	Nothing certainly be						
End date:	residual and also fea	rtainly after 150 AD, but the latures repair holes, so the length	h of time	it was i			
Datina		ring date between 120 and 140 A			tontially posidual shands of		
Dating:	75-150 AD is unknow	ates 0-75/100 AD, but how this r vn at present. Consider the nature	e of the co				
2	if possible. A similar circumstance occurred in (1196). Large fresh sherds of 0-75/100 AD, along with a sherd of possible early Baetican Dressel 20 amphora, 0-						
Comments:	0	, .	•		· · ·		
		relate to the former. Other sherds	-		-		
		r, be a later Baetican fabric, though					
	after 75 AD, with the la	ot conducted at this time). A small atest heavily worn residual materia ows repair holes and has been cura	l being she				
	DRAW: 4.						

Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
<u>Quantity</u> 10	LIA-ER>ER	'Belgic' style grog tempered	2/3	FF>L	0-75/100 AD		
10							
	Medium to large sherds, all reduced, from round bodied coarsewares. 6 possibly same vessel, with 2 large conjoining everted rims, 2 smaller base sherds, rest body, surfaces smoothed only. 1 large similar rim						
	conjoining everted rims, 2 smaller base sherds, rest body, surfaces smoothed only. 1 large similar rim (though with a more distinctly deeply curved neck and more rounded shoulder) and 1 large base sherd						
	from another 1 or 2 vessels. Also 1 body sherd with partial patchy oxidised exterior, from 1 of these vessels? Both rims akin to Thompson 1982 C2 types.						
	DRAW: 2.	in to monipson 1902 c2 types.					
1	LIA-ER>MR	?Baetican Dressel 20 amphora	1	L	0-150/250 AD		
Ŧ		n body sherd, ?Dressel 20, sandy, ?	-		0 100/200110		
3	ER>MR	Amphora	1	L	50-250 AD		
5		mphora) plain body sherds, dull or					
		amphora sherd in this context, ?Lat					
	earlier dates are possi		e Ducticuli	, mougn	other hadres of varying		
1	ER	Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	1	Н	75-125/150 AD		
		erd, oxidised, soft, very rounded ed			, 6 126/100112		
	DRAW.	era, entaisea, sore, very rounaea ee	19601				
3	ER	Central Gaulish Lezoux Samian	1	Н	120-140 AD.		
		ds conjoin (some badly) to a rim to					
		s, edge rounding (with calcium der					
		nt, placed variously from just below					
		eferably Lezoux fabric could sugge					
	Webster 1996, 33, 35				(,		
	DRAW.						
(1210) [12	09]		1	sherd	22 g		
Context:							
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 70 AD.					
Start date: End date:	Unclear, as sole sher	fore 70 AD. d, though large, is residual to son	ne degree,	but per	haps not significantly after		
End date:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD.	d, though large, is residual to son					
	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately	d, though large, is residual to son unknown whether wares of tl	nis kind v	were pr	oduced in the local area		
End date:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly afte	nis kind v er, or nee	were pr ded to	oduced in the local area have been imported from		
End date:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly afte nty or further afield. In the absen	nis kind weer, or nee	were pr ded to knowle	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date		
End date: Dating:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly afte	nis kind weer, or nee	were pr ded to knowle	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date		
End date: Dating: Comments:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW.	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly afte nty or further afield. In the abser en in other areas of the South Eas	nis kind weer, or nee nce of this st, is prefe	were pr ded to knowled rred for	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now.		
End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i>	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly afte nty or further afield. In the absen en in other areas of the South Eas Ware	nis kind w er, or nee nce of this st, is prefe Vessels	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference		
End date: Dating: Comments:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly afte nty or further afield. In the absen en in other areas of the South Eas Ware Fine silty	nis kind v er, or nee nce of this st, is prefe Vessels 1	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD		
End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly afte nty or further afield. In the absen en in other areas of the South Eas <i>Ware</i> Fine silty over half the base of a thin-walled g	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe <i>Vessels</i> 1 grey ware, p	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD		
End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just has fractured, given th	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly afte nty or further afield. In the absen en in other areas of the South Eas Ware Fine silty	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe <i>Vessels</i> 1 grey ware, p	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD		
End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly afte nty or further afield. In the absen en in other areas of the South Eas <i>Ware</i> Fine silty over half the base of a thin-walled g	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe <i>Vessels</i> 1 grey ware, p	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD		
End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just has fractured, given th DRAW.	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly afte nty or further afield. In the absen en in other areas of the South Eas <i>Ware</i> Fine silty over half the base of a thin-walled g	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe Vessels 1 grey ware, p ft.	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M probably	roduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD with large centre hole which		
End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 (1212) [12	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just has fractured, given th DRAW.	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly afte nty or further afield. In the absen en in other areas of the South Eas <i>Ware</i> Fine silty over half the base of a thin-walled g	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe Vessels 1 grey ware, p ft.	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M	roduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD with large centre hole which		
End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 (1212) [12 Context:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just has fractured, given th DRAW.	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly after nty or further afield. In the absen en in other areas of the South Eas <i>Ware</i> Fine silty over half the base of a thin-walled g he base is very thin at this point, so	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe Vessels 1 grey ware, p ft.	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M probably	roduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD with large centre hole which		
End date: Dating: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 (1212) [12 Context: Start date:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just has fractured, given th DRAW. 09] Nothing certainly be	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly after nty or further afield. In the absen en in other areas of the South East Ware Fine silty over half the base of a thin-walled g he base is very thin at this point, so fore 0 AD.	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe Vessels 1 grey ware, p ft.	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M probably	roduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD with large centre hole which		
End date: Dating: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 (1212) [12 Context: Start date: End date:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just has fractured, given th DRAW. 09] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly after nty or further afield. In the absen en in other areas of the South East Ware Fine silty over half the base of a thin-walled g he base is very thin at this point, so fore 0 AD.	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe Vessels 1 grey ware, p ft.	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M probably	roduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD with large centre hole which		
End date: Dating: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 (1212) [12 Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just has fractured, given th DRAW. 09] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer No specific data.	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly aften nty or further afield. In the absert en in other areas of the South Eas <i>Ware</i> Fine silty over half the base of a thin-walled g he base is very thin at this point, so fore 0 AD. tainly after 150 AD.	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe Vessels 1 grey ware, p ft.	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M probably	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD with large centre hole which 64 g		
End date: Dating: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 (1212) [12 Context: Start date: End date:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just has fractured, given th DRAW. 09] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer No specific data. Large flat base, not sig	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly after nty or further afield. In the absert en in other areas of the South East <i>Ware</i> Fine silty over half the base of a thin-walled g he base is very thin at this point, so fore 0 AD. tainly after 150 AD.	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe Vessels 1 grey ware, p ft.	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M probably	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD with large centre hole which 64 g		
End date: Dating: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 (1212) [12 Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just has fractured, given th DRAW. 09] 09] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer No specific data. Large flat base, not sig was the sole piece rec	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly after nty or further afield. In the absert en in other areas of the South East <i>Ware</i> Fine silty over half the base of a thin-walled g he base is very thin at this point, so fore 0 AD. tainly after 150 AD.	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe Vessels 1 grey ware, p ft.	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M probably	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD with large centre hole which 64 g		
End date: Dating: Dating: Quantity 1 1 (1212) [12 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just has fractured, given th DRAW. 09] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer No specific data. Large flat base, not sig was the sole piece rec DRAW.	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of th ng the conquest or shortly after nty or further afield. In the abser- en in other areas of the South East <i>Ware</i> Fine silty over half the base of a thin-walled g be base is very thin at this point, so fore 0 AD. tainly after 150 AD.	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe <i>Vessels</i> 1 grey ware, p ft. 1 0 context u	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M brobably	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD with large centre hole which 64 g		
End date: Dating: Dating: Quantity 1 1 (1212) [12 Context: Start date: End date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just has fractured, given th DRAW. 09] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer No specific data. Large flat base, not sig was the sole piece rec DRAW. <i>Period</i>	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of the ng the conquest or shortly after nty or further afield. In the absent en in other areas of the South East <i>Ware</i> Fine silty over half the base of a thin-walled g he base is very thin at this point, so fore 0 AD. tainly after 150 AD. mificantly worn, but relationship to overed. <i>Ware</i>	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe Vessels 1 grey ware, p ft. 0 context u Vessels	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M probably	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD with large centre hole which 64 g		
End date: Dating: Dating: Quantity 1 1 (1212) [12 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just has fractured, given th DRAW. 09] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert No specific data. Large flat base, not sig was the sole piece rec DRAW. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of the ng the conquest or shortly after nty or further afield. In the absert en in other areas of the South East <i>Ware</i> Fine silty over half the base of a thin-walled g he base is very thin at this point, so fore 0 AD. tainly after 150 AD. mificantly worn, but relationship to overed. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe Vessels 1 grey ware, p ft. 0 context u Vessels 1 1	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M orobably	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD with large centre hole which 64 g 64 g		
End date: Dating: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 (1212) [12 Context: Start date: End date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just has fractured, given th DRAW. 09] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer No specific data. Large flat base, not sig was the sole piece rec DRAW. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER Large flat base sherd	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of the ng the conquest or shortly after nty or further afield. In the absert en in other areas of the South East <i>Ware</i> Fine silty over half the base of a thin-walled g he base is very thin at this point, so fore 0 AD. tainly after 150 AD. spificantly worn, but relationship to overed. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered from largeish vessel, some orange	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe Vessels 1 grey ware, p ft. 0 context u Vessels 1 y fired group	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M orobably	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD with large centre hole which 64 g 64 g		
End date: Dating: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 (1212) [12 Context: Start date: End date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just has fractured, given th DRAW. 09] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer No specific data. Large flat base, not sig was the sole piece rec DRAW. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER Large flat base sherd and edge rounding, bu	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of the ng the conquest or shortly after nty or further afield. In the absert en in other areas of the South East <i>Ware</i> Fine silty over half the base of a thin-walled g he base is very thin at this point, so fore 0 AD. tainly after 150 AD. mificantly worn, but relationship to overed. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe Vessels 1 grey ware, p ft. 0 context u Vessels 1 y fired group	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M orobably	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD with large centre hole which 64 g 64 g		
End date: Dating: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 1 (1212) [12 Context: Start date: End date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Unclear, as sole sher around 150 AD. It is unfortunately immediately followi elsewhere in the cou around 70 AD, as see DRAW. <i>Period</i> ER Large sherd from just has fractured, given th DRAW. 09] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cer No specific data. Large flat base, not sig was the sole piece rec DRAW. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER Large flat base sherd	d, though large, is residual to som unknown whether wares of the ng the conquest or shortly after nty or further afield. In the absert en in other areas of the South East <i>Ware</i> Fine silty over half the base of a thin-walled g he base is very thin at this point, so fore 0 AD. tainly after 150 AD. spificantly worn, but relationship to overed. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered from largeish vessel, some orange	nis kind ver, or nee nee of this st, is prefe Vessels 1 grey ware, p ft. 0 context u Vessels 1 y fired group	were pr ded to knowled rred for Wear M orobably	oduced in the local area have been imported from dge, a commencement date now. Date preference 70-125/150 AD with large centre hole which 64 g 64 g		

(1217) [12]	16]		1	sherd	67 g
Context:					
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 0 AD.			
End date:		tainly after 150 AD, but single sh	erd could	be resid	ual to some degree at least.
Dating:	Possibly an early Ba	etican fabric.			
Comments:					
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference
1	LIA-ER>ER	?Baetican amphora	1	М	0-150 AD
	Large plain body sher	d, sandy, thickish but not very thi	ck-walled,	fabric si	milar to amphora in (1137)
	though not as thick.				
(1227) [12]	26]		3	sherds	54 g
Context:					
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 50 AD and more likely after	75 AD.		
End date:	Nothing certainly aft				
Dating:		likely 50-75 AD and fairly fresh	, though t	his fine	ware could well have been
0		coarseware sherds that more li			
		ve taken place sometime betwee			
		t and the distribution, if possible			
Comments:	Small to medium sized	sherds, all grog tempered, with 1 (allo-Belgi	c inspire	d fineware and 2 Romanising
		r slightly more worn than the form			
	DRAW: 1.				
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference
1	LIA-ER>ER	Grog tempered	1	L	25/50-75/100 AD
	Medium sized thin-wa	lled everted rim with short deep co	oncave nec	k and be	
		and between , pale grey fabric with			
		ed cup/beaker, or possibly a plain b			
		of the latter type. Edge rounding bu			
	DRAW.	of the latter type. Edge founding be		ie not pa	ricularly worn.
2	ER	Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	2	М	75-125/150 AD
L		l oxidised plain body sherds from o	_		/ 3-125/ 150 AL
	Siliali to meulum sizet	i oxidised plain body siler ds ir olir d	Joarseware	:5, 5011.	
(1229) [12]	201		12	sherds	431 g
· / L	20]		14	Sherus	TJI
L'ontaxt.					
Context: Start date:	Possibly sometime b	atween 0 and 100 AD and the fr	ach chard	s which	are in the majority could
<u>Context:</u> Start date:		etween 0 and 100 AD and the fr		s, which	are in the majority, could
Start date:	have resulted from a	broadly single short episode of	discard.		
Start date:	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ	discard. vically dat		
Start date: End date:	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ ree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen	discard. Dically dat tury AD.	e after a	around 75 AD is worn and
Start date: End date:	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ ree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen ed wares are from thick-walled	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma	e after a nde LIA:	around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not
Start date: End date:	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ ree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen ed wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma AD. Howev	e after a nde LIA er, 1 oxi	around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not idised sherd is also present
Start date: End date:	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh and this would be mo	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ ree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen ed wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A pore commonly ER after around 7	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma AD. Howev	e after a nde LIA er, 1 oxi	around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not idised sherd is also present
Start date: End date: Dating:	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh and this would be mo the distribution of th	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ ree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen ed wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A fore commonly ER after around 7 te material, if possible.	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma D. Howev 5 AD. Com	e after a nde LIA: er, 1 oxi sider th	around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not idised sherd is also present e nature of the context and
Start date: End date:	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh and this would be me the distribution of th Mostly fairly fresh me	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ ree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen ed wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A ore commonly ER after around 7 te material, if possible. dium to large sized sherds, includ	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma AD. Howev 5 AD. Con ing 1 large	e after a nde LIA er, 1 oxi sider th	around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not idised sherd is also present e nature of the context and ing rim and a near complete
Start date: End date: Dating:	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh and this would be me the distribution of the Mostly fairly fresh me base. Only 1, an oxidis	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ ree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen ed wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A ore commonly ER after around 7 te material, if possible. dium to large sized sherds, includ sed piece, is more notably worn. A	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma AD. Howev 5 AD. Con ing 1 large gain, as in	e after a ade LIA: er, 1 oxi sider th conjoin many co	around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not idised sherd is also present e nature of the context and ing rim and a near complete ontexts from this site seen so
Start date: End date: Dating:	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh and this would be mo the distribution of the Mostly fairly fresh me base. Only 1, an oxidis far, there is the trend	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ ree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen ed wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A ore commonly ER after around 7 te material, if possible. dium to large sized sherds, includ sed piece, is more notably worn. A for the earlier dated material to b	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma AD. Howev 5 AD. Con ing 1 large gain, as in	e after a ade LIA: er, 1 oxi sider th conjoin many co	around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not idised sherd is also present e nature of the context and ing rim and a near complete ontexts from this site seen so
Start date: End date: Dating:	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh and this would be mo the distribution of th Mostly fairly fresh me base. Only 1, an oxidis far, there is the trend material, which is ofte	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ ree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen ed wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A ore commonly ER after around 7 te material, if possible. dium to large sized sherds, includ sed piece, is more notably worn. A for the earlier dated material to b	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma AD. Howev 5 AD. Con ing 1 large gain, as in	e after a ade LIA: er, 1 oxi sider th conjoin many co	around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not idised sherd is also present e nature of the context and ing rim and a near complete ontexts from this site seen so
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and might and this would be more the distribution of the Mostly fairly fresh me base. Only 1, an oxidis far, there is the trend material, which is ofter DRAW: 4.	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ ree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen ed wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A ore commonly ER after around 7 te material, if possible. dium to large sized sherds, includ sed piece, is more notably worn. A for the earlier dated material to b n much more worn.	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma D. Howev 5 AD. Con ing 1 large gain, as in be in a fres	e after a nde LIA: er, 1 oxi sider th conjoin many co sher lool	around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not idised sherd is also present e nature of the context and ing rim and a near complete ontexts from this site seen so king condition than the later
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh and this would be mo the distribution of th Mostly fairly fresh me base. Only 1, an oxidis far, there is the trend material, which is ofte DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i>	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ gree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen ed wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A ore commonly ER after around 7 te material, if possible. dium to large sized sherds, includ sed piece, is more notably worn. A for the earlier dated material to b n much more worn. <i>Ware</i>	discard. pically dat tury AD. hand-ma D. Howev 5 AD. Com ing 1 large gain, as in be in a fres Vessels	e after a ade LIA: er, 1 oxi sider th conjoin many co sher lool Wear	around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not idised sherd is also present e nature of the context and ing rim and a near complete ontexts from this site seen so king condition than the later Date preference
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh and this would be me the distribution of the Mostly fairly fresh me base. Only 1, an oxidis far, there is the trend material, which is ofter DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ ree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen ed wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A ore commonly ER after around 7 te material, if possible. dium to large sized sherds, includ sed piece, is more notably worn. A for the earlier dated material to b n much more worn. <u>Ware</u> 'Belgic' style grog tempered	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma AD. Howev 5 AD. Com ing 1 large gain, as in be in a fres Vessels 2	e after a ade LIA: er, 1 oxi sider the conjoin many co sher look Wear FF>L	around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not idised sherd is also present e nature of the context and ing rim and a near complete ontexts from this site seen so king condition than the later Date preference 50 BC/0-100/125 AD
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh and this would be mo the distribution of the Mostly fairly fresh me base. Only 1, an oxidis far, there is the trend material, which is ofte DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER All reduced and hand	broadly single short episode of the sherd which would more type tree, so potentially in the 2^{nd} cented wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A to be commonly ER after around 7 the material, if possible. dium to large sized sherds, includ dium to large sized sherds, includ dium to large sized sherds, includ sed piece, is more notably worn. A for the earlier dated material to be n much more worn. Ware 'Belgic' style grog tempered -made. 2 conjoin to a large everte	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma AD. Howev 5 AD. Com ing 1 large gain, as in be in a fres Vessels 2 d rim with	e after a ade LIA: er, 1 oxi sider th conjoin many co sher loof Wear FF>L	Around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not idised sherd is also present e nature of the context and ing rim and a near complete ontexts from this site seen so king condition than the later Date preference 50 BC/0-100/125 AD e neck and broad horizontal
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh and this would be mo the distribution of the Mostly fairly fresh me base. Only 1, an oxidis far, there is the trend material, which is ofte DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER All reduced and hand grooves/ripples below	broadly single short episode of the sherd which would more type tree, so potentially in the 2^{nd} cented wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A to be commonly ER after around 7 the material, if possible. dium to large sized sherds, includ and piece, is more notably worn. A for the earlier dated material to be n much more worn.	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma AD. Howev 5 AD. Com ing 1 large gain, as in be in a fres Vessels 2 d rim with D2-4 type,	e after a de LIA: er, 1 oxi sider th conjoin many co sher look Wear FF>L concave dull soft	Around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not idised sherd is also present e nature of the context and ing rim and a near complete ontexts from this site seen so king condition than the later Date preference 50 BC/0-100/125 AD e neck and broad horizontal t burnished exterior. 4 thin-
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh and this would be mo the distribution of th Mostly fairly fresh me base. Only 1, an oxidis far, there is the trend material, which is ofte DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER All reduced and hand grooves/ripples below walled sherds conjoin	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ ree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen ed wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A ore commonly ER after around 7 te material, if possible. dium to large sized sherds, includ sed piece, is more notably worn. A for the earlier dated material to b n much more worn. <u>Ware</u> 'Belgic' style grog tempered -made. 2 conjoin to a large everte v, akin to Thompson 1982 B2-1/I to an almost complete large flat bas	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma AD. Howev 5 AD. Com ing 1 large gain, as in be in a fres Vessels 2 d rim with D2-4 type, be (not obvi	e after a de LIA: er, 1 oxi sider th conjoin many co sher look Wear FF>L concave dull soft iously wi	Around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are no idised sherd is also presen e nature of the context and ing rim and a near completed ontexts from this site seen so king condition than the later Date preference 50 BC/0-100/125 AI e neck and broad horizonta t burnished exterior. 4 thin heel-thrown, though perhaps
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh and this would be mo the distribution of th Mostly fairly fresh me base. Only 1, an oxidis far, there is the trend material, which is ofte DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER All reduced and hand grooves/ripples below walled sherds conjoin more likely LIA-ER>E	broadly single short episode of the sherd which would more type tree, so potentially in the 2^{nd} cented wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A to be commonly ER after around 7 the material, if possible. dium to large sized sherds, includ and piece, is more notably worn. A for the earlier dated material to be n much more worn.	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma AD. Howev 5 AD. Com ing 1 large gain, as in be in a fres Vessels 2 d rim with D2-4 type, be (not obvi	e after a de LIA: er, 1 oxi sider th conjoin many co sher look Wear FF>L concave dull soft iously wi	Around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are no idised sherd is also presen e nature of the context and ing rim and a near completed ontexts from this site seen so king condition than the later Date preference 50 BC/0-100/125 AI e neck and broad horizonta t burnished exterior. 4 thin heel-thrown, though perhaps
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh and this would be mo the distribution of th Mostly fairly fresh me base. Only 1, an oxidis far, there is the trend material, which is ofte DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER All reduced and hand grooves/ripples below walled sherds conjoin more likely LIA-ER>E1 body sherds.	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ ree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen ed wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A ore commonly ER after around 7 te material, if possible. dium to large sized sherds, includ sed piece, is more notably worn. A for the earlier dated material to b n much more worn. <u>Ware</u> 'Belgic' style grog tempered -made. 2 conjoin to a large everte v, akin to Thompson 1982 B2-1/I to an almost complete large flat bas	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma AD. Howev 5 AD. Com ing 1 large gain, as in be in a fres Vessels 2 d rim with D2-4 type, be (not obvi	e after a de LIA: er, 1 oxi sider th conjoin many co sher look Wear FF>L concave dull soft iously wi	Around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not idised sherd is also present e nature of the context and ing rim and a near complete ontexts from this site seen so king condition than the later Date preference 50 BC/0-100/125 AE e neck and broad horizonta t burnished exterior. 4 thin heel-thrown, though perhaps
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh and this would be mo the distribution of th Mostly fairly fresh me base. Only 1, an oxidis far, there is the trend material, which is ofte DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER All reduced and hand grooves/ripples below walled sherds conjoin more likely LIA-ER>E	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ ree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen ed wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A ore commonly ER after around 7 te material, if possible. dium to large sized sherds, includ sed piece, is more notably worn. A for the earlier dated material to b n much more worn. <u>Ware</u> 'Belgic' style grog tempered -made. 2 conjoin to a large everte v, akin to Thompson 1982 B2-1/I to an almost complete large flat bas	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma AD. Howev 5 AD. Com ing 1 large gain, as in be in a fres Vessels 2 d rim with D2-4 type, be (not obvi	e after a de LIA: er, 1 oxi sider th conjoin many co sher look Wear FF>L concave dull soft iously wi	Around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not idised sherd is also present e nature of the context and ing rim and a near complete ontexts from this site seen so king condition than the later Date preference 50 BC/0-100/125 AE e neck and broad horizontal t burnished exterior. 4 thin- heel-thrown, though perhaps
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh and this would be mo the distribution of th Mostly fairly fresh me base. Only 1, an oxidis far, there is the trend material, which is ofte DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER All reduced and hand grooves/ripples below walled sherds conjoin more likely LIA-ER>E1 body sherds.	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ ree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen ed wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A ore commonly ER after around 7 te material, if possible. dium to large sized sherds, includ sed piece, is more notably worn. A for the earlier dated material to b n much more worn. <u>Ware</u> 'Belgic' style grog tempered -made. 2 conjoin to a large everte v, akin to Thompson 1982 B2-1/I to an almost complete large flat bas	discard. bically dat tury AD. l hand-ma AD. Howev 5 AD. Com ing 1 large gain, as in be in a fres Vessels 2 d rim with D2-4 type, be (not obvi	e after a de LIA: er, 1 oxi sider th conjoin many co sher look Wear FF>L concave dull soft iously wi	Around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not idised sherd is also present e nature of the context and ing rim and a near complete ontexts from this site seen so king condition than the later Date preference 50 BC/0-100/125 AE e neck and broad horizontal t burnished exterior. 4 thin- heel-thrown, though perhaps
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 11	have resulted from a Unclear. The sole lat residual to some deg Most of these grogg Romanised and migh and this would be mo the distribution of th Mostly fairly fresh me base. Only 1, an oxidis far, there is the trend material, which is ofte DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LIA>ER All reduced and hand grooves/ripples below walled sherds conjoin more likely LIA-ER>E1 body sherds. DRAW: 3. ER	broadly single short episode of ter sherd which would more typ gree, so potentially in the 2 nd cen ed wares are from thick-walled at not significantly post-date 75 A ore commonly ER after around 7 te material, if possible. dium to large sized sherds, includ sed piece, is more notably worn. A for the earlier dated material to b n much more worn. <u>Ware</u> (Belgic' style grog tempered -made. 2 conjoin to a large everte v, akin to Thompson 1982 B2-1/I to an almost complete large flat bas R; broken around the edge). 1 large	discard. bically dat tury AD. I hand-ma D. Howev 5 AD. Cons ing 1 large gain, as in be in a fres Vessels 2 d rim with D2-4 type, thick-wal	e after a de LIA: er, 1 oxi sider th conjoin many co sher lool <u>Wear</u> FF>L concav dull soft iously wi led base	Around 75 AD is worn and >ER vessels which are not idised sherd is also present e nature of the context and ing rim and a near complete ontexts from this site seen so king condition than the later Date preference 50 BC/0-100/125 AD e neck and broad horizontal t burnished exterior. 4 thin- heel-thrown, though perhaps sherd. 4 medium sized plair

(1231) [123	30]		1	sherd	6 g	
Context:			•			
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 50 BC.				
End date:		tainly after 125 AD, but a single	small she	rd only	and potentially residual to	
Dating:	Little specific data beyond ware type. Surface oxidisation, though patchy, might just indicate a potential post 50/75 AD date, if not a result of accidental re-firing.					
Comments:	-					
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference	
1	LIA>ER	'Belgic' style grog tempered	1	М	50 BC - 125 AD	
	Small plain sherd with possibly a later trunca	h worn patchy surface oxidisation, ttion. Soft.	?re-fired	overlap	s edge in 1 place). 1 flat face	
(1005) [10]	201		2	charda	0.7	
(1235) [123	32]		Z	sherds	9 g	
Context:	Nothing and the los					
Start date:	Nothing certainly be					
End date:	Nothing certainly aft					
Dating:	No specific data. Cou	la date widely.				
Comments:	-	147	17 1	147		
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference	
2	LIA>ER	'Belgic' style grog tempered	1	L	50 BC - 100 AD	
	Small conjoining plain	body sherds, reduced, 1 with brow	vnish exter	ior, soft.		
(1237) [123	36]		3	sherds	38 g	
Context:						
Start date:	Mathing a sector la la la	foro 25 AD more likely after 5() AD and	probabl	y after 75 AD if the 2 grog	
sturt dute:	tempered sherds are	e related.				
	tempered sherds are Unclear. Potentially is the most worn.	e related. by 250 AD and possibly by 200 AI), though a	all are re	esidual and the latest sherd	
End date:	tempered sherds are Unclear. Potentially is the most worn. All are worn and contemporaries aro	e related. by 250 AD and possibly by 200 AI residual to varying degrees. und 75-100 AD, though they nee e site assemblage, the Samian is p	D, though a The 2 g d not be 1	all are re grog ten related.	esidual and the latest sherd npered sherds could be As notably seen in several	
End date: Dating: Comments:	tempered sherds are Unclear. Potentially is the most worn. All are worn and contemporaries are other contexts in the most worn, despite to The associations betw sherd only. DRAW: 2.	e related. by 250 AD and possibly by 200 AI residual to varying degrees. und 75-100 AD, though they nee e site assemblage, the Samian is p peing hard fired. reen the material are unclear, given	D, though a The 2 g d not be potentiall that each	all are re grog ten related. y latest : ware is n	esidual and the latest sherd npered sherds could be As notably seen in several sherd present and also the	
End date: Dating:	tempered sherds are Unclear. Potentially is the most worn. All are worn and contemporaries aro other contexts in the most worn, despite to The associations betw sherd only.	e related. by 250 AD and possibly by 200 AI residual to varying degrees. und 75-100 AD, though they nee e site assemblage, the Samian is p being hard fired.	D, though a The 2 g d not be p potentiall	all are re grog ten related. y latest : ware is n	esidual and the latest sherd npered sherds could be As notably seen in several sherd present and also the	
End date: Dating: Comments:	tempered sherds are Unclear. Potentially is the most worn. All are worn and contemporaries are other contexts in the most worn, despite to The associations betwee sherd only. DRAW: 2. Period LIA-ER>ER	e related. by 250 AD and possibly by 200 AI residual to varying degrees. und 75-100 AD, though they nee e site assemblage, the Samian is p peing hard fired. reen the material are unclear, given <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered	D, though a first that each vessels 1	all are re related. y latest : ware is n <u>Wear</u> M	esidual and the latest sherd mpered sherds could be As notably seen in several sherd present and also the represented by a single worn <u>Date preference</u> 0/25-100/150 AD	
End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	tempered sherds are Unclear. Potentially is the most worn. All are worn and contemporaries are other contexts in the most worn, despite to The associations betwee sherd only. DRAW: 2. Period LIA-ER>ER	e related. by 250 AD and possibly by 200 AI residual to varying degrees. und 75-100 AD, though they nee e site assemblage, the Samian is p peing hard fired. reen the material are unclear, given <i>Ware</i>	D, though a first that each vessels 1	all are re related. y latest : ware is n <u>Wear</u> M	esidual and the latest sherd mpered sherds could be As notably seen in several sherd present and also the represented by a single worn <u>Date preference</u> 0/25-100/150 AD	
End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	tempered sherds are Unclear. Potentially is the most worn. All are worn and contemporaries are other contexts in the most worn, despite to The associations betw sherd only. DRAW: 2. <i>Period</i> LIA-ER>ER Medium sized simple of rounded shoulder.	e related. by 250 AD and possibly by 200 AI residual to varying degrees. und 75-100 AD, though they nee e site assemblage, the Samian is p peing hard fired. reen the material are unclear, given <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered	D, though a first that each vessels 1	all are re related. y latest : ware is n <u>Wear</u> M	esidual and the latest sherd mpered sherds could be As notably seen in several sherd present and also the represented by a single worn Date preference 0/25-100/150 AD	
End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	tempered sherds are Unclear. Potentially is the most worn. All are worn and contemporaries are other contexts in the most worn, despite H The associations betw sherd only. DRAW: 2. <i>Period</i> LIA-ER>ER Medium sized simple of rounded shoulder. DRAW. ER	e related. by 250 AD and possibly by 200 AI residual to varying degrees. und 75-100 AD, though they nee e site assemblage, the Samian is p being hard fired. reen the material are unclear, given <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered everted rim, fairly thin-walled, fairly	D, though a first that each vessels 1 y well fired	all are re related. y latest : ware is n <u>Wear</u> M l, 1 slight	esidual and the latest sherd mpered sherds could be As notably seen in several sherd present and also the represented by a single worn Date preference 0/25-100/150 AD horizontal groove just about	
End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	tempered sherds are Unclear. Potentially is the most worn. All are worn and contemporaries are other contexts in the most worn, despite to The associations betwee sherd only. DRAW: 2. <i>Period</i> LIA-ER>ER Medium sized simple of rounded shoulder. DRAW. ER Small thick-walled oxider.	e related. by 250 AD and possibly by 200 AI residual to varying degrees. und 75-100 AD, though they nee e site assemblage, the Samian is p being hard fired. reen the material are unclear, given <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered everted rim, fairly thin-walled, fairly Romanising 'Belgic' style grog dised sherd with mostly grey grog,	D, though a first that each vessels 1 y well fired	all are re related. y latest : ware is n <u>Wear</u> M l, 1 slight	esidual and the latest sherd mpered sherds could be As notably seen in several sherd present and also the represented by a single worn Date preference 0/25-100/150 AD horizontal groove just about 75-125/150 AD	
End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	tempered sherds are Unclear. Potentially is the most worn. All are worn and contemporaries are other contexts in the most worn, despite to The associations betw sherd only. DRAW: 2. <i>Period</i> LIA-ER>ER Medium sized simple of rounded shoulder. DRAW. ER Small thick-walled oxi ER>MR Small very chipped an	e related. by 250 AD and possibly by 200 AI residual to varying degrees. und 75-100 AD, though they nee e site assemblage, the Samian is poing hard fired. reen the material are unclear, given <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered everted rim, fairly thin-walled, fairly Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	D, though a The 2 g a not be potentially that each vessels 1 y well fired 1 soft. 1 o, small pan	all are re related. y latest : ware is n <i>Wear</i> M l, 1 slight M H t of beau	esidual and the latest sherd mpered sherds could be As notably seen in several sherd present and also the represented by a single worn Date preference 0/25-100/150 AD horizontal groove just about 75-125/150 AD 117-250 AD ded rim remaining, hard.	

(1239) [123	361		3	sherds	20 g		
Context:					- 0		
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 50 BC and just possibly after	r 50 AD.				
End date:	Nothing certainly af		001121				
Dating:	Little specific data. Though the sizes are small and the quantity low, all could potentially be						
Ũ	broadly related and none need be significantly residual.						
Comments:							
	conquest is the most worn, thus, if broadly contemporary or single phase, all could potentially post-date						
		ntal similar firings could occur ea	arlier, how	vever, so	the evidence is weak and		
	unreliable for a specif				-		
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
3		'Belgic' style grog tempered	3	L>M	50 BC/?50-100/125 AD		
		plain body sherds, 1 reduced, 1 bla					
	most fairly lightly wor	n, the latter with slightly more rou	nded edge	s, all soft	-		
(1243) [124	42]		2 :	sherds	42 g		
Context:							
Start date:		fore 50 BC and likely after 25 BC					
End date:		tainly after 150 AD and the shere			common up to around 100		
	AD, though they are potentially residual to some degree at least.						
Dating:	Nothing very specific	c beyond its ware type and could	date wide	ely (date	es as given).		
Comments:	-						
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
2	LIA>ER	'Belgic' style grog tempered	?2	L>M	50 BC - 100/150 AD		
	1 small sharp angled sherd. 1 large thin-walled slightly concave sherd. Both reduced.						
	1 small snarp angled s	sherd. I large thin-walled slightly co	oncave she	erd. Both	reduced.		
	1 small sharp angled s	sherd. I large thin-walled slightly co	oncave she	erd. Both	reduced.		
(1244) [124		sherd. I large thin-walled slightly co		erd. Both sherds	reduced. 15 g		
(1244) [12 4 <i>Context:</i>		cherd. 1 large thin-walled slightly co					
	42]	need date before 75 AD.					
Context:	42]	need date before 75 AD.					
Context: Start date:	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft	need date before 75 AD.					
Context: Start date: End date:	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aff Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised	need date before 75 AD. eer 150 AD.					
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised DRAW: 1.	need date before 75 AD. Ser 150 AD. orary and both ER if so. rim, neither significantly worn.	2:	sherds	15 g		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised DRAW: 1. Period	need date before 75 AD. Ter 150 AD. orary and both ER if so. rim, neither significantly worn. Ware	2 : Vessels	sherds Wear	15 g		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> ER	need date before 75 AD. Ter 150 AD. orary and both ER if so. rim, neither significantly worn. <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	2 : Vessels 2	sherds Wear L	15 g <i>Date preference</i> 75-125/150 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> ER Small. 1 simple everted	need date before 75 AD. er 150 AD. orary and both ER if so. rim, neither significantly worn. <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog ed rim with creamy surfaces and p	2 : Vessels 2	sherds Wear L	15 g <i>Date preference</i> 75-125/150 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> ER Small. 1 simple everte some patchy oxidisati	need date before 75 AD. er 150 AD. orary and both ER if so. rim, neither significantly worn. <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog ed rim with creamy surfaces and p	2 : Vessels 2	sherds Wear L	15 g <i>Date preference</i> 75-125/150 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> ER Small. 1 simple everted	need date before 75 AD. er 150 AD. orary and both ER if so. rim, neither significantly worn. <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog ed rim with creamy surfaces and p	2 : Vessels 2	sherds Wear L	15 g <i>Date preference</i> 75-125/150 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> ER Small. 1 simple everte some patchy oxidisati DRAW: 1.	need date before 75 AD. er 150 AD. orary and both ER if so. rim, neither significantly worn. <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog ed rim with creamy surfaces and p	Vessels 2 atchy orar	sherds Wear L nge on ir	15 g Date preference 75-125/150 AD nterior. 1 reduced body with		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 (1246) [124	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> ER Small. 1 simple everte some patchy oxidisati DRAW: 1.	need date before 75 AD. er 150 AD. orary and both ER if so. rim, neither significantly worn. <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog ed rim with creamy surfaces and p	Vessels 2 atchy orar	sherds Wear L	15 g <i>Date preference</i> 75-125/150 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 2 (1246) [124 Context:	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> ER Small. 1 simple everte some patchy oxidisati DRAW: 1.	need date before 75 AD. Ter 150 AD. orary and both ER if so. rim, neither significantly worn. <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog ed rim with creamy surfaces and p on on exterior. Soft.	Vessels 2 atchy orar	sherds Wear L nge on ir	15 g Date preference 75-125/150 AD nterior. 1 reduced body with		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 2 (1246) [124 Context: Start date:	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised DRAW: 1. Period ER Small. 1 simple everter some patchy oxidisati DRAW: 1. 45] Nothing certainly be	need date before 75 AD. Ter 150 AD. orary and both ER if so. rim, neither significantly worn. <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog ed rim with creamy surfaces and p on on exterior. Soft. fore 75 AD.	Vessels 2 atchy orar	sherds Wear L nge on ir	15 g Date preference 75-125/150 AD nterior. 1 reduced body with 28 g		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 2 (1246) [124 Context:	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised DRAW: 1. Period ER Small. 1 simple everter some patchy oxidisati DRAW: 1. 45] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert	need date before 75 AD. er 150 AD. orary and both ER if so. rim, neither significantly worn. <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog ed rim with creamy surfaces and p on on exterior. Soft. fore 75 AD. tainly after 150 AD, but single s	Vessels 2 atchy orar	sherds Wear L nge on ir	15 g Date preference 75-125/150 AD nterior. 1 reduced body with 28 g		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 (1246) [124 Context: Start date: End date:	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised DRAW: 1. Period ER Small. 1 simple everte some patchy oxidisati DRAW: 1. 45] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert some (in-situ?) expo	need date before 75 AD. Ter 150 AD. orary and both ER if so. rim, neither significantly worn. <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog ed rim with creamy surfaces and p on on exterior. Soft. fore 75 AD. tainly after 150 AD, but single s sure, so relationship unclear.	Vessels 2 atchy orar	sherds Wear L nge on ir	15 g Date preference 75-125/150 AD nterior. 1 reduced body with 28 g		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 (1246) [124 Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised DRAW: 1. Period ER Small. 1 simple everte some patchy oxidisati DRAW: 1. 45] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert some (in-situ?) expo Nothing specific bey	need date before 75 AD. Ter 150 AD. orary and both ER if so. rim, neither significantly worn. <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog ed rim with creamy surfaces and p on on exterior. Soft. fore 75 AD. tainly after 150 AD, but single s sure, so relationship unclear. ond firing.	Vessels 2 atchy orar 1 herd only	sherds Wear L ige on ir sherd and on	15 g Date preference 75-125/150 AD nterior. 1 reduced body with 28 g e that has potentially seen		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 (1246) [124 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised DRAW: 1. Period ER Small. 1 simple everter some patchy oxidisati DRAW: 1. 45] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert some (in-situ?) exponent Nothing specific bey Edges and inner surface	need date before 75 AD. Ter 150 AD. orary and both ER if so. rim, neither significantly worn. <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog ed rim with creamy surfaces and p on on exterior. Soft. fore 75 AD. tainly after 150 AD, but single s sure, so relationship unclear. ond firing. ce not significantly worn, some exte	Vessels 2 atchy orar 1 herd only rior wear/	sherds Wear L nge on ir sherd and on surface l	15 g Date preference 75-125/150 AD nterior. 1 reduced body with 28 g e that has potentially seen oss (from exposure, or use?).		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 (1246) [124 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised DRAW: 1. Period ER Small. 1 simple everta some patchy oxidisati DRAW: 1. 45] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert some (in-situ?) expo Nothing specific bey Edges and inner surface Period	need date before 75 AD. Ter 150 AD. orary and both ER if so. rim, neither significantly worn. <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog ed rim with creamy surfaces and p on on exterior. Soft. fore 75 AD. tainly after 150 AD, but single s sure, so relationship unclear. ond firing. ce not significantly worn, some exte <i>Ware</i>	2 : Vessels 2 atchy orar herd only rior wear/ Vessels	sherds Wear L nge on ir sherd and on surface l Wear	15 g Date preference 75-125/150 AD nterior. 1 reduced body with 28 g e that has potentially seen osss (from exposure, or use?). Date preference		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 (1246) [124 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	 42] Nothing certainly or Nothing certainly aft Potentially contemp Both small, 1 oxidised DRAW: 1. Period ER Small. 1 simple everters some patchy oxidisati DRAW: 1. 45] Nothing certainly be Unclear. Nothing cert some (in-situ?) expon Nothing specific bey Edges and inner surface Period ER 	need date before 75 AD. Ter 150 AD. orary and both ER if so. rim, neither significantly worn. <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog ed rim with creamy surfaces and p on on exterior. Soft. fore 75 AD. tainly after 150 AD, but single s sure, so relationship unclear. ond firing. ce not significantly worn, some exte	2 : Vessels 2 atchy orar herd only rior wear/ Vessels 1	sherds Wear L nge on ir sherd and on surface l Wear L	15 g Date preference 75-125/150 AD nterior. 1 reduced body with 28 g e that has potentially seen oss (from exposure, or use?). Date preference 75-125/150 AD		
(1248) [124	47]		3	sherds	56 g		
--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--
Context:							
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 0 AD and possibly after 50 A	AD.				
End date:	Nothing certainly after 150 AD.						
Dating:							
Duting.		No obvious direct parallels noted					
Comments:	DRAW: 1.	to obvious un eet paranets noted		- Scure			
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
3	LIA-ER>ER	'Belgic' style grog tempered	?3	L>M	0/50-125/150 AD		
5		rds, with patchy pale pinkish or bro	-				
		over a rounded body, sharp angle					
	DRAW: 1.	over a rounded body, sharp angle	at neck jun		deco, reduced, sort.		
	DRAW. I.						
(1250) [12]	401	l	1	chard	17 a		
(1250) [124	49]			sherd	17 g		
Context:	Madata a state a la la	(
Start date:	Nothing certainly be						
End date:	5	tainly after 150 AD, though sing	le sherd p	otential	ly residual to some degree.		
Dating:	No specific form, dat			-			
Comments:		rn surfaces and some edge roundin					
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
1	ER	Fine silty	1	М	70-150 AD		
		alf surviving, foot-ring, shallow ar					
	surfaces, exterior and	base with patchy grey-black ?slip/	'surface, la	ge chip	oed hole in centre, soft.		
(1253) [12	52]		1	sherd	5 g		
Context:							
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 50 BC.					
End date:		ter 150 AD and potentially not si		v after 1	00 AD.		
Dating:	Single small sherd o	nly, dated as given, not significar	ntly worn.				
Comments:	Little specific data be	yond ware type and could date wid	ely.				
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
1	LIA>ER	'Belgic' style grog tempered	1	L	50 BC - 100/150 AD		
		1 1 1 6					
	Small plain body sher	a, reaucea, soft.					
	Small plain body sher	a, reduced, soft.					
(1254) [12			4	sherds	319 g		
(1254) [12: Context:		a, reducea, soft.	4	sherds	319 g		
()L			4	sherds	319 g		
Context:	52] Nothing certainly be						
Context: Start date:	52] Nothing certainly be Unclear. The latest r	fore 75 AD.	ars heavily	worn a	nd potentially significantly		
Context: Start date:	52] Nothing certainly be Unclear. The latest r residual, unless it is	fore 75 AD. naterial, 175/200-225 AD, appea	nrs heavily material is	worn a s less w	nd potentially significantly orn. Consider the nature of		
Context: Start date:	52] Nothing certainly be Unclear. The latest r residual, unless it is	fore 75 AD. naterial, 175/200-225 AD, appea intrusive, given that the earlier rizons of recovery if possible ar	nrs heavily material is	worn a s less w	nd potentially significantly orn. Consider the nature of		
Context: Start date:	52] Nothing certainly be Unclear. The latest r residual, unless it is the context, the hou intrusions or later in	fore 75 AD. naterial, 175/200-225 AD, appea intrusive, given that the earlier rizons of recovery if possible ar	nrs heavily material is nd whethe	worn a s less wo r there	nd potentially significantly orn. Consider the nature of are any opportunities for		
Context: Start date: End date:	52] Nothing certainly be Unclear. The latest r residual, unless it is the context, the hou intrusions or later in ER and MR element	fore 75 AD. naterial, 175/200-225 AD, appea intrusive, given that the earlier izons of recovery if possible ar ntercutting.	nrs heavily material is 1d whethe gest but al	worn a s less wo r there so the	nd potentially significantly orn. Consider the nature of are any opportunities for most worn. All potentially		
Context: Start date: End date:	52] Nothing certainly be Unclear. The latest r residual, unless it is the context, the hor intrusions or later in ER and MR element residual to lesser a	fore 75 AD. naterial, 175/200-225 AD, appea intrusive, given that the earlier rizons of recovery if possible ar ntercutting. s, the latest material is the larg	nrs heavily material is nd whethe gest but al nsider wh	worn a s less wo r there so the ether t	nd potentially significantly orn. Consider the nature of are any opportunities for most worn. All potentially he circumstances and soil		
Context: Start date: End date:	52] Nothing certainly be Unclear. The latest r residual, unless it is the context, the hor intrusions or later in ER and MR element residual to lesser a conditions of the con	fore 75 AD. naterial, 175/200-225 AD, appea intrusive, given that the earlier rizons of recovery if possible ar ntercutting. s, the latest material is the larg nd greater degrees, though con	nrs heavily material is nd whethe gest but al nsider wh worn app	worn a s less we r there so the ether the earance	nd potentially significantly orn. Consider the nature of are any opportunities for most worn. All potentially he circumstances and soil of the largest latest sherd.		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	52] Nothing certainly be Unclear. The latest r residual, unless it is the context, the hor intrusions or later in ER and MR element residual to lesser a conditions of the con Small to medium size	fore 75 AD. naterial, 175/200-225 AD, appea intrusive, given that the earlier rizons of recovery if possible ar ntercutting. rs, the latest material is the larg nd greater degrees, though con ntext could be causing the highly	nrs heavily material is nd whethe gest but al nsider wh worn app , worn, 1 gr	worn a s less we r there so the ether t earance ogged co	nd potentially significantly orn. Consider the nature of are any opportunities for most worn. All potentially he circumstances and soil of the largest latest sherd. parseware (which could date		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	52] Nothing certainly be Unclear. The latest r residual, unless it is the context, the hor intrusions or later in ER and MR element residual to lesser a conditions of the con Small to medium size a little earlier than t	fore 75 AD. naterial, 175/200-225 AD, appea intrusive, given that the earlier rizons of recovery if possible ar ntercutting. s, the latest material is the larg nd greater degrees, though con ntext could be causing the highly d plain body sherds in local fabrics,	ars heavily material is id whethe gest but al nsider wh worn app worn, 1 gr y related to	worn a s less we r there so the earance ogged co o the),	nd potentially significantly orn. Consider the nature of are any opportunities for most worn. All potentially he circumstances and soil of the largest latest sherd. Darseware (which could date 1 conjoining silty fineware		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	52] Nothing certainly be Unclear. The latest r residual, unless it is the context, the hor intrusions or later in ER and MR element residual to lesser a conditions of the con Small to medium size a little earlier than t (flagon?), both oxidise	fore 75 AD. naterial, 175/200-225 AD, appea intrusive, given that the earlier rizons of recovery if possible an ntercutting. is, the latest material is the larg nd greater degrees, though con ntext could be causing the highly d plain body sherds in local fabrics, he range given, though it is likely	ars heavily material is id whethe gest but al nsider wh worn app worn, 1 gr y related to trends no	worn a s less wo r there so the earance ogged co o the), ater tha	nd potentially significantly orn. Consider the nature of are any opportunities for most worn. All potentially he circumstances and soil of the largest latest sherd. Darseware (which could date 1 conjoining silty fineware n 150 AD. 1 very large sherd		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	52] Nothing certainly be Unclear. The latest r residual, unless it is the context, the hor intrusions or later in ER and MR element residual to lesser a conditions of the con Small to medium size a little earlier than t (flagon?), both oxidist of Samian, very heavi from this vessel occur	fore 75 AD. naterial, 175/200-225 AD, appear intrusive, given that the earlier rizons of recovery if possible an intercutting. Is, the latest material is the large and greater degrees, though con itext could be causing the highly d plain body sherds in local fabrics, he range given, though it is likely ed and soft, so on current assumed by worn, potentially a MR Trier pro- rin (1255).	ars heavily material is id whethe gest but al nsider wh worn app worn, 1 gr y related to trends no	worn a s less wo r there so the earance ogged co o the), ater tha	nd potentially significantly orn. Consider the nature of are any opportunities for most worn. All potentially he circumstances and soil of the largest latest sherd. Darseware (which could date 1 conjoining silty fineware n 150 AD. 1 very large sherd		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	52] Nothing certainly be Unclear. The latest r residual, unless it is the context, the hor intrusions or later in ER and MR element residual to lesser a conditions of the con Small to medium size a little earlier than t (flagon?), both oxidist of Samian, very heavi from this vessel occur	fore 75 AD. naterial, 175/200-225 AD, appea intrusive, given that the earlier rizons of recovery if possible an ntercutting. is, the latest material is the larg nd greater degrees, though con ntext could be causing the highly d plain body sherds in local fabrics, he range given, though it is likely ed and soft, so on current assumed y worn, potentially a MR Trier pro-	ars heavily material is id whethe gest but al nsider wh worn app worn, 1 gr y related to trends no	worn a s less wo r there so the earance ogged co o the), ater tha	nd potentially significantly orn. Consider the nature of are any opportunities for most worn. All potentially he circumstances and soil of the largest latest sherd. Darseware (which could date 1 conjoining silty fineware n 150 AD. 1 very large sherd		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	52] Nothing certainly be Unclear. The latest r residual, unless it is the context, the hor intrusions or later in ER and MR element residual to lesser a conditions of the con Small to medium size a little earlier than t (flagon?), both oxidist of Samian, very heavi from this vessel occur	fore 75 AD. naterial, 175/200-225 AD, appear intrusive, given that the earlier rizons of recovery if possible an intercutting. Is, the latest material is the large and greater degrees, though con itext could be causing the highly d plain body sherds in local fabrics, he range given, though it is likely ed and soft, so on current assumed by worn, potentially a MR Trier pro- rin (1255).	ars heavily material is id whethe gest but al nsider wh worn app worn, 1 gr y related to trends no	worn a s less wo r there so the earance ogged co o the), ater tha	nd potentially significantly orn. Consider the nature of are any opportunities for most worn. All potentially he circumstances and soil of the largest latest sherd. Darseware (which could date 1 conjoining silty fineware n 150 AD. 1 very large sherd		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	52] Nothing certainly be Unclear. The latest r residual, unless it is the context, the hor intrusions or later in ER and MR element residual to lesser a conditions of the con Small to medium size a little earlier than t (flagon?), both oxidist of Samian, very heavi from this vessel occur DRAW: 1 {more from	fore 75 AD. naterial, 175/200-225 AD, appear intrusive, given that the earlier rizons of recovery if possible an ntercutting. is, the latest material is the large and greater degrees, though con- ntext could be causing the highly d plain body sherds in local fabrics, he range given, though it is likely ed and soft, so on current assumed by worn, potentially a MR Trier pro- in (1255). this vessel in (1255)}.	ars heavily material is nd whethe gest but al nsider wh worn app , worn, 1 gr y related to trends no duct of the	worn a s less wo r there so the ether t earance ogged co o the), ater tha early 3r	nd potentially significantly orn. Consider the nature of are any opportunities for most worn. All potentially he circumstances and soil of the largest latest sherd. barseware (which could date 1 conjoining silty fineware n 150 AD. 1 very large sherd d century. More sherds likely		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	52] Nothing certainly be Unclear. The latest r residual, unless it is the context, the hor intrusions or later in ER and MR element residual to lesser a conditions of the cor Small to medium size a little earlier than t (flagon?), both oxidise of Samian, very heavi from this vessel occur DRAW: 1 {more from Period ER	fore 75 AD. naterial, 175/200-225 AD, appear intrusive, given that the earlier rizons of recovery if possible and nercutting. rs, the latest material is the large and greater degrees, though con- next could be causing the highly d plain body sherds in local fabrics, he range given, though it is likely ed and soft, so on current assumed y worn, potentially a MR Trier pro- rin (1255). this vessel in (1255)}. Ware	ars heavily material is nd whethe gest but al nsider wh worn app , worn, 1 gr y related to trends no duct of the <u>Vessels</u> 1	worn a s less wo r there so the earance ogged co o the), ater tha early 3r <i>Wear</i> M	nd potentially significantly orn. Consider the nature of are any opportunities for most worn. All potentially he circumstances and soil of the largest latest sherd. Darseware (which could date 1 conjoining silty fineware n 150 AD. 1 very large sherd d century. More sherds likely Date preference 50/75-125/150 AD		
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	52] Nothing certainly be Unclear. The latest r residual, unless it is the context, the hor intrusions or later in ER and MR element residual to lesser a conditions of the cor Small to medium size a little earlier than t (flagon?), both oxidise of Samian, very heavi from this vessel occur DRAW: 1 {more from Period ER	fore 75 AD. naterial, 175/200-225 AD, appear intrusive, given that the earlier rizons of recovery if possible and netercutting. rise, the latest material is the large and greater degrees, though con- netext could be causing the highly d plain body sherds in local fabrics, he range given, though it is likely ed and soft, so on current assumed y worn, potentially a MR Trier pro- in (1255). this vessel in (1255)}. <i>Ware</i> Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	ars heavily material is nd whethe gest but al nsider wh worn app , worn, 1 gr y related to trends no duct of the <u>Vessels</u> 1	worn a s less wo r there so the earance ogged co o the), ater tha early 3r <i>Wear</i> M	nd potentially significantly orn. Consider the nature of are any opportunities for most worn. All potentially he circumstances and soil of the largest latest sherd. Darseware (which could date 1 conjoining silty fineware n 150 AD. 1 very large sherd d century. More sherds likely <u>Date preference</u> 50/75-125/150 AD		

1	ΓD , MD / MD	2E+ Cli -le Teri Ci	1	TT	175 (200 225 45			
	ER>MR/MR	?East Gaulish Trier Samian	1	H	175/200-225 AD			
	Very large sherd comprising half the base of a very large bowl, heavily rounded edges and significant loss							
	of surface slip. The sherd is broken as the wall straightens to vertical, only a small area of this part is							
	present and there is significant surface loss, though there are 2 raised areas which are the remains of very worn moulded deco, 1 of these a possible crude human figure, the legs reaching below the incurve							
		n a Form 37 hemispherical decorate						
	DRAW.	of the 3rd century (Tyers 1996/202	14; websie	er 1996,	14, 47-48, 78-91, 90-91).			
	DRAW.							
(1255) [125	521		15	sherds	433 g			
Context:			15	Sherus				
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 0 AD and perhaps more like	lv after 50	0/75 AD				
End date:		dated sherd, at $175/200-225$ AI						
Ena aute.		s to be significantly residual. See						
Dating:		s variously worn, some LIA-ER						
2 annigr		ntemporary then this group co						
		o-rouletting and one *question						
	_	after around 100 AD? If so, a sli						
		50 AD, given the soft firing of the						
		appear to be much later, potenti						
	with the rest. It is s	ignificantly residual and probab	ly derive	s from t	the same vessel as seen in			
		tempered sherds in that contex						
	some within (1255).	-	-					
Comments:	3 sherds of Samian li	kely from the same MR vessel as	in (1254).	. Rest lo	cal wares, all fairly soft and			
	variously lightly to m	ore significantly worn. The oxidis	sed local v	wares ar	e likely ER. 2 reduced grog			
	tempered wares, 1 a rim, 1 a body sherd with pseudo-rouletting, could date earlier and be LIA-ER>ER,							
	tempereu wares, 1 a i	rim, 1 a body snerd with pseudo-ro	ouletting, o	could dat	te earlier and be LIA-ER>ER,			
		ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af						
		ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af						
Quantity	though the latter (if n	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af			*. Date preference			
Quantity 2	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}.	ter around	l 100 AD	*.			
	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess <i>Period</i> LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc	ter around Vessels 1/2 oves on con	l 100 AD <i>Wear</i> L>M ncave ne	*. <u>Date preference</u> 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to			
	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered rted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s	ter around Vessels 1/2 oves on con ame vesse	l 100 AD <i>Wear</i> L>M ncave ne	*. <u>Date preference</u> 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to ?) with remnants of bands of			
	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess <i>Period</i> LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc	ter around Vessels 1/2 oves on con ame vesse	l 100 AD <i>Wear</i> L>M ncave ne	*. <u>Date preference</u> 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to ?) with remnants of bands of			
2	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2.	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting,	ter around Vessels 1/2 oves on con ame vesse more ligh	l 100 AD <i>Wear</i> L>M ncave ne	*. <u>Date preference</u> 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to ?) with remnants of bands of . Both reduced and soft.			
	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2. ER	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting, Romanising 'Belgic' style grog	ter around Vessels 1/2 oves on con ame vesse more ligh 3/4	l 100 AD Wear L>M ncave ne l as rim tly worn L>H	*. <u>Date preference</u> 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to ?) with remnants of bands of . Both reduced and soft. 50/75-125/150 AD			
2	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2. ER Medium to largeish, m	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting, Romanising 'Belgic' style grog tostly plain body, profuse temper. 3	ter around Vessels 1/2 oves on con ame vesse more ligh 3/4 s with oxid	l 100 AD <u>Wear</u> L>M ncave ne l as rim? tly worn L>H ised exte	*. <u>Date preference</u> 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to ?) with remnants of bands of . Both reduced and soft. <u>50/75-125/150 AD</u> eriors might be same vessel			
2	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2. ER Medium to largeish, m as a sherd in (1254). 1	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting, Romanising 'Belgic' style grog tostly plain body, profuse temper. 3 medium-walled everted rim in pal	ter around Vessels 1/2 oves on con ame vesse more ligh 3/4 s with oxid	l 100 AD <u>Wear</u> L>M ncave ne l as rim? tly worn L>H ised exte	*. <u>Date preference</u> 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to ?) with remnants of bands of . Both reduced and soft. <u>50/75-125/150 AD</u> eriors might be same vessel			
2	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2. ER Medium to largeish, m as a sherd in (1254). 1 oxidisation of the surf	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting, Romanising 'Belgic' style grog tostly plain body, profuse temper. 3	ter around Vessels 1/2 oves on con ame vesse more ligh 3/4 s with oxid	l 100 AD <u>Wear</u> L>M ncave ne l as rim? tly worn L>H ised exte	*. <u>Date preference</u> 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to ?) with remnants of bands of . Both reduced and soft. <u>50/75-125/150 AD</u> eriors might be same vessel			
9	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2. ER Medium to largeish, m as a sherd in (1254). 1 oxidisation of the surf DRAW: 1.	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting, Romanising 'Belgic' style grog nostly plain body, profuse temper. 3 medium-walled everted rim in pal faces, heavily worn. All soft.	ter around Vessels 1/2 oves on con ame vesse more ligh 3/4 with oxid e buff fabr	l 100 AD Wear L>M ncave ne il as rimi tly worn L>H ised exte	*. <u>Date preference</u> 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to ?) with remnants of bands of . Both reduced and soft. <u>50/75-125/150 AD</u> eriors might be same vessel some remnant of orange			
2	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2. ER Medium to largeish, m as a sherd in (1254). 1 oxidisation of the surf DRAW: 1. ER	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting, Romanising 'Belgic' style grog nostly plain body, profuse temper. 3 medium-walled everted rim in pal faces, heavily worn. All soft.	ter around Vessels 1/2 oves on con ame vesse more ligh 3/4 with oxid e buff fabr	l 100 AD Wear L>M ncave ne il as rimi tly worn L>H ised exter ric with s	*. <u>Date preference</u> 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to ?) with remnants of bands of . Both reduced and soft. <u>50/75-125/150 AD</u> eriors might be same vessel some remnant of orange <u>50/75-150 AD</u>			
9	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2. ER Medium to largeish, m as a sherd in (1254). 1 oxidisation of the surf DRAW: 1. ER Small thick-walled pla	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting, Romanising 'Belgic' style grog nostly plain body, profuse temper. 3 medium-walled everted rim in pal faces, heavily worn. All soft. Sandy in body sherd, oxidised, sparse grit	ter around Vessels 1/2 oves on con ame vesse more ligh 3/4 with oxid e buff fabr	l 100 AD <u>Wear</u> L>M ncave ne l as rim? tly worn L>H ised exter ric with s H d, ?hand-	*. <u>Date preference</u> 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to ?) with remnants of bands of . Both reduced and soft. <u>50/75-125/150 AD</u> eriors might be same vessel some remnant of orange <u>50/75-150 AD</u> made.			
9	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2. ER Medium to largeish, m as a sherd in (1254). 1 oxidisation of the surf DRAW: 1. ER Small thick-walled pla MR	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting, Romanising 'Belgic' style grog tostly plain body, profuse temper. 3 medium-walled everted rim in pal faces, heavily worn. All soft. Sandy in body sherd, oxidised, sparse grit ?East Gaulish Trier Samian	ter around Vessels 1/2 ves on con ame vesse more light 3/4 with oxid buff fabr 1 s, not harco *	l 100 AD <u>Wear</u> L>M ncave ne l as rim? tly worn L>H ised exter ric with s H d, ?hand- H	*. Date preference 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to P) with remnants of bands of Both reduced and soft. 50/75-125/150 AD eriors might be same vessel some remnant of orange 50/75-150 AD made. 175/200-225 AD			
9	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2. ER Medium to largeish, m as a sherd in (1254). 1 oxidisation of the surf DRAW: 1. ER Small thick-walled pla MR 1 small body sherd, 1	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting, Romanising 'Belgic' style grog tostly plain body, profuse temper. 3 medium-walled everted rim in pal faces, heavily worn. All soft. Sandy in body sherd, oxidised, sparse grit ?East Gaulish Trier Samian medium sized rim fragment and	ter around Vessels 1/2 ves on con ame vesse more light 3/4 with oxid e buff fabr s, not hard * 1 very lar	l 100 AD <u>Wear</u> L>M ncave ne l as rim tly worn L>H ised exter ric with s H d, ?hand- H rge shere	*. Date preference 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to P) with remnants of bands of Both reduced and soft. 50/75-125/150 AD eriors might be same vessel some remnant of orange 50/75-150 AD made. 175/200-225 AD d of rim to mid body profile			
9	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2. ER Medium to largeish, m as a sherd in (1254). 1 oxidisation of the surf DRAW: 1. ER Small thick-walled pla MR 1 small body sherd, 1 possibly from a Form	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. Ware 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting, Romanising 'Belgic' style grog nostly plain body, profuse temper. 3 medium-walled everted rim in pal aces, heavily worn. All soft. Sandy in body sherd, oxidised, sparse grit ?East Gaulish Trier Samian medium sized rim fragment and 37 decorated hemispherical bowl	ter around Vessels 1/2 ves on con ame vesse more ligh 3/4 with oxid buff fabr 1 s, not haro * 1 very lar , edge rou	I 100 AD <u>Wear</u> L>M ncave ne I as rimit tly worn L>H ised externing ised externing H I, ?hand- H rge sheren nding an	*. Date preference 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to ?) with remnants of bands of . Both reduced and soft. 50/75-125/150 AD eriors might be same vessel some remnant of orange 50/75-150 AD made. 175/200-225 AD d of rim to mid body profile nd significant loss of slipped			
9	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2. ER Medium to largeish, m as a sherd in (1254). 1 oxidisation of the surf DRAW: 1. ER Small thick-walled pla MR 1 small body sherd, 1 possibly from a Form surfaces, particularly	ot both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting, Romanising 'Belgic' style grog ostly plain body, profuse temper. 3 medium-walled everted rim in pal faces, heavily worn. All soft. Sandy in body sherd, oxidised, sparse grit ?East Gaulish Trier Samian medium sized rim fragment and 37 decorated hemispherical bowl on the exterior. Well-spaced wor	ter around Vessels 1/2 ves on con ame vesse more ligh 3/4 with oxid buff fabr 1 s, not haro * 1 very lar , edge rou m raised l	I 100 AD Wear L>M ncave ne I as rim tly worn L>H ised externing ised externing H I, ?hand- H rge shere nding an umps an	*. Date preference 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to 2) with remnants of bands of Both reduced and soft. 50/75-125/150 AD eriors might be same vessel some remnant of orange 50/75-150 AD made. 175/200-225 AD d of rim to mid body profile nd significant loss of slipped re the remains of at least 2			
9	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2. ER Medium to largeish, m as a sherd in (1254). 1 oxidisation of the surf DRAW: 1. ER Small thick-walled pla MR 1 small body sherd, 1 possibly from a Form surfaces, particularly moulded human figur	by both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. Ware 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting, Romanising 'Belgic' style grog nostly plain body, profuse temper. 3 medium-walled everted rim in pal aces, heavily worn. All soft. Sandy in body sherd, oxidised, sparse grit ?East Gaulish Trier Samian medium sized rim fragment and 37 decorated hemispherical bowl on the exterior. Well-spaced wor es. The sparseness of the deco could	ter around Vessels 1/2 ves on con ame vesse more ligh 3/4 with oxid buff fabr 1 very lar , edge rou n raised l d indicate a	I 100 AD Wear L>M ncave ne I as rim? tly worn L>H ised externation ic with second ric with second H d, ?hand- H rge sherera nding an umps an a late pro	*. Date preference 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to ?) with remnants of bands of . Both reduced and soft. 50/75-125/150 AD eriors might be same vessel some remnant of orange 50/75-150 AD made. 175/200-225 AD d of rim to mid body profile nd significant loss of slipped re the remains of at least 2 boduct (Webster 1996, 14, 47-			
9	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2. ER Medium to largeish, m as a sherd in (1254). 1 oxidisation of the surf DRAW: 1. ER Small thick-walled pla MR 1 small body sherd, 1 possibly from a Form surfaces, particularly moulded human figur 48, 78-91, 90-91). *A	bt both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting, Romanising 'Belgic' style grog nostly plain body, profuse temper. 3 medium-walled everted rim in palaces, heavily worn. All soft. Sandy in body sherd, oxidised, sparse grit ?East Gaulish Trier Samian medium sized rim fragment and 37 decorated hemispherical bowl on the exterior. Well-spaced wor es. The sparseness of the deco could ll likely same vessel and from tha	ter around Vessels 1/2 ves on con ame vesse more ligh 3/4 with oxid buff fabr 1 very lar , edge rou n raised l d indicate a	I 100 AD Wear L>M ncave ne I as rim? tly worn L>H ised externation ic with second ric with second H d, ?hand- H rge sherera nding an umps an a late pro	*. Date preference 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to ?) with remnants of bands of . Both reduced and soft. 50/75-125/150 AD eriors might be same vessel some remnant of orange 50/75-150 AD made. 175/200-225 AD d of rim to mid body profile nd significant loss of slipped re the remains of at least 2 boduct (Webster 1996, 14, 47-			
9	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2. ER Medium to largeish, m as a sherd in (1254). 1 oxidisation of the surf DRAW: 1. ER Small thick-walled pla MR 1 small body sherd, 1 possibly from a Form surfaces, particularly moulded human figur 48, 78-91, 90-91). *A (heavily rounded edge	bt both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting, Romanising 'Belgic' style grog nostly plain body, profuse temper. 3 medium-walled everted rim in palaces, heavily worn. All soft. Sandy in body sherd, oxidised, sparse grit ?East Gaulish Trier Samian medium sized rim fragment and 37 decorated hemispherical bowl on the exterior. Well-spaced wor es. The sparseness of the deco could ll likely same vessel and from tha	ter around Vessels 1/2 ves on con ame vesse more ligh 3/4 with oxid buff fabr 1 very lar , edge rou n raised l d indicate a	I 100 AD Wear L>M ncave ne I as rim? tly worn L>H ised externation ic with second ric with second H d, ?hand- H rge sherera nding an umps an a late pro	*. Date preference 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to ?) with remnants of bands of . Both reduced and soft. 50/75-125/150 AD eriors might be same vessel some remnant of orange 50/75-150 AD made. 175/200-225 AD d of rim to mid body profile nd significant loss of slipped re the remains of at least 2 boduct (Webster 1996, 14, 47-			
9	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2. ER Medium to largeish, m as a sherd in (1254). 1 oxidisation of the surf DRAW: 1. ER Small thick-walled pla MR 1 small body sherd, 1 possibly from a Form surfaces, particularly moulded human figur 48, 78-91, 90-91). *A	bt both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting, Romanising 'Belgic' style grog nostly plain body, profuse temper. 3 medium-walled everted rim in palaces, heavily worn. All soft. Sandy in body sherd, oxidised, sparse grit ?East Gaulish Trier Samian medium sized rim fragment and 37 decorated hemispherical bowl on the exterior. Well-spaced wor es. The sparseness of the deco could ll likely same vessel and from tha	ter around Vessels 1/2 ves on con ame vesse more ligh 3/4 with oxid buff fabr 1 very lar , edge rou n raised l d indicate a	I 100 AD Wear L>M ncave ne I as rim? tly worn L>H ised externation ic with second ric with second H d, ?hand- H rge sherera nding an umps an a late pro	*. Date preference 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to ?) with remnants of bands of . Both reduced and soft. 50/75-125/150 AD eriors might be same vessel some remnant of orange 50/75-150 AD made. 175/200-225 AD d of rim to mid body profile nd significant loss of slipped re the remains of at least 2 boduct (Webster 1996, 14, 47-			
9	though the latter (if no DRAW: 4 {1 same vess Period LIA-ER>ER 1 medium walled even some Thompson B2-1 close-set repeated sho DRAW: 2. ER Medium to largeish, m as a sherd in (1254). 1 oxidisation of the surf DRAW: 1. ER Small thick-walled pla MR 1 small body sherd, 1 possibly from a Form surfaces, particularly moulded human figur 48, 78-91, 90-91). *A (heavily rounded edge	bt both) perhaps unlikely to date af sels as in (1254)}. <i>Ware</i> 'Belgic' style grog tempered 'ted rim with broad horizontal groc /D2-4 jars/bowls. 1 body sherd (s ort incised lines, pseudo-rouletting, Romanising 'Belgic' style grog nostly plain body, profuse temper. 3 medium-walled everted rim in palaces, heavily worn. All soft. Sandy in body sherd, oxidised, sparse grit ?East Gaulish Trier Samian medium sized rim fragment and 37 decorated hemispherical bowl on the exterior. Well-spaced wor es. The sparseness of the deco could ll likely same vessel and from tha	ter around Vessels 1/2 ves on con ame vesse more light 3/4 with oxid buff fabr 1 very lar , edge rou rn raised l d indicate a t seen in	I 100 AD Wear L>M ncave ne I as rim? tly worn L>H ised externation ic with second ric with second H d, ?hand- H rge sherera nding an umps an a late pro	*. Date preference 0-100/125 AD ck, moderately worn, akin to ?) with remnants of bands of . Both reduced and soft. 50/75-125/150 AD eriors might be same vessel some remnant of orange 50/75-150 AD made. 175/200-225 AD d of rim to mid body profile nd significant loss of slipped re the remains of at least 2 boduct (Webster 1996, 14, 47-			

6. Catalogues of other finds presented

6.1. Period Codes employed

Period	Code	Date (circa)	
Post-Medieval	PM	1525 - 1750	AD
<i>Abbreviations</i> > : To/or later.			

6.2. Catalogue of brick and tile

Context	Quantity	Weight (g)	Notes	Date
(1100)				2D1/
(1139)	1	8	Small tabular fragment of CBM, hard red earthenware type fabric with darker reddish-orangey slip.	?PM>
Totals	1	8		

6.3. Catalogue of daub

Context	Quantity	Weight	Notes	Date
		(g)		
(1139)	1	4	Small rounded fragment, pale orange.	-
Totals	1	4		

6.4. Catalogue of stone

Context	Quantity	Weight (g)	Notes	Date
(1194)	12	70	1 large and the rest small sized fragments of thin tabular stone, some re-fitting, the larger piece showing a rounded convex edge perhaps intentionally worked to this form. The stone is a pale creamy coloured very fine sandstone.	-
Totals	12	70		

Site Name: BEX-EX-19 Barnhorn Green

Site Address: Rosewood Park, Barnhorn Rd, East Sussex

Summary: An archaeological excavation was undertaken by Swale & Thames Survey Company (SWAT) at Rosewood Park, Bexhill, East Sussex, during 2019, 2020 and 2021. The excavation was undertaken in response to recommendations from East Sussex County Council following archaeological evaluations undertaken in 2012, 2014 and 2017.

Archaeological excavations have confirmed the presence of sporadic activity on the site from the Late Bronze Age to the Mid to Late Iron Age. Probable agricultural and settlement activity comprising, field boundary ditches, pits, enclosures, structures, a droveway and possible cremation appeared to take place in the east of the site during the Late Iron Age/ Early Romano- British period, before dwindling in the late 1st/2nd centuries, the site being abandoned probably in the 3rd century. Relatively short lived probable agricultural activity evidenced by field boundary ditches and pits took place west of the site during the 13th century.

Distri	ct/Unitary: Roth	er District Cour	ncil	Parish: Bexhill	
Perio	d(s): 1 Late Br	onze Age c. 120	0-800BC		
2.	Early-to Middle II	ron Age c.800-	400BC		
3.	Middle to Late Ire	on Age c.400-	50BC		
4a.	Late Iron Age/Ea	rly Romano- British	c.50BC	-AD80	
4b.	Late Iron Age/Ea	rly Romano- British	c.50BC	-AD80	
5a.	Early to Mid- Ror	nano- Britishc.AD8	0-150		
5b.	Early to Mid- Ror	nano- Britishc.AD8	0-150		
6	Mid- Romano- Br	itish c.AD1	50-250		
7a.	High Medieval	c.13th century			
7b.	High Medieval	c.13th century			
7c.	High Medieval	c.13th century			
8	Post Medieval	c.1540 +			
	NGR (centre of site : 8 figures): 571097 108055 (NB if large or linear site give multiple NGRs)				

Type of archaeological work (delete)

Evaluation:WatchingBriefField Walking

Documentary studyBuildingrecordingEarthwork survey

Excavation:

Geophysical SurveyField Survey

Geoarchaeological investigation

Date of Recording: 2019-2021

Unit undertaking recording: SWAT Archaeology

Geology: Tunbridge Wells Sand Formation overlain by alluvium around the Picknell Green Stream in the north of the site and soils of the Batcombe association

Title and author of accompanying report: SWAT ARCHAEOLOGY

Archaeological Excavations at at Rosewood Park, Bexhill, East Sussex

Summary: An archaeological excavation was undertaken by Swale & Thames Survey Company (SWAT) at Rosewood Park, Bexhill, East Sussex, during 2019, 2020 and 2021. The excavation was undertaken in response to recommendations from East Sussex County Council following archaeological evaluations undertaken in 2012, 2014 and 2017.

Archaeological excavations have confirmed the presence of sporadic activity on the site from the Late Bronze Age to the Mid to Late Iron Age. Probable agricultural and settlement activity comprising, field boundary ditches, pits, enclosures, structures, a droveway and possible cremation appeared to take place in the east of the site during the Late Iron Age/ Early Romano- British period, before dwindling in the late 1st/2nd centuries, the site being abandoned probably in the 3rd century. Relatively short lived probable agricultural activity evidenced by field boundary ditches and pits took place west of the site during the 13th century.

Location of archive/finds: SWAT Archaeology

Contact at Unit: Dr Paul Wilkinson

Date:21thMarch 2022



Plate 1: Pit [21] looking north, one point four metre scale.



Plate 2: Ditch [122] slot B, looking northwest with point five metres scale.



Plate 3: Ditch [122] looking northwest.



Plate 4: Ring-ditch [125] looking northwest.



Plate 5: Ring-ditch [125] slot B, looking northwest with half-metre scale.



Plate 6: Pit [160] inside ring-ditch [125], looking northwest with one point four metre scale.



Plate 7: Ditch [147] slot B, looking northwest with half metre scale.



Plate 8: pit [172] looking southeast with 0.5m horizontal and 0.3m vertical scales.



Plate 9: Ditch [147] cut by gully [216], looking northwest.



Plate 10: Ditch [189] and pits [169] and [172] to the right. Looking northwest with half-metre scale.



Plate 11: Ditch [189] slot B, looking northwest with one metre scale.



Plate 12: Ditch [189] with several features in the background. Looking southeast.



Plate 13: Cremation [240] mid-ex plan photo.



Plate 14: Pit [295] in plan, half-metre scale.



Plate 15: Group G3 looking north, one metre scale.



Plate 16: Ditch [147] to the right and [336] to the left. Looking east-south-east.



Plate 17: Pit [386] looking southeast with half-metre scale.



Plate 18: Ditch [513] looking southeast with half-metre scale.



Plate 19: Post-hole [679] looking northwest with point three metres scale.



Plate 20: Group G5 looking south.



Plate 21: Ditch [1000] belonging to group G6. Looking east with half-metre scale.



Plate 22: Aerial photo of Area 1.3, looking east-north-east.



Plate 23: Ditch [1111] belonging to group G16 cut by burnt pit [1113], looking east with one metre scale.



Plate 24: Kiln [1146] mid-ex photo, looking east, one metre scale.



Plate 25: Kiln [1147] post-ex photo, looking southwest with one metre scale.



Plate 26: Ditch G17 corner section [1158], looking northeast, one metre scale.



Plate 27: Ditch G17 terminus [1209] with narrow continuation [1216] in plan. Looking north with half- and one-metres scales.



Figure 1: Site location map



Figure 2: Area location and topography





Figure 3: Area 1.1





Figure 4: Area 1.2









Figure 6: Area 2.1





Figure 7: Area 2.2



0 10 50 SCALE 1:1000@A3 METRES Figure 8: Phase 1 Late Bronze Age



Figure 9: Phase 2 Early - Middle Iron Age



Figure 10: Phase 3 Late Iron Age c.400-50BC



Figure 11: Phase 4a Late Iron Age/Early Romano- British c.50BC-AD80







Figure 13: Phase 5a Late 1st/2nd century AD

\$



0 10 50 SCALE 1:1000@A3 METRES

Figure 14: Phase 5b Late 1st/2nd century AD



0 10 50 SCALE 1:1000@A3 METRES

Figure 15: Phase 6 Later 2nd /3rd century AD


Figure 16: Phase 7a Medieval



Figure 17: Phase 7b Medieval



Figure 18: Phase 7c Medieval



Figure 19: Phase 8 Post Medieval



Figure 20: Undated features





Figure 21: Plan of Structure G125

Section 9.1 North facing section of ring ditch [125A], scale 1:10



Section 10.3 South-west facing section of ring ditch [125B], scale 1:10





Section 9.5 East facing section of ring ditch [125D], scale 1:10





Section 9.10

Section 10.10 North-east facing section of ring ditch [125F], scale 1:10





Section 10.13 South-west facing section of ring ditch [125G], scale 1:10





Section 10.4

South-west facing section of ring ditch [125B], scale 1:10



Section 10.6 South-west facing section of ring ditch terminus [125E], scale 1:10



Section 9.11 South-west facing section of ring ditch terminus [125H], scale 1:10



Section 9.16 South facing section of ring ditch [125I], scale 1:10













Section 14.7 South-east facing section of ring ditch [125L] and ditch [147C], scale 1:10 sw + $^{\mathsf{NE}}$ 14<u>.41</u>m # (236) # 125L (241)147C

Section 17.9 North-east facing section of ring ditch [125M], scale 1:10









1250



Figure 23: Group G125 - sections.

Section 14.9

North facing section of ring ditch [125K] and ditch [[147C], scale 1:10





Section 9.7 East facing section of post-hole [140], scale 1:10



Section 10.16 North facing section of post-hole [1146], scale 1:10







Section 10.14 North facing section of post-hole [144], scale 1:10



Section 11.7 South-east facing section of pit [160], scale 1:10





Figure 24: Group G125 pits - sections.



South facing section of post-hole [130], scale 1:10







0 luuluu

Section 133.5

Figure 25: Kilns - sections and plans.

1193

1193

Section 133.3



Section 135.1 West and north-west facing section of kiln [1147], scale 1:10



Figure 26: Kiln [1147] - sections and plan.



Section 11.8 South facing section of post-hole [192], scale 1:10



Section 14.3

North facing section of post-hole [205], scale 1:10

(206)

Ε

 $^{\mathsf{W}}_+$

205

15<u>.24</u>m

Section 11.10 South facing section of post-hole [194], scale 1:10



Section 11.18 West facing section of post-hole [198], scale 1:10



Section 15.3 South facing section of post-hole [271], scale 1:10



Section 15.5 South facing section of post-hole [273], scale 1:10







North facing section of post-hole [379], scale 1:10 NE



Figure 27: Group G1 - sections.

Section 19.5b





Section 11.12 East facing section of post-hole [196], scale 1:10 S . 15<u>.31</u>m (197)

196





Section 14.5 East facing section of post-hole [207], scale 1:10



Section 17.15 East facing section of post-hole [372], scale 1:10





Section 17.19 South-east facing section of post-hole [366], scale 1:10



Section 17.21 North facing section of post-hole [364], scale 1:10





Figure 28: Group G2 - sections.



Section 15.19 South facing section of stake-hole [306], scale 1:10



Section 15.21 South facing section of stake-hole [308], scale 1:10





Section 15.23 South facing section of stake-hole [312], scale 1:10



Section 15.25 South facing section of stake-hole [314], scale 1:10



Section 15.27



Section 15.29 South facing section of post-hole [318], scale 1:10



Section 15.31 South facing section of post-hole [320], scale 1:10

> W 14<u>.32</u>m 321 320



Section 15.17 South facing section of stake-hole [310], scale 1:10 14<u>.26</u>m



South facing section of stake-hole [316], scale 1:10



Section 15.33 South facing section of post-hole [322], scale 1:10







Section 21.3 North-east facing section of post-hole [422], scale 1:10



Section 21.7 North facing section of post-hole [418], scale 1:10



Section 21.9 West facing section of post-hole [416], scale 1:10









Figure 30: Group G4 - sections.



Section 21.11 North facing section of post-hole [414], scale 1:10





Section 35.5 North-west facing section of post-hole [708], scale 1:10



Section 35.4 West facing section of post-hole [706], scale 1:10



Section 35.10 South-west facing section of post-hole [710], scale 1:10



Section 35.11 North-west facing section of post-hole [712], scale 1:10



Section 35.12 North-west facing section of post-hole [714], scale 1:10



NE

Section 35.13 North-west facing section of post-hole [716], scale 1:10







Section 137.5 East facing section of ditch [1209], scale 1:10





Figure 32: Group G17 - sections.



Section 10.1 South-east facing section of ditch [122B], scale 1:10

 $^{\mathrm{SW}}_+$ $^{\mathsf{NE}}$ 14.43m 122A

Section 9.3 South-east facing section of ditch [122A], scale 1:10



Section 10.20 South-east facing section of ditch terminus [122C], scale 1:10



Section 10.23 South-east facing section of ditch [122D], scale 1:10





Figure 33: Group G122 - sections.



Section 35.7 South-west facing section of ditch [168P], scale 1:10

Section 11.2 South facing section of ditch [168B], scale 1:10





Section 21.23 West facing section of ditch [458C] and ditch [1080D], scale 1:10





Figure 34: Group G168 - sections.





Section 1.3 South facing section of ditch [23A], scale 1:10







Figure 35: Sections.

Section 1.13 South-east facing section of post-hole [63], scale 1:10

















0.5







Figure 36: Sections.

Section 1.11 South-west facing section of post-hole [61], scale 1:10

Section 12.2 South-west and north-west section of pit [172], scale 1:10





Ş

Ν

240

+

Figure 37: Cremation pit [240] section and plan, other sections



Section 16.7 South facing section of pit [295], scale 1:10

Section 18.11



Section 17.11 North-west facing section of ditch [147K], scale 1:10



Section 9.21 North-west facing section of ditch [147B], scale 1:10



Section 20.4 East facing section of ditch [382A], scale 1:10



Section 16.15 East facing section of ditch [216F], scale 1:10





Figure 38: Sections.



Section 22.2 South-west and north-west facing section of ditch [189J],ditch [290] and pit [401], scale 1:10







Figure 39: Sections.







Section 30.3

Section 29.15 North-west facing section of ditch terminus [579D], scale 1:10



Section 30.1 North-east facing section of ditch [602A], ditch [605A] and post-hole [608], scale 1:10





Figure 40: Sections.







KEY: # # # Charcoal V Overcut

Figure 41: Sections.

1111



Section 138.1 Noth-west facing section of pit [1226], pit [1228] and gully [1230], scale 1:10



\$ + (1255) (1253)

Section 138.9 North-east section of ditch terminus [1242], scale 1:10



Section 138.12 North-east section of ditch [1247] and post-hole [1249], scale 1:10



Section 138.7 East section of pit [1252], scale 1:10





Section 139.3 North facing section of ditch [1029], scale 1:10 Section 139.1 East facing section of ditch [1000], scale 1:10 $^{\mathsf{S}}_+$ Е + $^{\mathsf{N}}$ 16<u>.48</u>m (1030) (1001) 1000 Section 139.5 East facing section of ditch [1043], scale 1:10 Section 139.4 North facing section of ditch [1035], scale 1:10 Е + $\stackrel{\mathsf{S}}{+}$ $^{\rm N}_+$ 15<u>.84</u>m (1036) (1044) 1043

Section 139.2 North facing section of ditch [1015], scale 1:10



Figure 43: Sections.





